Andrew Witty 500 White Oak Ridge Road	
Short Hills, New Jersey 07078	
Tel: 917.270.0103	
E-Mail:Witty@IMAXDIRECT.Com	
Defendant and Cross Complainant, in pro se	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW	JERSEY – NEWARK
THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON;	
as Trustee, et al	Case No.2:15-cv-00500 MCA- MAH
11.0000, 00 W1	
	OPPOSITION OF DEFENDANT
	ANDREW WITTY TO PLAINTIFF'S
	MOTION TO ENTER FINAL
	JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE;
	MEMORANDUM OF AUTHORITIES;
	AFFIDAVIT OF DEFENDANT
	ANDREW WITTY, EXHIBITS IN
	SUPPORT; and REQUEST TO
	CONTINUE INSTANT MOTION;*
	* REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO DEPOSE
	CRITICAL ADVERSE WITNESSES;
	"PROPOSED" ORDER GRANTING
	DEPOSITIONS OF NEW AGENTS OF
	PLAINTIFF WHO HAVE NEWLY
	APPEARED AS MATERIAL WITNESSES TO ITS MOTION FOR ENTRY OF
	FINAL JUDGMENT; FRCP RULE 30;
	Exhibit: NOTICE OF TAKING
	DEPOSITIONS AND REQUEST TO
	PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, ET AL;
	SANCTIONS
ANDREW J. WITTY,	
	Hearing date:,2023
	Time:
Defendants	Room: Original filing date:, 2023
Defendants	Original filling date, 2025
And related cross action of	_
WITTY v. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON,	, ET AL.
	_
OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment as	nd Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendar
Andrew V	Witty, Only.

Co-Defendant co-borrower Andrew Witty, litigating in pro se, independently and solely, **OPPOSES plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Final Judgment of Foreclosure**, on grounds stated, i.e.,

- 1. The motion is premature and cannot be heard as such a dispositive motion by plaintiff constitutes a violation of "dual tracking" prohibitions under 12 C.F.R. §1024., see ECF #104, Witty; Motion to Stay. If granted and not opposed would make BONYM Motion for final judgment moot. Denial of this Stay motion filed by Witty gives rise for an immediate appeal.
- 2. A indispensable party to the lawsuit and co-signee to the note and mortgage, Sheryl Witty has never been served with the summons and complaint; even in absence of a stay her rights cannot be ignored as a co-mortgagor obligor. See authorities cited.
- 3. This Motion is premature in light of additional discovery depositions of 4 new adverse witnesses recently revealed who will testify for Plaintiff re this motion.

II. ANCILLARY DISCOVERY MOTION:

Andrew Witty's Additional Petition for Leave to Depose 3 New Witnesses [FRCP Rule 30, et al.]

Mr. Witty discovered on October 2, 2023, that he had been misled in that the plaintiff's "certifications" included those affidavits of 4 unknown adverse witnesses never disclosed as required under Rule 26. Sanctions are in order for plaintiff's use of unauthorized sources to support a legal requirement. Rule 26, et al. Also out of an abundance of caution and consistent with FRCP Rules 30, et seq., Mr. Witty petitions as may be appropriate, this Honorable Court for *Leave to Take Further Discovery*, namely, by Notice of Taking **Depositions**, **See Exhibit A**, of 3 *new witnesses* who have newly appeared in Plaintiff

As to the Discovery-Deposition petition, good cause exists. Due to time constraints

11

13

15

18

22

26

27 28

this petition for leave is brought contemporaneous with the plaintiff's Opposition herein and leave is sought to allow said depositions. [if not necessary, this petition is moot]

Each new witness has uttered material facts in various writings made a part of ECF filed in and about 10/02/2023 see ECF #110 and elsewhere, pertaining to the prima facia case of foreclosure mandatorily required to be filed by "certification" using those same documents as a condition to pleading the complaint and entry of judgment of foreclosure as required under N.J. Statutes Ann. 2A:50-53, et al, entitled the "Fair Foreclosure Act."

Good cause exists for allowing said critical discovery:

- Each witness has to date *not been known of* as providers of material evidence on Plaintiff behalf. Discovery cannot be denied where a party crafts out new discovery with 4 new witnesses. Failure to allow discovery constitutes a ground for reversal on appeal and prejudices defendants due process rights. Rule 26, et al.
- Each witness named has never been deposed, in this proceeding.
- Depositions with demand for production of writings and things will show material facts supporting defendant's defenses.
- The evidence in question, mostly the NJ statute requiring "certification" without which no court may enter a final judgment, Id, being newly proffered by Plaintiff, has not been tested by due process due to a lack of ability to discover the admissibility and credibility of these new sources of facts.

- These three new witnesses were **not disclosed by Plaintiff under FRCP Rule 26** and still not disclosed in violation of the letter and spirit of the rule.

 See Rule 26 *Disclosures of Plaintiff* at on or about 12/07/2015, see ECF #9.

 Witness "hiding" is a sanctionable offense and sanctions are moved for, *infra*.
- Witness "hiding" is a ground for sanctions. Rule 26.
- ➤ A proposed Notice Taking Depositions is attached SEE Exhibit A.
- ➤ Defendant Witty does not intend to waive any rights or defenses herein by designating certain "defense witnesses" who were recently discovered by name within the text of the documents which were part of Plaintiff's Motion Entry Final Judgment with the attached document dump, ECF filed in and about 10/02/2023 see ECF #110.

PENDING DISCOVERY: Plaintiff seeks leave as may be proper to serve a Notice of Taking Deposition upon certain individuals acting as <u>material witness agents</u>, attorneys or employees of the plaintiff who, it is undisputed, have appeared as witnesses for plaintiff and attorneys and apparently at their behest under New Jersey Court Rule 4:64 challenges, et al.

Sought to be deposed on a reasonable date, time and place certain with Notices forthcoming are: (These witnesses have provided statements and writings in this action)

 Attorney J. Eric Kishbaugh or Attorney Kristina Murtha of KLM Law representing plaintiff, first known to defendant on October 2, 2023.

- Tracey Jackson who claims to be a "document verification specialist" employed by or agent of plaintiff and/or loan servicer Shellpoint whose alleged role is to review and execute documents, first known to defendant on October 2, 2023; and
- 3. **Lernise Ortiz**, an alleged "legal assistant" with KLM attorneys in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania whose telephone number is 201-549-2383 in NJ who communicated questionable material facts to another witness in writing and by telephone.
- 4. None of these witnesses are designated as custodians of Business

 Records of plaintiff or its agent corporations. It is believed that some
 or all of the above individuals lack the requisite personal knowledge
 required of affiants and certifiers under NJ law. Each of these
 witnesses have sworn to or assisted and aided in the plaintiff and its
 attorneys by swearing to the "certification" of facts used to support
 the operative complaint and the critical "certification" of facts and
 information used to secure a Judgment.

BAD FAITH TACTICS BY Plaintiff and its Counsel: None of these four individuals were disclosed to plaintiff under Rule 26, or in prior discovery responses. This is sanctionable conduct. The listed witnesses have been the core group directly responsible for the delivery of documents allegedly showing compliance with the NJSA rules and all *should* have personal knowledge of the matters about which they testify including knowledge of the truthfulness or falsity of the testimony of PMK, James D'Orlando. None of the current or prior

attorneys for Plaintiff have offered in their Rule 26 disclosures due back in 2015-2016 the identities of these new witnesses nor offered an "amendment" revealing said witnesses nor sworn why they willfully omitted this required information under Rule 26. Mr. Witty has been under the fair impression that no one, but the deposed PMK was offering "certified" facts in this action. Such willful deception is sanctionable.

Witty now finds himself egregiously prejudiced by the hiding of these individuals,

some who are licensed attorneys representing Plaintiff and collecting attorneys fees in doing so

and seeking over \$111,000 in attorneys fees as part of the "foreclosure damages" sought in the Judgment, ECF #110 filed in and about 10/02/2023, page 5/103. The entire litany of ECF documents are in-issue from ECF #110, filed in and about 10/02/2023, and others.

Examination of the witnesses' knowledge and their roles is critical to the admissibility of each of dozens of pages of documents (which include an attorneys' fee bill for over \$111,000, "cancelled" notations and blank spaces where signatures should be, blacked out entries, and other suspicious unexplained marks, and the complete absence of any entries showing facts relating to Mr. Witty's loan modification and mandatory Stay under 12 C.F.R. §1024, et seq., and other issues). Worse for plaintiff there is not clear evidence showing who has possession of the Note or its actual existence or current whereabouts. Moreover, the mortgage copy shows that it is NOT A CERTIFIED COPY.

Critical to this further discovery petition is the complete inconstancy between the plaintiff's

new documents and new witnesses under ECF #110 filed in and about 10/02/2023 and the older and reliable prior deposition of the **person most knowledgeable** James D'Orlando taken under oath on June 27, 2019. It will be shown that either Mr. D'Orlando's testimony was

willfully false and suborned by Plaintiff and its counsel or one or more of the three witnesses and other lawyers has offered perjured testimony, a crime and **fraud on this court**. Moreover, this bears on the seminal requirement for all litigation, the questionable **standing** of plaintiff to commence the action under NJ statutes. Cited. In short, someone has lied in this proceeding to help the plaintiff's case.

Opposition to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment

Entry of a civil Final Judgment is not a formulaic recital and requires a review of all facts and evidence adduced in a grant of motion for summary judgment [S.J.] if any, and a <u>full</u> consideration of all unlitigated facts and evidence occurring subsequent to the entry of S.J. to the extent material to the decree. Moreover, <u>facts and information</u> not tested by adversary examination during discovery has been unearthed and does not comport with the former past testimony of the Bank of New York Mellon's PMK. BONYM as plaintiff cannot have TWO sets of facts on which to rely. And the court will recall that in the MSJ and the motion of plaintiff to reconsider the MSJ, there were no "later subsequent facts" at issue. Now, the plaintiff has offered a different set of facts which are wholly inconsistent with the first proffered from the mouth of its best corporate "knowledge" witness, the PMK, over 4 years ago. On or about 5/24/2019 see ECF #60 Court Order Deposition, and on or about 11/18/2019 see ECF #69 Opposition to MSJ, and on or about 10/06/2020 see ECF #78 Motion. To Reconsider entry of MSJ, and on about 11/02/2022 see ECF #80 Motion Opposition/Reply to Reconsider entry MSJ

WITTY's Reservation of Rights to Amend Motion After Completion of Discovery

Defendant Witty, reserves the right to amend and modify all present and future defenses and motions based on the discovery obtained from this point on as it affects the issues.

- 1. THE PLAINTIFF IS NOT ENTITED TO FINAL JUDGMENT FOR FAILURE TO FOLLOW THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE N.J. S.A. 2A:50-53.
 - A. The evidence adduced thus far and the gross inconsistencies between the testimony of the PMK's deposition and later obtained affidavits of the certifying witnesses tells the court that someone is prevaricating and simply making up plaintiff's testimony to fill in the certifications with perjured statements and not true facts. Moreover the evidence yet to be unearthed will show that BONYM lacks the evidence necessary to prove the existence of:
 - Standing to sue on the debt. The promissory note that lacks
 enforceability and its location cannot be positively determined, as well,
 a witness has alleged that they do not know.
 - 2. Moreover, N.J. law is clear. "A plaintiff requesting the equitable remedy of foreclosure, to force the sale of a defendant's property to obtain payment of a debt, is required to establish that it had possession of the note as of the date the complaint was filed and is not presumed to have had possession at that time based on its ability to produce the original note at the time of argument and trial; where that cannot be established, the complaint may be subject to dismissal,

without prejudice to the filing of a new action." N.J.S.A. 12A:3–301.

And see Deutsche Bank v. Mitchell 433 N.J. Super. 214 (2011);

NJSA 12A:3-201(a,b), 12A:3-301; Bank of N.Y. v. Raftogianis

(2010) 418 NJ Super. 323 Here, the history of the possession of the Note is undeterminable.

- 3. The untested "certification" of Tracey Jackson does not state the Note's location under oath. see ECF Doc.#110 pp. 9 11. And see "allonge." below.
 - a. The Note's <u>allonge</u> is uncertain and unintelligible on its face see ECF Doc #110, p.63. The original assignment of the Mortgage is conflated showing originator *Heartland Home Finance, Inc.*, assigning the Note, on a *date unknown* to a *3d party unknown*. To add to the confusion, the certifiers so-called, don't know where the Note is located or in whose possession it rests. Nor is the "note" or "allonge" in the ECF Doc #110 certified as true and correct by anyone with personal knowledge of its content. At ECF Doc #110 p. 11, the plaintiff's witness Tracey Jackson tries to split the facts into an "either/or" by asserting NO personal knowledge of where the Note resides or to whom it is made payable to. She states, "The Note is *either* made payable to the Noteholder *or* has been properly endorsed." No information about the sources of this statement is

offered. She will have her opportunity to bring the original Note to her deposition and make it a part of the record. **See Exhibit A**

- b. To add to the allonge's confusion, there are 3 rubberstamped entries, one to Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., without recourse-Countrywide Bank, N.A. by Laurie Meder, SVP [ECF doc #110 p.63] a second stamped entry to Countrywide Bank, N.A. marked "VOID" and without a designee/assignee stated after "Pay to the Order of" and a third stamped entry "Pay to the order of blank without recourse, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. by Michele Sjolander, EVP.
- c. The far-left stamp is inconsistent with the far-right stamp which was affected by a VOID stamp. If so, since Meder signed both, it is not determinable who Heartland Home Finance, Inc. intended as the true assignee of the Note from the document's face. Neither does the certification of Tracy Jackson satisfy this inquiry.
- d. There appears in the document dump see ECF (Doc #110) to be no correcting of this error affecting assignment of the Note and who possesses the Note. Plaintiff offers in its entire "certification" dump, no evidence directly to correct this uncertainty. Mr. Witty presented this issue to this court in his defensive case in chief and it still stands as in issue. The foreclosure case must then be dismissed. Well Fargo v. Ford, supra; citing, Assignee of mortgage was not a person entitled to enforce mortgage by means of being a holder of the mortgage note, where the note was not indorsed by the party

identified on the mortgage. N.J.S.A. 12A:3–301. It appears the assignment went from Heartland to Countrywide, but after that, the possession is indeterminable. Countrywide never is mentioned in the assignment does in ECF Doc #110 relating to the mortgage.

- e. Attorney certificants lacking personal knowledge who opine without verifiable sources lack personal knowledge, citing from the text, "Allegations in certification by attorney in fact for purported assignee of mortgage that assignee was the holder and owner of note and mortgage were not based on personal knowledge, and thus, the certification was insufficient to establish that assignee had standing to pursue foreclosure action, precluding summary judgment in favor of assignee in foreclosure action." N.J.S.A. 12A:3–301; R. 1:6–6.
- f. The corporate PMK deposition superior to the testimony of all others under federal law failed to support that the lender knew any material facts about the note as it related to this loan and lawsuit. Filed on or about 11/18/2019 see ECF #69 (#4 Exhibit C). ¹ the summary of PMK's depos. Problematic is that this testimony did

Parties can subpoen corporate entities to produce a witness for deposition who can testify "about information known or reasonably available to the organization" pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6). This witness is commonly known as the "Person Most Knowledgeable," PMK, or "30(b)(6) deponent." The propounding party must describe with reasonable particularity the topics to be covered in the deposition. It is the corporate entity's responsibility to produce a witness who can give complete, knowledgeable and binding answers on behalf of the corporation under the Rules. The implicit requirement for PMKs is they must review what is reasonably available to the corporate to prepare for the deposition. Parties get very upset if a PMK does not know what was listed in the deposition notice, because it is tantamount to failing to appear for deposition.

NOT establish the factual basis for Plaintiff's case of foreclosure. Id. Now, plaintiff has used formerly unknown adverse witnesses to rehabilitate the former PMK's ignorance of the facts. This amounts to abuse of the process. Mr. Witty took the PMK's deposition and relied on the content in opposition to the MSJ only to have the court disregard the facts the PMK should have known in supporting the elements of plaintiffs case. Now, a new set of deponents have arisen who have not been disclosed under Rule 26. Because they assert facts and conclusions in their joint "certification" that are improperly presented as *undisclosed witnesses*, the entirely of their proffers should and must be excluded and stricken. It is clear reversable error to allow a witness to testify when they were never disclosed in the Rule 26 requirements and then act as "pop up witnesses" who offer yet unchallenged assertions of facts never tested by depositions. In short, Mr. Witty was gaslighted in the first deposition and then again by using unauthorized witness statements and documents in the certification process for NJ foreclosures. In Ortiz-Lopez v. Sociedad Espanol...248 F. 3d 29, (2001), the court found that failing to disclose information required under Rule 26 (a) and (e) was not harmless and frustrates the purpose of candid and cost-efficient discovery.... The failure to disclose was not substantially justified and was not harmless.

g. In light of this smoke and mirrors trickery, the court must either disallow the "certifications" adduced from the 4 "recent contrivance" witnesses by striking their certifications en masse, or accept the PMKs testimony taken under oath and re-visit the consequence of the PMK's lack of personal knowledge about the elements of the foreclosure, and deny both summary and final entry of judgment and set the matter for trial. In Radiologix, Inc. v. Radiology & Nuclear Med., LLC, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86964, at *4-5 (D. Kan. May 24, 2018) the court granted defendant's motion to reopen the Rule 30 deposition on unanswered topics. Here the answer of the PMK failed the test of personal knowledge of critical elements and if it stands there is sufficient "disputed" facts to overrule the former SJ and set the matter for trial as an alternative. Alternatively, Mr. Witty must be afforded the right to complete his deposition of material adverse witnesses who bank now seeks to use as a substitute source of certification facts, since the PMK failed woefully to carry the day on those issues. The court must remember that a PMK corporate source of testimony is binding on the corporation at trial and for all other purposes. Rule 30, et seq.

 Lack of standing to sue on the Mortgage for foreclosure. The documents provided in ECF Doc #110 lacks proof on their face of the

Bank N.A. as Trustee v. Ford (2010) 418 N.J. Super. 592, a plaintiff requesting the equitable remedy of foreclosure, to force the sale of a defendant's property to obtain payment of a debt, is required to establish that it had possession of the note as of the date the complaint was filed and is not presumed to have had possession at that time based on its ability to produce the original note at the time of argument and trial; where that cannot be established, the complaint may be subject to dismissal, without prejudice to the filing of a new action. N.J.S.A. 12A:3–301.

- a. All documents [ECF Doc #110 (pp. 64 83)] constituting the Mortgage are watermarked as "Not Certified" copies. They were not presented under subpoena, contain first and secondary layers of gross hearsay and are not adjudged as "business records" relating to this plaintiff since they were not introduced by a custodian of records nor proffered with the requisite personal knowledge as cross examination will show.
- b. The assignment of the Mortgage are uncertain and unintelligible not being authenticated in the first instance by certification and listed in {ECF Doc #110} as "not certified." Presenting such paltry evidence does not meet any standards set forth in the Federal Evidence Code. 901, 902 (4)(A) and must be disregarded as stricken.

The NJSA requirements pertaining to the Notice of Default or Notice of Intent to Foreclose were raised in the affirmative defenses and not materially countered by the testimony of the PMK at his deposition. James D' Orlando's testimony stated that the plaintiff did not have personal knowledge about many critical items:.

A. Plaintiff has failed to show its entitlement, ergo, lacks standing to sue for foreclosure because BONYM by its own evidence is not the real party in interest not withstanding to sue from review of the records BONYM's counsel attached to the.(See PMK DEPO EXHIBIT B)

11

p.26 L 17-19; L21 -L22

p.27 L 1-3; L7-8

p.29 L 10 – L23

p.32 L13 – L 14; L16 -24, p. 3 L 1 - 2

p.38 L23-25; p,39 L 2 – 4

p.39 L 23 - 25p.40 L 3-5; L 13

p.50 L 1-2; L 6

p.82 L 12-14; L17

This suggests that the chain of ownership of the note and mortgage are

without admissible evidence proving the right to own the note and enforce

the mortgage. New Jersey's federal and state courts have rendered several

recent decisions making it clear that a lender seeking to foreclose on a

property must show by admissible evidence when challenged by a

borrower/defendant that it has credible evidence of ownership or is in

27

28

possession of the promissory note at the time the foreclosure complaint is filed.

- a. Under N.J.S.A. 12A:3-301, the ability to enforce a negotiable instrument depends on one's status and how that status was acquired. The PMK swore he did not know when or how the note or mortgage were obtained by BONYM or exactly who "holds" the note currently.
- **B.** The **Note** assignment is not pled with factual details other than bald conclusions nor otherwise proved See PMK DEPO **EXHIBIT B**)

p.26 L 17-21

p.27 L 1-3/L7-8

p.29 L 10 – L23

p.30 L 10 - 21

p.32 L 20 - 25/p33 L 1 - 2

a. p. 50 L 1-2; L 6 Where do the Note and Mortgage begin? The originator/creditor of this loan is alleged to have been held by
 Heartland Home Finance, Inc., an Illinois corporation, per the
 Complaint. The complaint lacks any documentary or testimonial proof from their PMK's deposition that shows Heartland ever assigned or legally transferred the Note rights to the current plaintiff BONYM. If they did, where is it? The recording of hearsay documents with a county recorder proves nothing about the

underlying intent of Heartland to assign its beneficial rights to the NOTE another entity under the law. The only reference of any assignment is the allusion to MERS [a non-entity called a "nominee"] assigning the *Mortgage*, but without any specific proof other than conclusory lawyer vouching. Moreover, BONYM's designated PMK swore that he did not know if BONYM ever obtained. The legal rights to the note or mortgage in his testimony. See PMK DEPO (EXHIBIT B)

p. 26 L 17 – 21

p. 29 16 -23

p. 32 20 -24

Nor could the PMK explain if **Heartland Home Finance** had authorized such an assignment to another entity. See PMK DEPO (**EXHIBIT B**)

p.29 L 10 – 21 (note: errata line 11: local corrected to legal)

p.76 L 24 – 25

p.77 L 1 - 6

Such an allegation supporting transfers of legal rights must not be presumptive but must be supported by <u>admissible evidence of</u>
<u>the assignment which is grossly missing</u> [in the Complaint, *and*]
the deposition testimony of the PMK for BONYM.

These are critical undisputed facts supporting MSJ. [Moreover, at law there is a fundamental requirement that the holder of a negotiable instrument or contract must authorize such divestment of a corporate asset, a fact not proven. See PMK DEPO(**EXHIBIT B**) p. 30 L 10 - 21 p. 32 L 13 - 25/ p. 33 L 1 - 2

- b. In summary, the sworn deposition of BONYM's PMK confirms
 the plaintiffs lack of knowledge of these assignments necessary
 to show a transfer of rights in the note and mortgage from
 Heartland Home Finance to any successor in interest. Without such a showing in the PMK's deposition, there is no evidence and no dispute defeating MSJ. No *standing* means <u>no foreclosure</u>
 in New Jersey jurisprudence.
- c. As well, BONYM cannot prove it properly determined the Wittys were <u>in default</u>, either in the complaint or by reference to the PMK's deposition. See PMK DEPO (**EXHIBIT B**)
- d. BONYM cannot prove that the Notice of Intent was properly served upon the Wittys largely by the lack of proof from the PMK. See "SS." (**EXHIBIT B**)
- C. The Mortgage [lien] assignment is not pled with factual details other than conclusions nor proved by PMK testimony See PMK DEPO

(**EXHIBITB**)We see the same <u>pattern of failed evidence</u> relating to the NOTE again in assessing the assignment of the mortgage.

- a. The Complaint asserts an authorization to hold as a mere "nominee," the <u>mortgage</u> was vested in MERS [called in the mortgage a "nominee," which bears no legal status] save for being essentially a *document bailee* of the of the Mortgagee/originator.
- b. New York courts have weighed in on MERS' role in the real estate context. A New York court, stating that "nominee" language in a mortgage instrument and MERS' Rules of Membership were insufficient to demonstrate MERS' ability to assign a mortgage as the agent for the holder of the promissory note.
- c. In *In re: Agard*, No. 810-77338, 2011WL 499959 (Bankr.
 E.D.N.Y. Feb. 10, 2011), Judge Robert E. Grossman stated that "MERS did not have authority, as 'nominee' or agent, to assign the Mortgage absent a showing that it was given specific written directions by its principal." In short, courts require even more proof of an agency relationship between MERS and the noteholder for assignments to be valid.
- d. A bailee has no legal authority, i.e. power to independently assign mortgages without approval of the holder of the mortgage rights.⁶,

⁷ Id. Where is the proof, one may query?

D. MERS as an independent entity who is merely a "holder of paper" for Heartland Home Finance, the loan originator, was given no powers to act independently under either the Mortgage or Note. Id. Legally MERS as a "bailee" cannot act on its own, but only upon a directive by the Note and Mortgage holder, Heartland. In the text of the foreclosure Complaint, no such assignment was proven to have been authorized by Heartland. Nor did the PMK, testify to the authority given MERS for the assignment.

E. Paragraph. 10, (BONYM Complaint SEE ECF #110) fails to show the exact date or any witness to, nor states who sent the *Notice of Intent* required by the NJ Rules governing pleadings including NJ Rev Stat § 2A:50-56 (2013). See Fn 1, infra. No foreclosure can be based on such uncertain, unsubstantiated pleadings. The PMK did not assist in remedying this defect As an element of the foreclosure case, failure of certification warrants a dismissal. NJSA 2A:50 -53 to 68. SEE PMK Depo (EXHIBIT B)

Depo PMK p. 43 L 17 – 24e

As an element of the foreclosure case, failure of certification warrants a dismissal. NJSA 2A:50 -53 to 68.

- 5. The ¶2 arguments of the verified complaint for foreclosure from the NJ Superior court are without factual and legal merit and do not constitute a "certification," for final judgment purposes.
 - a. The debtors Wittys signed a Note and Mortgage "to" Heartland

 Home finance Inc., an Illinois corp., and not to MERS, Inc., who
 has admitted in multiple legal cases that it has no authority to act,
 hold, or dispose of any item of real property and was hired solely as
 a conduit to avoid recording fees, as a tracker of servicing rights
 and interests in loans. MERS had no independent face or powers to
 act apart from the nominor's [usually the lender] appointment.
- 6. Of the documents comprising ECF Doc #110, the costs and charges i.e., damages assessment have not been seen by Witty until October 2, 2023, have not been subject to defense expert audit or examination nor has the deposition of the plaintiff's accountants been taken to assure the sums have proper foundation, including but not limited to being based on acceptable bookkeeping formulas, are accurate, and ultimately are admissible. None of the (See ECF Doc #110) with 100 pages of documents have been subjected to discovery having only been submitted to the court for filing on October 2, 2023. As the court understands ALL statements and documents used to support the plaintiff's case in chief are subject to fair examination and discovery. This motion cannot be ruled upon at this time without due process

being accorded to defendant. To deny such rights opens the door to defendant seeking a motion to compel due to inadequate discovery.

MOTION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT IS PREMATURE IF WITTY'S STAY IS PROPERLY GRANTED AS IT MUST BE

The Stay would have rendered the plaintiff's motion for final judgment to be untenable and moot as a form of "dual tracking" or attempting to litigate to a judgment a foreclosure matter.

Witty asserts correctly that such a delay by our court has allowed the very "dual tracking" prohibited by the C.F.R., cited in original Motion. This is untenable under the law. Under the CFR's cited well in Witty's Stay Motion, ANY litigation activity in furtherance of a foreclosure in violation of 12 C.F.R. §1024 is a violation of the statute as it constitutes "dual tracking," so prohibited. [Bold for emphasis, disrespect not intended.] See *Motion-Authorities*

Witty further advises the court that in its motion for entry of final judgment that Plaintiff BONYM, in the 100 pages of support being considered for Entry of Final Judgment [see ECF Doc #110, filed on or about 10/02/2023] there is no reference to nor objection concerning the gist of 12 C.F.R. 1024 and being so, no legal opposition to the STAY motion from Plaintiff's side. [bold for emphasis].

The issues on appeal if the stay is not granted, inter alia, will include whether the trial court failed to follow compelling and clear statutory mandates and condoned prohibited

conduct by Bank of New York Mellon in doing so, prejudicing defendant's rights by endorsing "dual tracking." See CFR ref.

The court's inadvertence to set any motion for hearing and ruling on the matter has given your movant grave concerns that his clear protections in this matter may be ignored. Substantial prejudice would attach to any such outcome including the potential for loss of his home and potential judgment against his financial interest are certainly justifications for his angst.

TIMELY REFUSAL TO GRANT WITTY STAY IS EQUAL TO A DENIAL

This courts reluctance to hear and rule on a *filed* motion for which there exists NO OPPOSITION amounts to a *de facto* denial, it is argued. Thus, under **Ritzen Group, Inc.**v. Jackson Masonry, LLC, 589 U.S._____No. 18938 (Jan 14, 2020) The Supreme Court unanimously held that an order unreservedly granting or denying a motion for relief from ...(a) stay is a final, appealable order. Witty is within his rights to file for immediate relief from the Court of Appeals for this district.

ADDITIONAL GROUNDS FOR DENIAL OF FINAL JUDGMENT

I. A real party in interest Sheryl Witty who bank admits is a co-signee on the note and mortgage admittedly was not served with the summons and complaint and has been denied the entire litany of due process rights she holds. Plaintiff offers no justification for this grave procedural violation of Ms. Witty's due process rights. Cites in support are filed on and about 8/24/2023, See ECF#104.

III.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW and POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Defendant repeats and incorporates the Authorities from his original stay. See ECF#104

References and cites to the **C.F.R.** *supra*, are relevant to this stay of the proceedings as it affects the motion for entry of final judgment. See footnotes and citations.

Summarily, the state law is clear that all interested parties including all signatory borrowers named in the foreclosure action must be brought before the court by service of process lest there be no *in personam* jurisdiction over the debtors/borrowers/obligors. The evidence is clear only Mr. Witty was served with the summons and complaint. Sheryl Witty, the co-defendant has **never been served or appeared and not subject to this court's jurisdiction.** FRCP Rule 4(c) (1); Rule 5, case law cited elsewhere. Nevertheless, Mr. Witty stands as a *real party in interest* with rights to enforce the provisions of the Federal Regulations.

12 C.F.R. 1024.41, et al.;

6(f) of RESPA (12 U.S.C. 2605(f))

Citing liberally from the Regulation (with emphasis added):

12 CFR § 1024.41 - Loss mitigation procedures.

- (a) Enforcement and limitations. A borrower may enforce the provisions of this section pursuant to section 6(f) of RESPA (12 U.S.C. 2605(f)).
- (g) Prohibition on foreclosure sale. If a borrower submits a complete loss mitigation application after a servicer has made the first notice or filing required by applicable law for any judicial or non-judicial foreclosure process but more than 37 days before a foreclosure sale, a servicer shall not move for foreclosure judgment or order of sale, or conduct a foreclosure sale, unless:
- (1) The servicer has sent the borrower a notice pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section that the borrower is not eligible for any loss mitigation option and the appeal process in paragraph (h) of this section is not applicable, the borrower has not requested an appeal within the applicable time period for requesting an appeal, or the borrower's appeal has been denied;
- (2) The borrower rejects all loss mitigation options offered by the servicer; or

 OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant

 Andrew Witty, Only.

1 (3) The borrower fails to perform under an agreement on a loss mitigation option. 2 (h) Appeal process—(1) Appeal process required for loan modification denials. If a servicer receives a complete loss mitigation application 90 days or more before a foreclosure sale or during the 3 period set forth in paragraph (f) of this section, a servicer shall permit a borrower to appeal the servicer's determination to deny a borrower's loss mitigation application for any trial or permanent loan 4 modification program available to the borrower. 5 (2) Deadlines. A servicer shall permit a borrower to make an appeal within 14 days after the servicer 6 provides the offer of a loss mitigation option to the borrower pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section. 7 (3) Independent evaluation. An appeal shall be reviewed by different personnel than those responsible 8 for evaluating the borrower's complete loss mitigation application.... 9 THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT ANY COURT HAS PERFECTED IN PERSONIUM 10 JURISDICTION OVER A NAMED INDISPENSIBLE PARTY, VIS., SHERYL WITTY, 11 WHO SIGNED THE NOTE AND MORTGAGE BUT WHO HAS NOT APPEARED 12 13 NOR BEEN SERVED WITH ANY DOCUMENTS REQUIRED BY STATE AND 14 FEDERAL LAW cited infra. 15 U.S. Bank N.A. v. Williams 415 N.J. Super. 358 (App. Div. 2010) 16 17 The N.J. FFA at NJSA2A:50-53 to -68" establishes the basis for foreclosure of 18 mortgages" 19 JP Morgan Chase Bank v. Genid DOCKET NO. A-1230-14T2 (App. Div. Dec. 20 22, 2015) A motion to vacate a default judgment for lack of service is governed by Rule 21 4:50-1(d), which authorizes a court to relieve a party from a final judgment if "the judgment or 22 order is void." "A default judgment will be considered void when a substantial deviation from 23 service of process rules has occurred, casting reasonable doubt on proper notice." Jameson, 24 supra, 363 N.J. Super. at 425. Even where a defendant has actual notice of an action, the 25 default judgment must be set aside if there is a substantial deviation from the service of process 26 rules. Sobel v. Long Island Entm't Prods., Inc., 329 N.J. Super. 285, 292-94 (App. Div. 27 2000). 28 OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant Andrew Witty, Only.

Pennymac Corp. v. Lewis DOCKET NO. A-5266-14T3 (App. Div. Oct. 20, 2016)

"Good cause can be met by showing that service was not properly effectuated. It is well established that "[t]he requirements of the rules with respect to service of process go to the jurisdiction of the court and must be strictly complied with." Berger v. Paterson Veterans Taxi Serv., 244 N.J. Super. 200, 204 (App. Div. 1990) (quoting Driscoll v. Burlington-Bristol Bridge Co., 8 N.J. 433, 493, cert. denied, 344 U.S. 838, 73 S. Ct. 25, 97 L. Ed. 652 (1952)). "[S]ubstantial deviation from service of process rules' typically makes a judgment void." M & D Assocs. v. Mandara, 366 N.J. Super. 341, 352-53 (App. Div.) (quoting Jameson v. Great Atl. and Pac. Tea Co., 363 N.J. Super. 419, 425 (App. Div. 2003)), certif. denied, 180 N.J. 151 (2004) which says,

"In a foreclosure case, service can be obtained by satisfying Rule 4:4-3 or Rule 4:4-5. Rule 4:4-3 applies where "personal service cannot be effected after a reasonable and good faith attempt, which **shall be described with specificity** in the proof of service required by R. 4:4-7". See R. 4:4-3(a). In that case, "service may be made by mailing a copy of the summons and complaint by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the usual place of abode of the defendant or a person authorized by rule of law to accept service for the defendant." Ibid. However, service by mail is effective only "[i]f it appears by affidavit satisfying the requirements of [Rule] 4:4-5(b) that despite diligent effort and inquiry personal service cannot be made." R. 4:4-4(b)(1). Service made by mail without satisfying the affidavit requirement under Rule 4:4-4(b)(1) is ineffective and will not support the entry of default, unless the defendant "answers the complaint or otherwise appears in response thereto." R. 4:4-4(c). Thus, there must be "a reasonable and good faith attempt" to serve defendant personally...before serving defendant by mail under Rule 4:4-3.

In accord with the above is **Tower DBW VI REO, LLC v. Sunshine Homes, LLC** No. A-1604-19 (App. Div. Jul. 1, 2021)

"[d]efective service that results in a 'substantial deviation from service of process rules' typically makes a judgment void." **M & D Assocs. v. Mandara**, 366 N.J.Super. 341, 352-53

(App. Div. 2004) (quoting Jameson v. Great Atl. & Pac. Tea Co., 363 N.J.Super. 419, 425 (App. Div. 2003)). "If a judgment is void in this fashion, a meritorious defense is not required to vacate under the rule." Id. at 353 (citing **Jameson**, 363 N.J.Super. at 425).

Plaintiff was not diligent in effectuating service of process upon the proper party— SHMI. The lack of service of the foreclosure complaint upon SHMI made the judgment void under Rule 4:50-1(d).

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

At day's end, one conclusion can be drawn from examining the old and new filings; Sheryl Witty was not served with the summons and complaint in any fashion. Neither the NJ nor this federal court has jurisdiction over her [in personum]. This deficiency renders any judgment finality "void," under case law. Because she is too a cosigner on the Note and Mortgage, failure of service renders any final judgment "void." Id.

13

14

12

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

> OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant Andrew Witty, Only.

The plain reading of the published CFR makes a stay of the proceedings mandatory and not optional where a foreclosure avoidance with the loan servicer as is occurring here is pending, supra. This honorable court must rule supporting this Congressional mandate by taking no further action favoring foreclosure until this LOSS MITIGATION issue is concluded to maintain the legislative consistency intended. Appropriately, the issuance of a stay or abatement of the proceedings is the only proper remedy under C.F.R. cited.

Secondly, as cited supra, BONYM has violated the fundamental NJ and federal civil rule that all defendants with a vested right and interest in the real property must be served and appear. Academically, this is "civil procedure 101." To date, the record of this and NJ Superior

Court shows that Sheryl Witty, the co-signee/co-obligor/co-mortgagor under the note and deed of trust has NOT been personally served with the summons and complaint, nor appeared, been defaulted or defended this lawsuit as an indispensable real party in interest and co-owners of the subject property of this action.

In short, neither the N.J. state or this federal court has *in personam* jurisdiction over this named, but non-appearing party. The record being clear, the court cannot issue a <u>final</u> judgment in an *in rem* foreclosure case without all real parties in interest before it. A void judgment would be appealable. [supra].

Finally, the final judgment hearing is premature without the completion of discovery by defendant who was blindsided by the production of adverse witnesses not disclosed in Rule 26 disclosures and the use of a PMK by plaintiff who lacked scienter about the prima facia elements of N.J. foreclosures which is evident from his own deposition.

Let the motion be stayed or denied. Let the defendant's motion be granted or the case dismissed. Let plaintiff and its counsel be sanctioned in the sum of \$25,000 for multiple discovery abuses under Rule 26, and Rule 30, and for suborning perjury by its witnesses in this proceeding and referral to the United States Department of Justice for criminal referral for said crimes by plaintiff, and plaintiff's witnesses James D'Orlando...and 3 witnesses, for subornation of perjury and perjury and uttering false claims about which they have no personal background or personal knowledge.

Date 10/16/2023

Respectfully Submitted,

andrew & Witty

Andrew Witty, Defendant

SUPPLEMENTAL NEW JERSEY STATE AUTHORITIES

The clear import of the NJ statutory scheme at R 4:64-1(a),(b),(1) suggests that jurisdiction over certain indispensable individuals or entities must be effected for a complete disposition supporting a foreclosure and money judgment. The rule goes the distance to explain who and how this must occur. The key statutory words defines those to be identified, sued, served and adjudged, vis., "....{T}he plaintiff shall receive and review a title search of the public record for the purpose of identifying any lienholder or other persons and entities with an interest in the property that is subject to foreclosure...." So serious is this unique requirement that "plaintiff's attorney shall annex to the complaint a certification of diligent inquiry..." attesting to such. Moreover, Subsec. (b) Contents of Mortgage Foreclosure Complaint.

The certification penned and signed under oath can only be to fortify the evidence that counsel is assuring the court that they did their job personally reviewing the records. Problematic is that the constitutionally of the statute is in question because the reading calls out "certifying" clearly hearsay thus inadmissible materials in support of the certification. This problem is found in this case at bar. The certification falls short of assuring that the facts certified are admissible or otherwise trustworthy as such would be subject to due process constraints including the right to cross examine the person proffering said documents. Moreover, the deposition of the PMK essentially gaslights

27

28

[deceived] the defendant into believing one set of facts exists when the certification of a new witnesses with BONYM almost verbatim contradicts the PMK's testimony, when both should be identical to one another. The attorneys involved here are not without strong suspicion of misconduct and subornation of fraud as well. Mr. Witty has NEVER seen the ECF# 110 document dump until after 10/2/2023. The PMK stated tersely he had no knowledge of the facts about most all of the documents included in ECG #110, when asked. Witty should have the right to depose after the STAY is lifted, TRACEY JACKSON and determine why Jackson's testimony is diametrically opposed to that of the attorney designated PMK. Moreover, the Jackson certification is directed to the Superior Court of New Jersey under a state case No. F-024738-14, not this case. The document was designed for a state court filing, and likely authored in total by KML Law Group, P.C., under the egis of Ms. Kristina Murtha, Managing attorney Bar no. omitted. See Doc #110 p.8. The blank line locations are apparently "stamped" for convenience by counsel. Jackson avers a limited authority to act: Id.

Within the context of discovery, which is being reserved for a separate motion attacking the sufficiency of the summary judgment, a further review of the previously taken deposition of BONYM's "person most knowledgeable" compared to the "certified" information proffered in its motion for Final Judgment, will show that the certification of documents is at best, **fraudulent due to the PMK's admission repeatedly that he lacked any level of**

knowledge about the facts critical to establishing the prima facia case of foreclosure and most seriously, to which their counsel now swears is true.

We ask the court to reserve on this proposed Motion in light of the rather short time given to both sides to move, oppose and reply to these motions for 1. A Stay and 2. For entry of final judgment. This credibility issue was raised previously but glossed over by the court, however its serious nature goes to the core merits of this entire foreclosure case and examination with the new documents makes this determination a matter of critical mass for Mr. Witty's defense.

Added N.J. cites bearing on Sheryl Witty's absence from the proceedings:

"In an action in the Superior Court to foreclose a mortgage, the complaint shall state:

- (1) the name of the obligor, mortgagor, obligee and mortgagee;
- (2) the amount of the debt secured by the mortgage;..."

There is little doubt that NJ legislators intended to assure ALL with any legal interest be found, named, served and bound by a legal final judgment.

See N.J. Rule, 4:64-1, et al. infra. See cite below.

Rule 4:64-1. Foreclosure Complaint, Uncontested Judgment Other Than In Rem Tax Foreclosures

(a) Title Search; Certifications.

- (1) Prior to filing an action to foreclose a mortgage, a condominium lien, or a tax lien to which R. 4:64-7 does not apply, the plaintiff shall receive and review a title search of the public record for the purpose of identifying any lienholder or other persons and entities with an interest in the property that is subject to foreclosure and shall annex to the complaint a certification of compliance with the title search requirements of this rule.
- (2) In all residential foreclosure actions, plaintiff's attorney shall annex to the complaint a certification of diligent inquiry:
- (A) that the attorney has communicated with an employee or employees of the plaintiff who (i) personally reviewed the documents being submitted and (ii) confirmed their accuracy; and

(B) the name(s), title(s) and responsibilities in those titles of the plaintiff's employee(s) with whom the 1 attorney communicated pursuant to paragraph (2)(A) of this rule. (3) Plaintiff's attorney shall also annex to the complaint a certification, executed by the attorney, attesting that 2 the complaint and all documents annexed thereto comport with the requirements of R. 1:4-8(a). 3 (b) Contents of Mortgage Foreclosure Complaint. In an action in the Superior Court to foreclose a mortgage, the complaint shall state: 4 (1) the name of the obligor, mortgagor, obligee and mortgagee; 5 (2) the amount of the debt secured by the mortgage; (3) the dates of execution of the debt instrument and the mortgage; 6 (4) the recording date, county recording office, and book and page recording reference of the mortgage securing 7 (5) whether the mortgage is a purchase money mortgage; (6) a description of the pertinent terms or conditions of the debt instrument or mortgage and the facts establishing 8 the default; (7) the default date; 9 (8) if applicable, the acceleration of the debt's maturity date; (9) if applicable, any prepayment penalty: 10 (10) if the plaintiff is not the original mortgagee or original nominee mortgagee, the names of the original mortgagee and a recital of all assignments in the chain of title; 11 (11) the names of all parties in interest whose interest is subordinate or affected by the mortgage foreclosure action and, for each party, a description of the nature of the interest, with sufficient particularity to give the court 12 and parties notice of the transaction or occurrence on which the interest is based including recording date of the lien, encumbrance, or instrument creating the interest; 13 (12) a description of the subject property by street address, block and lot as shown on the municipal tax map and a metes and bounds description stating whether the recorded mortgage instrument includes that description; and 14 (13) if applicable, whether the plaintiff has complied with the pre-filing notice requirements of the Fair Foreclosure Act or other notices required by law.... 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 New Jersey foreclosures must be litigated against all interested parties, especially the 22 mortgagee/debtors for a foreclosure to be properly perfected. An academic article to this point 23 is offered by Mr. Witty at footnote, next. ² If Ms. Witty is an indispensable party under NJ real 2.4 25 ² Authored by real estate expert attorneys at Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & 26 Hampton LLP A foreclosure action in New Jersey is an "in rem" action which by its 27 definition means an action "against a property" as opposed to an action "against an individual". A foreclosure action by itself in New Jersey does 28 OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant

Andrew Witty, Only.

Case 2:15-cv-00500-MCA-MAH Document 111 Filed 10/16/23 Page 33 of 98 PageID: 2531

property law, she must be named as a real party in interest and served with a summons and complaint and appear. Id. This has not occurred per the courts' own records.

3 | | ///

///

A judgment obtained against other than all interested mortgagees is void and unenforceable. See Fn 2. 3

The record of this court will show as well, that there has been no filed application for a final judgment sought, entered or a notice of entry of judgment shown in the court's file.

Neither can there be since Ms. Witty has not been served with process and neither NJ nor this federal bench has *in personum* jurisdiction over her at the time the summary judgment was entered. Nor has Ms. Witty been subject to the NJ foreclosure requirements including but not limited to N.J.S.A. 4:64-IB. Mediation of Eligible Residential Foreclosure Cases. It appears too from the record that BONYM and its attorneys knew of this deficiency and have taken no clear steps to remedy the deficits, nor protect Ms. Witty's considerable rights to due process as an unserved litigant.

³ New Jersey Rule 4:64-1. Foreclosure Complaint, Uncontested Judgment Other Than In Rem Tax Foreclosures

⁽a) Title Search; Certifications.

⁽¹⁾ Prior to filing an action to foreclose a mortgage, a condominium lien, or a tax lien to which R. 4:64-7 does not apply, the plaintiff shall receive and review a title search of the public record for the purpose of identifying any lienholder or other persons and entities with an interest in the property that is subject to foreclosure and shall annex to the complaint a certification of compliance with the title search requirements of this rule.

OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant

Andrew Witty, Only.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

2425

2627

28

(2) In all residential foreclosure actions, plaintiff's attorney shall annex to the complaint a **certification of diligent inquiry**:

- (A) that the attorney has communicated with an employee or employees of the plaintiff who (i) personally reviewed the documents being submitted and (ii) confirmed their accuracy; and
- (B) the name(s), title(s) and responsibilities in those titles of the plaintiff's employee(s) with whom the attorney communicated pursuant to paragraph (2) (A) of this rule.
- (3) Plaintiff's attorney shall also annex to the complaint a certification, executed by the attorney, attesting that the complaint and all documents annexed thereto comport with the requirements of R. 1:4-8(a).
- (b) Contents of Mortgage Foreclosure Complaint. In an action in the Superior Court to foreclose a mortgage, the complaint shall state:
- (1) the name of the obligor, mortgagor, obligee and mortgagee;
- (2) the amount of the debt secured by the mortgage;
- (3) the dates of execution of the debt instrument and the mortgage;
- (4) the recording date, county recording office, and book and page recording reference of the mortgage securing the debt;
- (5) whether the mortgage is a purchase money mortgage;
- (6) a description of the pertinent terms or conditions of the debt instrument or mortgage and the facts establishing the default;
- (7) the default date;
- (8) if applicable, the acceleration of the debt's maturity date;
- (9) if applicable, any prepayment penalty;
- (10) if the plaintiff is not the original mortgagee or original nominee mortgagee, the names of the original mortgagee and a recital of all assignments in the chain of title;
- (11) the names of all parties in interest whose interest is subordinate or affected by the mortgage foreclosure action and, for each party, a description of the nature of the interest, with sufficient particularity to give the court and parties notice of the transaction or occurrence on which the interest is based including recording date of the lien, encumbrance, or
- instrument creating the interest; (12) a description of the subject property by street address, block and lot as shown on the municipal tax map and a metes and bounds description stating whether the recorded mortgage instrument includes that description; and
- (13) if applicable, whether the plaintiff has complied with the pre-filing notice requirements of the Fair Foreclosure Act or other notices required by
- When a married person who has not executed the mortgage is made a party defendant, the plaintiff shall set out the particular facts relied on to bar the married person's rights and interest in the subject property, including whether the married person's rights and interest in the property were acquired before or after the date of the mortgage.

OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant

Andrew Witty, Only.

Summary judgments do not equal a final dispositive judgment. Summary judgments are 1 not final under FRCP Rule 54(b) and 28 U.S.C. §1291 [Ct. of Appeals has jurisdiction over all 2 3 'final' decisions of district courts of the U.S) Wells Fargo Bank, NA. v. Garner 416 N.J. 4 Super. 520 (App. Div. 2010) 5 Dated: 10/16/2023 6 Respectfully submitted, 7 8 andrew & Witty 9 10 Andrew Witty, in pro se 11 12 13 14 15 AFFIDAVIT OF ANDREW WITTY 16 I, am a defendant in this action and add my statement under oath as though 17 testifying in court. 18 1. Since the filing of my Stay Motion on 8/24/2023 ECF #104, I have not 19 received any response from loan servicer Shellpoint Mortgage Service to 20 my foreclosure avoidance application as called for under 12 C.F.R.1024, 21 et seq. 22 2. At all times since delivering the application to Shellpoint Mortgage 23 Service, I have been ready to read and respond to any response from the 24 servicer Shellpoint Mortgage Service. 25 3. At no time since the commencement of this foreclosure action was filed 26 have, I learned personally or from any third party or entity that Sheryl 27 28 OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant Andrew Witty, Only.

Witty has been served with a summons and complaint. Sheryl Witty is a cosigner on the note and mortgage in this action. I understand that no equitable foreclosure action can be maintained without all interested parties to the contracts being served and before the court.

- 4. In reading all documents served on me by plaintiff since my Stay motion was filed, I found no evidence in Opposition to my motion to Stay exists in any filings of the plaintiff, nor do they deny that Sheryl Witty has never been served with the summons and complaint. I believe this amounts to active <u>admissions of material facts</u> sufficient to grant my motion to Stay and to establish the fact of no service on Ms. Witty.
- 5. I am seeking and continue to seek relief under the provisions of the referenced C.F.R. protecting my interest. I understand that "dual tracking" is disallowed under the regulations. It is prohibited under the regulations for plaintiff to dual track me by seeking application for final judgment in this court with a loss mitigation application pending and yet undecided.
- 6. I assert that at no time did plaintiff or its attorneys serve upon me a new or amended Rule 26 declaration. They designated their PMK corporate witness as James D 'Orlando who was to testify to a list of issues revealed to him from my Notice of Taking Deposition served on counsel. I was led to believe he was their 'certification' witness who had "most knowledge" about the loan and loan file documents. He knew his role in this deposition and was either unprepared to testify or lied under oath as a form of gaslighting and deceiving me about the facts. I am appalled that the court let plaintiff get away with this destruction of my case in chief and defense of this foreclosure case.

OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant

Andrew Witty, Only.

- 7. I assert that the court by allowing plaintiff to continue to litigate after my Stay motion was filed that, in the face of the CFR requirements, there should have been a stay on this case. As a result, this inactivity by the court has prejudiced my defenses and filings. This has further encouraged the plaintiff to willfully file a motion to enter final judgment knowing of the effect of the CFR. Such I allege violates ethics requirement of neutrality in all proceedings.
- 8. I declare under penalty of law that the foregoing is true and correct as to facts asserted but not matters of opinion, argument or on information and belief.

Dated:10/16/2023

andrew & Witty

Andrew Witty, affiant

OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant

Andrew Witty, Only.

Intentionally Left Blank

OPPOSITION to Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and Supplemental Motions and for Sanctions by Defendant

Andrew Witty, Only.

EXHIBIT A

Witty v. BONYM et al.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 30(a)(1),(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Andrew Witty will take the **deposition** at the place and time described unless changed in writing by agreement of the parties, of the following newly discovered defense witnesses, *Tracey Jackson, Kristina G. Murtha, Esq.*, and *Lernise Ortiz* under oath taken by a certified stenographic reporter [CSR]. The deposition shall be used as allowed by the Fed. R. Ev., FRCP and Local Rules.

Document production for this proceeding is demanded, as set forth below. *

LOCATION, DATES AND TIMES: These depositions will take place at {Veritext} Court Reporters located at address: 290 West Mt. Pleasant Ave, Suite 3200, Livingston, NJ 07039 commencing at 11:00 a.m., on November 1, 2023, and November 2, 2023 and November 3, 2023, and continuing under the FRCP as permitted to a conclusion from day to day, unless the parties mutually agree by written stipulation signed by all parties to be bound to hold the deposition on a different date or time and/or at a different location.

Identities of Deponents to be deposed are:

1. Tracey Jackson, undisclosed witness first discovered by Defendant on of about October 2, 2023 in her alleged capacity as a "loan document specialist" so-called, who has at plaintiff's behest, has already offered fact and law testimony not subjected to cross-examination, solely benefiting plaintiff, by apparently preparing and signing documents used under oath allegedly at the direction of KLM lawyers representing Plaintiff Bank acting as a trust, as an agent of plaintiff and its loan servicer for NewRez, LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing, in exact capacities and roles yet to be discovered. Her deposition should take 7-8 hours including questioning about documents she has alleged personal knowledge of.

- 2. This deponent was named in documents filed in this action as a "certifying agent" for plaintiff and named at KLM's direction to comply with the NJ Fair Foreclosure act rules 2A:50-56 requiring certain documents and papers proffered at the time of discovery and used in all phases of the proceedings be "certified" by persons with "personal knowledge" of each fact and issue offered into, including Motions including for Final Judgments.
- 3. Jackson was apparently solicited to read and sign documents about which she allegedly has personal knowledge. Plaintiff can obtain no final judgment or award without the use of "certified" documents listed in the statute. Jackson was selected out of a need to comply with the statute. Defendant contends, inter alia, that as an undisclosed witness for Plaintiff she should have been disclosed in Rule 26 affidavits due back in about 2015-2016 and was not wasting the court and defendants resources by naming a PMK in lieu of a percipient witness.
- 4. Plaintiff's non-disclosure of <u>all intended witnesses</u> for trial, was not followed under Rule 26. Plaintiff opted to name a single "Person Most Knowledgeable" to testify about the loan and loan file contents and procedures unique to the mortgagor plaintiff and did so, See ECF #9 filed on or about 12/7/2015 Disclosure of Plaintiff under Rule 26.

Plaintiff designated a PMK whose testimony lacked personal knowledge and percipience concerning the subject matter of the action so much so he denied the plaintiff had any knowledge of essential facts supporting the prima facia case of foreclosure. He cannot now change his testimony when summarized shows ignorance of fundamental facts associated with real estate loans and foreclosure actions. See ECF #66 filed on or about 10/21/2019 Opposition. Motion for Summary

1 Judgment, PMK: James D' Orlando. Pp 7 -19. Parties are legally bound by the 2 testimony of their PMKs. See cite . 3 5. At no time did Plaintiff disclose any of the three new witnesses who are fact and law 4 witnesses. This tactic is sanctionable and obviously prejudices defendant. 5 6. Thus, until Plaintiff discovered some 4 years later that plaintiff or its lawyers 6 intended use these fact and law witnesses to establish material facts NOT obtained 7 from the PMK did defendant know of their existence. Plaintiff has violated the letter 8 9 and spirit of Rule 26 and should be sanctioned for this willful bad faith tactic in the 10 reasonable sum of \$25,000 jointly and severally with its counsel who fully knew of 11 the court's own file status and suborned this behavior without excuse, reason, right 12 or justification recognized at law as to each new deponent. 13 The second new witness to be deposed is: 7. 14 Kristina G. Murtha, Esq. 15 KML Law Group, PC 16 701 Market Street, Suite 5000 Philadelphia, PA 19106 17 609-250-0700 (NJ) 215-627-1322 (PA) 18 Email: KMurtha@kmllawgroup.com 19 8. The second deponent is the "managing attorney" for KML Law Group, P.C. who 20 21 was responsible for finding and enlisting the witnesses' cooperation and review and 22 preparation of proffered documents used to "certify" the accuracy of the information 23 within. As supervisory counsel the "buck stops here" and she knew the fact of the 24

Disclosure Rule 26 violations and authorized the use of documents and certifying procedures on plaintiff's behalf as well as the firm's repeated violations of court orders issued by Judge Hammer and our District Court Judge Arleo. Judge Hammer throughout the litigation roundly excoriated plaintiffs' firms for their malpractice-

25

26

27

like handling of the matter. It was the threat of sanctions that resulted in the plaintiff even applying for this motion. See Court Order ECF #106 filed on or about 9/19/2023. Her deposition should take one day to complete including document production demanded from plaintiff by defendant Witty.

9. The third new deponent is identified as:

Lernise Ortiz (Legal Assistant)
Email: lortiz@KMLLawGroup.com
Direct Phone: 201-549-2383

10. Ms. Ortiz is a legal assistant at KML Law Group with knowledge of the practices and protocols attendant to the handling of litigation. She authored the instructional letter dated Sept. 11, 2023, and all content is known to her. The letter contains information that is alleged to be untrue bearing on the credibility of the plaintiff's knowledge of this transaction and whether the plaintiff has *standing* to foreclose on the mortgage or sue on the Note. Ortiz is held out as the "contact" for information re the content of the 9/11/2023 letter and all documents that make up the overall "certification." She instructed an unknown person in her 9/11/2023 letter in a way suggesting she and not the addressee was responsible for the content of the certified documents on which this court could rely. If false information was used to prepare any entry, a likely crime of fraud has occurred. Her deposition is critical and should not take over 7 hours.

MEANS AND METHODS OF DEPOSITIONS

This deposition may be taken by stenographic, and video means before an officer authorized to administer oaths and operate video recording equipment and will continue from day

1

to day until completed. The deposition will be taken for the purposes of discovery, for use at trial in this matter, and for any other purpose permitted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3

*DESIGNATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

45

The designated officer[s] or agent[s] of the plaintiff corporation shall be asked and expected to answer fully under oath all questions about the following **subjects and categories of**

6 7

information:

8

1. The meaning of the content of each of the documents which were given to Mr. Witty at and after the Rule 26 Disclosure hearing and responsive thereto.

10

2. The practices and protocols of this plaintiff BONYM concerning the entry of information relating to any act, or communications affecting the borrower's loan.

12

13

11

3. All information concerning the past 10 year history of state or federally based monetary fines or sanctions imposed by the judiciary, the Department of Justice or any agency including

1415

but not limited to, incarceration orders or monetary civil penalties imposed upon this defendant

16

by any United States District Court or State Court for violation of laws with the date of the

17

conviction or imposition of the fine or penalty whether criminal or otherwise by the corporate

18 19

entity or any officer, director or managing agent. You will be asked about the fine ordered to be

20

paid by you in the sum of approximately $\underline{714}$ million dollars in 2015 arising from misconduct in

21

the banking industry. ¹ Unsuspecting customers were defrauded by Mellon's conduct. Id.

22

¹ From the U.S. Attorney's Office – Manhattan, New York, New York. March 11, 2015

23

Bank of New York Mellon Corp has agreed to pay \$714 million to settle allegations that the bank overcharged pension funds and other clients for foreign exchange services, U.S. federal and state authorities said on Thursday.

2425

Federal and New York state authorities, among others, had accused BNY Mellon of telling clients it would provide the best possible execution for their currency transactions, but instead giving them prices at or near the worst interbank rates during the trading day. Meantime, authorities said, BNY Mellon obtained better spot prices for itself and profited on the spread.

26

As part of the agreements settling lawsuits filed by New York and the United States, the bank accepted responsibility for the conduct, authorities said. The world's No. 1 custody bank also agreed to terminate David Nichols, its head of products management, and other executives, Manhattan U.S. Attorney Preet Bharara said. The other executives were not identified. "The bank repeatedly deceived its customers and is paying a heavy penalty

27

formation of the loan, all debt assessed, all liens, novations or modifications associated with the loan during its duration.

4.

- 4 All feats showing
 - 4a. All facts showing in this matter, YOU complied with the Code of Federal Regulations 12 C.F.R. §1024, et seq., requirements from initial contact though final disposition concerning stay's on litigation regarding "dual tracking."

The specific loan development process for this loan involving the parties, including the

- 4b. Describe your contractual relationship with the loan servicer Shellpoint Mortgage Service.
- 5. All information concerning any modification or novation of the promissory note between the parties.
- 6. The physical location of all loan file writings kept by defendant including the identity and location of the custodian of records of such writings. "Writings" shall include: any promissory note, addendum, allonges, attachments, assignments, mortgage, substitution of trustees, notices to or from plaintiffs to this defendant, notice of defaults, notices of trustee's sale, calculation of credits and debits including dates of entry and any other related writing, authorization by this defendant to act on behalf of the original lender or any subsequent assignee to the present date, letters, correspondence to or from the parties, documents evidencing loan modifications, novation agreements and the like, names of all personnel at defendant Bank of New York Mellon or any predecessor in interest or assignee or other person or entity with a financial interest in this loan having material personal knowledge of the plaintiff Andrew Witty's loan file from its <u>formation</u> to the present date who handled any phase of the loan whether verbally or by reducing discussion to a writing.
- 7. The exact identity of each document or writing on which you read or relied upon in

for it," Bharara said in a statement.

testifying in this deposition supporting any fact or opinion you express.

- 8. The identity of all persons who spoke to you or anyone acting on your behalf concerning this deposition and the content of those discussions concerning any aspect of the loan.
- 9. Any written corporate resolutions or powers of attorney created for or by this defendant relating to this loan or this litigation.
- 10. The identity of all writings and documents read in preparation for this deposition.
- 11. The true legal identities of all signatories of any document[s] contained within the loan file, Rule 26 Disclosures, Responses to production of documents propounded by this defendant.
- 12. The net worth [assets and liabilities] of the defendant Bank of New York Mellon., defendant in this action as of the date of this deposition.
- 13. The exact physical process explaining all assignments of the original note from the original loan originator/beneficiary to any and all subsequent note holders including methods of assignment, dates of each, acceptance of the assignment by the successor in interest.
- 14. All information about any and all assignments to and deposit of the Note in question into a securitized trust, the pooling and servicing agreement terms and conditions and meaning thereof, the original Trust agreement establishing the Trust and its operations declaration of trust or similar writing showing the trust is a viable, legal holder of Notes for its beneficiaries.
- 15. All information showing that the subject Note was owned by the defendant Bank of New York Mellon on the date the lawsuit of foreclosure was filed in state court, now removed.
- 16. All information and identity of the exact person who reviewed the loan file and after doing so made a determination that the Note was factually in default including the calculations relied upon in making that determination.
- 17. All information in the loan file on which this defendant will rely in making any dispositive motions or that you plan to use at trial in the foreclosure case under Rule 26 of the FRCP.

1	18. All information in the loan file including all names and employee identification information
2	including job descriptions, showing a modification or a novation of the original note did not occur
3	between Andrew Witty or you or your loan servicer or agent in charge of the loan transaction.
4	19. Your legal relationship with James D'Orlando and whether he was approved by you to be
5	designated as your "person most knowledgeable," for purposes of this litigation and who
6 7	representing plaintiff approved of his role as a Person Most Knowledgeable.
8	19a. On examination of James D'Orlando's deposition, did you find any answer given by the
9	deponent to be incorrect and if so, what should his response have been to be correct?
10	20. What factors give YOU standing to sue under the Note, who is in current possession of the
11	Note in question.
12	21. In whose possession was the subject Note on the date this lawsuit was filed?
13	22. In whose possession was the subject mortgage on the date the lawsuit was filed?
14 15	23. Explain the meaning of the "allonge" affixed to the Note and produce a certified copy along
16	with its entries.
17	24. Evidence which shows who prepared each document used in this litigation relating to the
18	complaint, all motions, Rule 26 compliance, all written discovery to and from all parties, all
19	letters, drafts, memoranda, certifications, documents produced by any witness or attorney
20	excluding work product.
21	25. Evidence showing who, when and how any document used as an exhibit in this litigation was
22 23	prepared.
23	
25	DECLIES FOR PROPUSTION AT PEROSTRION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION AT DEPOSITION

26

27

28

The following items are hereby requested to be produced in original form only from this deponent or their person most knowledgeable or agent or counsel of record. Failing to produce

1 each item at the commencement of the deposition may be cause for the imposition of sanctions. 2 DOCUMENTARY AND WRITTEN THINGS TO BE PRODUCED 3 Regarding the real property and the Note and Mortgage which are the subject of this action, 4 please produce the following: 5 1. Please produce all documents and records in your loan file for this transaction and loan. 6 2. Please produce all documents and records in the plaintiff's loan servicing file. 7 3. Please produce all original assignments of the mortgage. 8 9 4. Please produce all original endorsements and transfers of the mortgage note including allonges. 10 5. Please produce the original mortgage note. 11 6. Please produce all documents and records and transaction books maintained by the 12 document custodian for this mortgage loan. 13 7. Please produce all records for any custodial accounts used for any purpose in 14 connection with this mortgage loan including the date, amount and source of all deposits in 15 such accounts and the date, amount and purpose for all disbursements including the name 16 17 and address of any party who received any such disbursement. 18 8. Please produce the custodial agreement and all amendments thereto. 19 9. Please produce the Pooling and Servicing Agreement and all addendums and 20 amendments thereto. 21 10. Please produce a list of officers, agents or managers of your company or any predecessor in 22 interest who was authorized to execute any of the documents identified herein including but not 23 limited to, the note, mortgage, assignments, substitutions of trustees, notices of default, any act 24 25 triggering a default and the like. . 26 10a. Produce all documents showing the person and entity authorizing any assignments of the 27 Note or Mortgage or any interest therein related to this loan transaction. 28

1	10b. Produce all documents including Notices of Default showing the identity of any person
2	given authority to declare the loan Note to be in default and authorizing the issuance of such
3	signatures on the above referenced documents.
4	11. Please produce copies of the last three (3) Fitch rating reports for the current Servicer.
5	12. Please produce the FNFA, FHLMC or HUD Single Family Servicing Guidelines or any
6 7	other servicing guidelines used for the servicing of this mortgage loan.
8	13. Please produce the information diskette or other conveyance writings however stored on
9	electronic media, as delivered to the Trust in connection with the acquisition of this mortgage
10	loan.
11	14. Produce all MERS documents related to this loan, including the MIN number and MIN
12	History Report and Audit Trail.
13 14	15. Produce all documents and records related to all non-recoverable advances made by any
15	servicer of this mortgage loan.
16	16. Produce any and all documents bearing any Officers' Certificate.
17	17. Produce all documents and records related to all servicer advances made by any servicer of
18	this mortgage loan.
19	18. Produce the list of all servicing officers provided at any time to the Trust.
20	19. Produce all documents and records related to the index, the margin, the annual rate cap, the
21 22	lifetime rate cap, and any and all adjustments related to any of these benchmarks.
23	20. Please provide a complete and itemized statement of the loan transactional history from
24	the date of the origination of the loan to the date of this discovery request
25	including, but not limited to, all receipts by way of payment or tender
26	otherwise, and all charges to the loan in whatever form. This history should include the date
27	of each and every debit and credit to any account related to this loan, the nature and purpose

1 of each such debit and credit, and the name and address of the payee of any type of 2 disbursement related to this account. 3 21. The XLS bankruptcy worksheet for this mortgage loan. 4 22. Please provide a statement of the past due scheduled principal payments, together with 5 interest thereon at the contract rate, past due taxes, hazard insurance, mortgage insurance 6 premiums, late fees or charges, homeowners association dues, and any other assessments. 7 23. Please provide an itemized statement of all of the filing fees, service fees, postage, 8 9 advertising and publication expenses and reasonable attorney fees actually incurred with 10 respect to any pre-petition foreclosure proceeding. Such attorney time records should be 11 itemized by the date and nature of the service and the time devoted to each service. You 12 should also produce the front and back of each and every canceled check in payment of each 13 invoice for the charges described herein along with a copy of each respective bill, statement 14 and invoice. 15 24. Please provide a complete and accurate statement of the actual amount, duly itemized, 16 17 necessary to reinstate this loan. 18 25. Please attach copies of all checks and/or wire transfers to any party issued from funds 19 received from any party in connection with this mortgage loan. 20 26. Please attach a list of all digital and numerical codes associated with the loan 21 transaction history along with all definitions associated with each such code so as to make 22 the history legible and understandable. Also, state the name of the software system you use, 23 the version, and the name and address of the software provider. 24 25 27. Please produce all material evidence you know of which indicates the total amount owed by 26 the debtor including itemization the amount and basis for the following fees, if applicable: 27 a. Any homeowners' association dues or assessments.

b. All court filing fees. 1 2 c. All service of process fees. 3 d. All postage, UPS or Federal Express fees. 4 e. All advertising of any public sale. 5 f. All publication expenses. 6 g. All courier fees. 7 h. All fax fees. 8 9 i. All property inspection fees. 10 j. All property preservation fees. 11 k. All broker price opinion fees. 12 1. All legal fees. 13 m. All corporate advance fees. 14 n. All recoverable corporate advance fees. 15 o. All non-recoverable corporate advance fees. 16 17 p. All document release fees. 18 q. All fees paid to any provider of any type of outsource services, 19 whether or not the service is related to performing or non-performing mortgage loans. 20 x. All fees paid for the transfer of any documents, data, information, images, or 21 information to any national, regional or local attorneys. 22 y. All bills, statements or invoices received from any attorneys, including all detailed time and 23 expense records. 24 25 z. All ratings of any attorneys involved in this case along with the rating schedule, the 26 benchmarks used for such ratings, and the method of computation of the attorney performance 27 rating scale. 28

1	28. Please provide an itemized statement of all attorneys' fees incurred at any
2	time since the origination of the loan and describe the date and nature of all such services,
3	attaching copies of all bills and invoices, and all canceled checks in payment thereof. Also,
4	please attach all emails or electronic messages using New-Trak or any similar
5	communication system regarding such fees and charges.
6 7	29. Pease provide copies of all written property inspection report and property preservation
8	reports related to the mortgage property, including all digital photographs or other images of
9	the real property.
10	30. Please provide copies of all bills and invoices for property inspections and copies of the
11	front and back of all checks in payment thereof or all confirmed wire transfers in payments
12	thereof.
13 14	31. Please provide a complete list of all related or affiliated providers of any and all third party
15	servicers that may or could have provided services in connection with this loan.
16	32. Please provide copies of all written Broker Price Opinions or fair market value opinions
17	related to the mortgaged property, including any type of photographs.
18	33. Please provide copies of all bills and invoices from or related to such Broker Price
19	Opinions or valuations and attach copies of the front and back of all checks in payment
20	thereof or all confirmed wire transfers.
21 22	34. Please provide a complete and itemized statement of all advances or charges against
23	this loan for any purpose that are not reflected on the loan history transaction
24	statement provided in the answer to number 20 above. This request includes but is not
25	limited to "restricted corporate advances."
26	35. Please provide a complete and itemized statement of the escrow account of the loan, if
27	any, from the date of the loan to the date of these interrogatories and request to produce,

and every such fee against the subject loan. Also, please state whether or not.

1 any such corporate advances become part of the underlying mortgage debt subject to interest. 2 due under the mortgage instrument(s). Also, state whether or not you have added or charged 3 interest to the mortgage loan on such corporate advances and if so the amount thereof. 4 42. Please attach copies of all communications related to the subject loan produced by any 5 third-party outsource provider such as T.D. Service Company, Fidelity National Default 6 Services, First American National Default Operations, Promise Solutions, MR Default 7 Services, Lender Processing Services, or National Title Clearing or any other similar 8 9 company providing third party default services. 10 43. Please attach any reports indicating any charges for any "add on products" sold to the 11 debtors in connection with this loan from the date of the loan to the date of this letter and 12 specifically identify all such products. 13 44. Please provide a complete and itemized statement of any and all alleged arrears 14 including each month in which the default occurred, and the amount of each alleged monthly 15 default. 16 17 45. Please provide a complete and itemized statement of any late charges applied to this 18 loan from the date of this loan to the date of this request for production. 19 46. Please identify the name, title and current address of each and every person who has 20 had access to any of the electronic records related to this mortgage loan and specifically state 21 the name, title and address of the primary individual responsible for servicing this loan after 22 the alleged default. 23 47. Please provide a complete and itemized statement from the date of the loan to the date 24 25 of these requests to produce of any fees incurred or charged to modify, extend, or amend the loan 26 or to defer any payment due under the terms of the loan. 27 48. State the full name, title and address of any person who was responsible for any loss

1 mitigation initiatives related to this mortgage loan. 2 49. Please attach a complete copy of the Investor Loss Mitigation and Loan Modification 3 Guidelines related to this mortgage loan. 4 50. State the full name, title and address of any person involved with the management of 5 the escrow account for this mortgage loan. 6 51. Please provide a full and complete copy of all collection notes, reports, memos, 7 statements, entries, data records, computer records, daily records, calendar reports, default 8 9 reports, collection contacts, collection reports or other documents generated in connection. 10 with the servicing of this mortgage loan. 11 52. Please provide any documentation that would indicate proof that the Defendant owns 12 the subject Mortgage and Note or any other documentation that would represent the 13 Defendant has standing in this case. 14 53. List by documents each entity who now has or has ever had any interest in the underlying 15 Mortgage NOTE, including but not limited to, any broker, table-funder, co-defendant lender, 16 17 originator, lender, warehouse lender, trustee, investor, trustee under a pooling and servicing 18 agreement, master servicer, primary servicer, sub-servicer, default servicer, specialty 19 servicer, or any other similar party with all documents which Identify that party's name, address 20 and telephone number, describe that party's interest in the transaction, state the date it obtained 21 that interest, the date it relinquished that interest, and the identity of the entity to which it 22 relinquished that interest, and state the nature and amount of all consideration it received or 23 disbursed in connection with obtaining or relinquishing that interest. 24 25 54. Provide documents showing the full name, address and phone number of the current holder of 26 the NOTE and MORTGAGE in this case including the name, address and phone number of any 27 trustee or other fiduciary.

1	55. Provide documents showing the name, address and phone number of any master servicers,
2	servicers, subservicers, contingency servicers, back-up servicers or special servicers for the
3	underlying mortgage debt.
4	56. Please produce copies of all civil actions, adversary proceedings, or administrative
5 6	proceedings that have been filed against you and/or the Servicer of this loan at any time in
7	the past 60 months for any alleged misconduct related to mortgage servicing.
8	57. Please identify and produce each and every witness that you intend to call at the trial of this
9	matter and summarize the anticipated testimony of each such witness.
10	58. Please attach copies of each and every document that you intend to use or to seek to
11	introduce into evidence at the trial of this matter.
12	59. Produce all insurance policies of any other Credit Default Swaps, Credit Default obligations
13 14	or other similar instruments obtained to insure against any loss regarding the instant mortgage
15	note or pool of securitized mortgage notes to which this mortgage note belongs.
16	60. Produce all applications for coverage under any insurance policies obtained to insure against
17	any loss regarding the instant Note.
18	61. Produce all writings showing any claims made against insurance policies insuring against any
19	loss regarding the instant Note.
20 21	62. Produce all writings from any insurer in payment of any claim for any loss regarding the
22	instant Note including payments to any claimant, beneficiary, trustee, agent or other third-party
23	recipient.
24	Dated: 10/16/2023
25	andrew & Witty
26	
27	Andrew Witty, Plaintiff and Defendant in the Consolidated matters
28	

EXHIBIT B

```
Page 1
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 2
                     Civil Action No.15-8486-ES-MAH and
 3
                     Civil Action No. 15-00500-ES-MAH
 4
       ANDREW J. WITTY, an individual, )
 5
                          Plaintiff,
 6
                                         ) DEPOSITION OF:
           -vs-
7
       BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, as ) JAMES D'ORLANDO
8
       Trustee, et al.,
                                         )
 9
                          Defendant.
10
11
12
13
                   TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of
14
     the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as
     taken by and before PATRICIA SMITH, a Certified
15
     Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
16
     New Jersey, held at the office of Veritext, 290 W.
17
18
     Mt. Pleasant Avenue, Livingston, New Jersey, on
     Thursday, June 27, 2019, commencing at 10:50 a.m.
19
20
2.1
22
2.3
24
2.5
```

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES: 2	1 JAMES D'ORLANDO, having been duly sworn, testifies a
3 ANDREW WITTY	2 follows:
I-Max Direct	3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WITTY:
4 51 JFK Parkway	4 Q. Good morning. My name is Andrew Witty.
1st Floor West	5 I am the plaintiff in this action, I'm not an
5 Short Hills, New Jersey 07078 witty@imaxdirect.com	6 attorney. I will be questioning you about the role
6 pro se plaintiff	7 as person most qualified to testify for defendant
7	8 bank, New York Mellon as trustee. I will read
BLANK ROME, LLP	9 some an admission. It maybe a duplicate of what
8 BY: THOMAS M. BRODOWSKI, ESQ.	10 counsel has said to you, but you need to know the
One Logan Square 9 130 North 18th Street	11 general ground rules. So just after each one
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	
10 tbrodowski@blankrome.com	12 knowledge with an "okay" or "understood."
Attorneys for Defendant	So number one, listen carefully to each
11	14 question. Allow me to finish the question before
12 13	15 responding so the court reporter can get everything
14	16 said on record.
15	17 A. Okay.
16	18 Q. If you don't understand a question,
17	19 request the question be asked again or for definition
18 19	20 of words that was not understood.
20	21 A. Okay.
21	22 Q. You're required to give your best
22	23 testimony today under penalty of perjury, meaning you
23	24 must respond fully, not lie or refuse to answer a
24 25	25 proper question.
Page 3	Page 5
1 INDEX	1 A. Okay.
2 JAMES D'ORLANDO: PAGE	2 Q. Do you understand your duty to be
Examination By Mr. Witty 4	3 truthful and honest?
4	4 A. Yes.
5	5 Q. If you are caught lying willfully, you
6	6 could be prosecuted for perjury.
7 EXHIBITS 8 No. Description Page	
9 Exhibit-A, Notice of Deposition 9	
10 Exhibit-B, Revising Date of Notice 9	8 Q. If you need a restroom break, simply say
of Deposition	9 so, we can take a break for lunch as well, if needed.
Exhibit-C, Promissory Note 26	10 A. Okay.
12	11 Q. We are on the record during your
Exhibit D, Mortgage 27	12 testimony, so be aware that all you say will be
13	13 recorded.
Exhibit-E, Allonge 48	14 A. Okay.
Exhibit-F, First Collateral Bailee Letter 53	15 Q. If I ask for information that you don't
15	16 know, just say so. If you know, you must fully
Exhibit-G, Complaint Witty versus Bank 62	17 answer and withhold nothing.
16 of New York Mellon17 Exhibit-H, RCS Notice of Default and78	18 A. Okay.
Intention to Foreclosure	19 Q. I may ask for information according to
18	20 your best estimate. You can respond with an estimate
19	21 if you have so knowledge of the facts; for example,
20	22 if you know the length of your car from hood to
21	
	23 taillights, you can estimate. But if asked to tell
21 22	

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

Page 6 Page 8 Does that make sense? 1 1 Bank of New York Mellon as trustee? 2 Yes. 2 I have before in the past, yes. Α. 3 Q. So if you have some information about a 3 Q. In what capacity did you testify? 4 matter, you may give an estimate. 4 Again, for foreclosure proceedings. 5 Okay. Q. Okay. You were an employee of whom at 6 the time? 6 O. Are you under the influence of any 7 7 medication or affects of alcohol or have a condition A. Bayview Loan Servicing. 8 that would prevent you from giving your best 8 Q. Your job title at the time? 9 testimony today? 9 Litigation manager. A. 10 A. No. 10 Thank you. Do you understand that you 11 Q. If I ask a question and you respond, I'm 11 were designated by Bank of New York Mellon and their 12 entitled to believe that you understood the question 12 attorney to testify here today on behalf of Bank of 13 and that the answer you provide is truthful and 13 New York Mellon as trustee? 14 14 complete. MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection, form. 15 15 A. Okay. MR. WITTY: What about the form? MR. BRODOWSKI: I don't need to disclose 16 Q. If you want to modify a prior answer, 16 17 just identify on record and amend your testimony now. 17 that. New Jersey doesn't recognize speaking 18 Doing it after the deposition may impeach your 18 objections. 19 credibility at trial or hearing. 19 MR. WITTY: Okay. 20 A. Okay. 20 Q. Is it your understanding that you were 21 Q. At the end of this deposition, we should 21 designated by Bank of New York Mellon to testify here 22 go over the code on handling your reading and review 22 today? 23 of the deposition booklet. There will be no attorney 23 A. My understanding that I was designated 24 by my company, Bayview Loan Servicing, on behalf of 24 stipulations or usual stipulations altering this 25 process, unless specifically stated on the record by 25 the plaintiff to testify today. Page 7 Page 9 So you understand you are here today on 1 me or opposing -- and opposing counsel. 2 behalf of Bank of New York Mellon as trustee to 2 A. Yes. 3 3 testify? Q. Please speak slowly and articulate your 4 4 words clearly so the reporter can hear and understand A. Yes. 5 you at all times. You understand you are here to testify 6 about certain subject matters stated in the A. Okay. 7 7 deposition notice? All right. We can begin. I will be 8 Yes. 8 questioning you about your role as the person A. 9 testifying on behalf of defendant Bank of New York 9 Bank of New York --10 MR. WITTY: This is a cover letter from 10 Mellon trustee. At times, I may refer to the 11 defendant bank as Bank or Bank of New York Mellon 11 Blank Rome revising the date of the notice of 12 just to use less words and be a little quicker. So 12 deposition. 13 bank, Bank of New York Mellon and Bank of New York (Exhibit-A, Notice of Deposition; 14 Mellon as trustee is the same entity during this 14 Exhibit-B, Revising Date of Notice of Deposition, 15 marked for identification.) 15 proceeding. 16 Q. I will show you two documents. I 16 A. 17 referenced you testifying on behalf of Bank of New 17 Have you been deposed previously? Q. 18 18 York as trustee about certain subject matters stated Yes, I have. A. 19 Can you recall approximately how many in the deposition notice. I'm showing you now the Q. 20 deposition notice. 20 cases? 21 21 A. I would say maybe 35 over the last six Are you familiar with that document? 22 Yes, I have seen this document before. 22 years. 23 And have you reviewed it previously --23 Q. And what were the nature of these cases? Q. 24 A. Yes, I have. 24 They are all foreclosure. A. 25 25 -- to attending this deposition today? Okay. Did you testify as an agent for Q.

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

	D 10		D 40
1	Page 10 A. Yes, I have.	1	Page 12
2	·	2	a good place for me to get caught up on the case. Q. Who is your counsel?
	Thomas Brodowski of Blank Rome, which is Exhibit-B,	3	MR. BRODOWSKI: Thomas Brodowski.
1	revising the date until June 27th, which is today.	4	A. Sorry.
5		5	Q. That's okay.
6		6	Can you, please, state your full name,
7	A. Yes.		current address where I can reach you and your
8	Q. So you were testifying are you		current phone number? You can give a business phone
	testifying and acting as an agent for defendant bank		number.
	here today?	10	A. James D'Orlando and my current address
11	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	11	is 6 Central Drive, Yardley, Pennsylvania 19067.
12	Q. Are you an agent of Bank of New York		215-317-0605.
13	Mellon?	13	Q. Please identify high school you
14	MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form. You	14	attended.
15	can answer if you know the answer.	15	A. I attended Notre Dame High School.
16	A. We are the servicer for Bank of New York	16	Q. When did you graduate from that high
17	Mellon.	17	school?
18	Q. Do you understand your obligation to	18	A. 1999.
19	answer truthfully on behalf of Bank of New York	19	Q. And as to any college or university you
20	Mellon?	20	attended, what dates did you attend college or
21	A. Yes, I do.	21	university?
22	Q. And have you prepared for today's	22	A. I attended West Chester University from
	deposition?		1999 to 2003.
24	,	24	Q. And what was your declared major of
25	Q. Tell me why you possess information that	25	study at that college?
	Page 11		Page 13
	qualifies you as the correct person to testify in	1	A. Business marketing.
	this deposition today?	2	Q. Did you graduate?
3	•	3	A. Yes.
4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4	Q. What date did you graduate?
	depositions, trials, meetings, on behalf of the	5	A. I don't know offhand.
	company for different loans that we service.	6	Q. What was the highest degree you received
7			at that college?
1	loan servicing and you are the right person today to	8 9	A. Bachelor's degree.
10	testify for Bank of New York Mellon? A. Yes.		Q. What was the highest degrees you received from any institution?
11		11	A. Bachelor's degree.
1	deposition, which is Exhibit-A, as you mentioned you		Q. Do you have any vocational degrees?
1	reviewed it.	13	A. I do have a kitchen and bath design
14			degree as well.
	is that correct?	15	Q. Where?
16		16	A. Lehigh.
17		17	Q. What date?
	reporter unless you want to keep the copy, I can give	18	A. I would say that's probably somewhere
1	you another one.		2008, 2009.
20	· ·	20	Q. Please identify any special training
21	1		school you attended, other than already stated?
22	responses?	22	A. None.
23	=	23	Q. Did you attend any trade school?
24	Q. And you were asked to do this by whom?	24	A. No.
25	A. Our counsel advised us that it would be	25	Q. Please identify any course seminar or

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

Page 14 1 professional programs you attended?

- 2 MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form.
- 3 Q. Have you -- well, you can answer that if 4 you like.
- 5 A. None outside of the normal training that 6 I receive from the company.
- 7 Q. And what was entailed in that training?
- 8 Is your company Bayview you're referring
- 9 to?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How long was that training for?
- 12 A. We have training modules every year that
- 13 we have to take.
- 14 Q. Do you have the exact or approximate
- 15 dates of those courses?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Or the content of those courses?
- 18 A. Wide variety of training, from anything
- 19 from laws and regulations to interior procedures.
- 20 Q. Do you recall, can you identify the
- 21 person that conducted those courses?
- A. Not offhand. Some of them are online
- 23 via courses, some are in person training courses.
- Q. Did they offer certificate of completion
- 25 or some acknowledgement?

- Page 16 1 counsel and Bayview, so I attended depositions,
- 2 mediations, trials, kind of work with -- help local
- 3 counsel obtain the documentation they need to proceed
- 4 with litigation.
- 5 Q. So you're experienced in litigation for
- 6 these types of matters?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And please identify your immediate
- 9 supervisor and their contact information if you have
- 10 it today?
- 11 A. My immediate supervisor is Jennifer
- 12 Craft. I don't know any of her contact information
- 13 offhand
- 14 Q. Are you a corporate officer director or
- 15 managing agent of Bank of New York Mellon?
- MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form.
- 17 A. No, I'm not.
- 18 Q. Please identify each employer you have
- 19 worked for during the past five years.
- 20 A. I have been with Bayview since college.
- 21 Q. Have you ever been disciplined,
- 22 terminated, reprimanded, from any employer, including
- 23 your current employer, or Bank of New York Mellon in
- 24 the past?
- MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form.

Page 15

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you give me a little more on that?
- 3 A. You take the course online and then you
- 4 have to take an assessment at the end that you have 5 to pass.
- 6 Q. They gave you an e-mail confirmation
- 7 that you passed?8 A. Yeah, it's all done through the
- 9 computer.
- 10 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- And please tell us what training you
- 12 have that makes you qualified to testify about this
- 13 lawsuit?
- MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- 15 A. I have been with the company 16 years,
- 16 so I'm very familiar with the business records and
- 17. Let all the second of the
- 17 how the company operates.
- 18 Q. Okay. What is the legal name of your
- 19 current employer?
- 20 A. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC.
- 21 Q. What is your job title with your current
- 22 employer?
- A. Litigation manager.
- Q. Please describe your job duties.
- 25 A. I work as a liaison between local

- 1 A. No, I have not.
 - Q. Who asked you to verify any discovery
 - 3 that Andrew Witty, myself, sent to Bank of New York
 - 4 Mellon to answer?
 - 5 MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form.
 - 6 A. I'm sorry, who asked me?
 - 7 Q. Are you familiar with the discovery that
 - 8 I sent to Bank of New York Mellon? I can be specific
 - 9 if you need me to.
 - 10 A. Yes.
 - 11 Q. Did anybody ask you to verify and sign
 - 12 off on that discovery?
 - 13 A. No.
 - 14 Q. Did you sign off on any interrogatory?
 - 15 A. No.
 - 16 Q. Did you sign off on any request for
 - 17 admission?
 - 18 A. No.
 - 19 Q. Did you sign off on any production of
 - 20 documents?
 - 21 A. No
 - Q. Do you understand the content of the
 - 23 interrogatories that I sent to Bank of New York
 - 24 Mellon?
 - MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form.

Page 17

Page 18 Page 20 1 Α. Yes, I have reviewed them. 1 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. And And the request for admissions, did you 2 2 objection, it's beyond the scope of permitted 3 review and understand those as well? 3 deposition testimony. 4 4 A. Yes. MR. WITTY: He can answer. 5 5 And the production of documents, MR. BRODOWSKI: I'm instructing him not 6 documents produced, did you understand and review 6 to answer. 7 that as well? 7 MR. WITTY: Okay. I can rephrase. 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. Do you understand the banking loan Q. Is there anything you would like to 9 business and what is required to fund a loan? 10 change or retract any of the discovery answers from 10 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Again, it's 11 Bayview and Bank of New York Mellon? 11 beyond the scope of permitted deposition testimony. MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 12 I will refer you to Judge Hammer's order for the list 12 13 That's not my role, so I wouldn't --13 of permissible topics if you would like to review it, 14 that wouldn't be something I would be involved in. 14 go ahead and do that. But I'm not going to allow my 15 Do you know whose role it is? 15 client here to answer any questions regarding topics Q. That's the foreclosure coordinator. 16 that are not permitted. 16 A. 17 MR. WITTY: I can pull out the order if 17 Q. Do you know a name? 18 No, I do not. 18 you would like. A. 19 O. You said the title was foreclosure MR. BRODOWSKI: I would suggest you do 20 coordinator? 20 that. 21 21 MR. WITTY: You're objecting because --Correct. A. 22 Q. Is that the official title? 22 it's speaking to knowledge of the case at hand and 23 23 expertise. A. 24 Q. Have you met the foreclosure coordinator 24 MR. BRODOWSKI: This isn't a dialogue 25 on this case? 25 with me, but if you refer to the order it Page 19 Page 21 1 I don't know offhand who it was. 1 specifically details the topics you are allowed to 2 So you never had conversation with this Q. 2 ask about and that's not one of them. 3 foreclosure coordinator? MR. WITTY: Okay. I can go back and A. Correct. 4 4 refer to the order. I have no problem. I'm going to 5 Please state Bank of New York Mellon's 5 go back to that. We will come back to that. 6 legal address, including state of incorporation? Q. From what source of the funds originate 7 A. I do not know. 7 that were used to fund the loan in question in this 8 Have you ever been convicted of a Q. 8 lawsuit? 9 felony? 9 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Same. 10 A. No, I have not. 10 MR. WITTY: You're referring to the 11 O. Have you ever been convicted of any 11 court order? 12 crime involving moral turpitude? 12 MR. BRODOWSKI: Yes. 13 No, I have not. 13 MR. WITTY: You can answer, if you know. 14 Are you aware of any criminal 14 A. I do not know. 15 convictions or civil penalties against Bank of New Q. Under this loan, which person is 15 16 York Mellon? 16 required to account for the funds received and credit 17 MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form. 17 under the note terms? 18 A. No, not offhand. 18 MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form. You 19 Q. And remind me, I know you answered this, 19 can answer, if you know. 20 your title is? 20 A. I don't know. 21 Litigation manager. 21 How is default on the note determined by 22 So in the context of you being a 22 Bank of New York Mellon? 23 litigation manager for Bayview, do you understand 23 MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form. 24 every step in the banking loan business that is You can answer. Do you know? 24 25 required to fund a loan? 25 A. I don't know how Bank of New York Mellon

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

1 would, no. Q. Can you identify all documents and 3 writings that you read in preparation for this

4 deposition today?

A. Let's see, I've -- again, the documents 6 that you have asked earlier, about the deposition,

7 notice of intent. I reviewed the mortgage, payment

8 history, as well as our imaging system, which holds

9 all the documents that we have about this file. I

10 reviewed the customer service notes from Bayview, as

11 well as, I guess, all the litigation, foreclosure

12 documents associated with this loan.

13 Is there anything else?

14 A. I think that's pretty much all.

15 Were you employed on the date of the

16 notice of default on the subject property?

17 Yes, I was.

18 Q. And you were employed on the date it was

19 recorded as well?

20 MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form.

21 What was recorded?

22 Q. I will rephrase.

23 Were you employed on the date of the

24 notice of default, on the subject property that was

25 allegedly recorded?

1

4

Page 22

1 Objection asked and answered. Objection assuming

Page 24

Page 25

2 facts not in evidence.

MR. WITTY: Well, I don't think it was

4 asked and answered because I never asked about the

5 notice of default yet. But you're objecting to form

6 on top of that?

7

8

MR. BRODOWSKI: Yes.

Does the question make sense to you?

9 You don't have to answer, but does it make sense to 10 you?

11 You're asking me, was I employed at

12 Bayview when the notice of default was recorded?

13 Yes, that's the first part. Yes, you

14 can answer that one.

15 Do you know the date offhand? I don't

16 know what the exact date was.

If you need the date, I will come back 17

18 to that with the date. Let's move on.

Can you identify all persons, except

20 your attorney, with whom you discussed any aspect of

21 your expected testimony today?

22 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.

23 I didn't speak to anyone particularly

24 about this case.

25 Q. Were you told by anyone on how to

Page 23

MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form.

2 I was employed at Bayview, yes.

3 Okay. So Bayview was your employer.

What was your position at that time?

5 Let's see, I think I was either

6 transitioning from our commercial division to our

7 loan servicing division. I don't know exactly which

8 division I was at that point, but it was either the

9 commercial division or Bayview's loan servicing

10 division as an asset manager.

11 Okay. Do you remember your immediate

12 supervisor at the time?

13 A. That would have been Stacy Buchall

14 (sic).

15 Were you acting as an agent for any

16 person at the time the notice of default was

17 recorded?

18 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. And 18

19 objection, assuming facts that are not in evidence.

20 When the notice of default was recorded

21 with the subject property owned by Mr. Witty,

22 defendant in this case, please state if you were

23 acting as an agent of any person at the time of this

24 --

25 MR. BRODOWSKI: Object to the form. 1 testify or what to say in this deposition?

2 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form.

3 Privilege.

Q. I can rephrase if you want or you can

5 answer.

No, I was not.

Were you under the impression that you

8 are to intentionally omit any information in your

9 answers?

10 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.

A. I'm sorry, I don't understand your 11

12 question.

Okay. Did anyone give you the

14 impression that you discussed the case with, that you

15 were intentionally to omit information in any of your

16 answers regarding this case?

A. No.

Okay. How does Bank of New York Mellon

19 ordinarily verify, under oath, litigation discovery

20 responses, specifically the interrogatories, how do

21 they verify the interrogatory answers, Bank of New

22 York?

17

23 I don't believe that they do.

24 So you're saying, to your knowledge,

25 Bank of New York does not verify litigation discovery

	Pr 2/		P 20
1	Page 26 responses that are under oath?	1	Page 28 A. Yes, it's a copy of the mortgage.
2	A. No. That's the servicer's job.	2	Q. Who is the loan originator or the
3	Q. Did you verify the interrogatories in	3	-
	this case?	4	A. The lender then, again, was Heartland
5	A. No, I did not.		Home Finance.
6	Q. Why not?	6	Q. Okay. Thank you.
7	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Asked and	7	Was the mortgage associated with this
8	answered.		note?
9	A. It's not my job.	9	Was the mortgage associated with this
10	Q. That would be the litigation	10	note?
11	coordinator, just to review?	11	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
12	A. It could be someone else in the	12	Q. Please what documents are you looking
13	litigation department that handles, that's their job	13	at right now?
14	to handle interrogatories.	14	A. A copy of the note and the mortgage.
15	Q. Do you know who?	15	Q. Was the mortgage you were reviewing
16	A. Not offhand.	16	right now and the note ever signed and recorded?
17	Q. How did Bank of New York obtain the	17	A. The note excuse me, the mortgage was,
18	asset represented by the promissory note and mortgage	18	yes, it was signed and recorded.
19	in this matter?	19	Q. Who authorized this recordation?
20	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	20	A. I'm sorry, I don't know what you mean by
21	A. That, I do not know.		that.
22	(Exhibit-C, Promissory Note, marked for	22	Q. How did this in reference to
	identification.)		recording the mortgage associated with this note, who
24	Q. Do you recognize that document?		actually authorized who gave the order to put this
25	A. Yes, it's a copy of the note.	25	into action?
١.	Page 27		Page 29
1	Q. You're saying you don't know how Bank of	1	Who handled this?
2	New York obtained the asset represented by that	2	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
2 3	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note?	2 3	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance.
2 3 4	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	2 3 4	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are
2 3 4 5	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in	2 3 4 5	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever
2 3 4 5 6	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand.	2 3 4 5 6	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded?
2 3 4 5 6 7	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New	2 3 4 5 6 7	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that? A. That's just legal course of how loans	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder. Q. And who is the owner, for the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that? A. That's just legal course of how loans are assigned to different entities. Q. Okay. Who was the loan originator of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder. Q. And who is the owner, for the record? A. BONY, Bank of New York Mellon. Q. How did Bank of New York Mellon obtain those rights?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that? A. That's just legal course of how loans are assigned to different entities. Q. Okay. Who was the loan originator of the note?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder. Q. And who is the owner, for the record? A. BONY, Bank of New York Mellon. Q. How did Bank of New York Mellon obtain those rights? From whom were the rights obtained?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that? A. That's just legal course of how loans are assigned to different entities. Q. Okay. Who was the loan originator of the note? A. The originator was Heartland Home	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder. Q. And who is the owner, for the record? A. BONY, Bank of New York Mellon. Q. How did Bank of New York Mellon obtain those rights? From whom were the rights obtained? A. That, I do not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that? A. That's just legal course of how loans are assigned to different entities. Q. Okay. Who was the loan originator of the note? A. The originator was Heartland Home Finance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder. Q. And who is the owner, for the record? A. BONY, Bank of New York Mellon. Q. How did Bank of New York Mellon obtain those rights? From whom were the rights obtained? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know when?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that? A. That's just legal course of how loans are assigned to different entities. Q. Okay. Who was the loan originator of the note? A. The originator was Heartland Home Finance. (Exhibit D, Mortgage, marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder. Q. And who is the owner, for the record? A. BONY, Bank of New York Mellon. Q. How did Bank of New York Mellon obtain those rights? From whom were the rights obtained? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know when? A. Not offhand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	New York obtained the asset represented by that promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. I'm just verifying it with a document in your hand. A. I do not. I do not work for Bank of New York. Q. Okay. What procedure was followed to convey, assign or transfer the ownership rights to the subject note, to the loan originator, to assignee of the note, if there is one? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. There would be an assignment that transfers the rights. Q. How do you know that? A. That's just legal course of how loans are assigned to different entities. Q. Okay. Who was the loan originator of the note? A. The originator was Heartland Home Finance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Who handled this? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that Heartland Home Finance. Q. Okay. Was the mortgage that you are reviewing, that is associated with the note, ever signed and recorded? A. Yes, the mortgage has been assigned. Q. Okay. And when? A. I don't know offhand. Q. What documents does bank Bank of New York Mellon have to prove it owns the local rights under the note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I would say that they are in position of a blank endorsed notes, so the owner is the holder. Q. And who is the owner, for the record? A. BONY, Bank of New York Mellon. Q. How did Bank of New York Mellon obtain those rights? From whom were the rights obtained? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know when?

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

	D 40		n
1	Page 30	1	Page 32 A. That, I do not know.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	plaintiff's promissory note? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. That, I do not know.Q. Do you know when it was done, the dates?
3	A. Yes, they obviously did. They are the	$\frac{2}{3}$	A. I do not.
4	current holder of the note, so they are the owner of	4	Q. Do you know the person specified as the
5	it. When it happened, I do not know.		trustee with the right to enforce foreclosure?
6	Q. What mechanism conveyed the note to Bank		MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form.
7	of New York Mellon?	7	A. No, I do not.
8	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	8	Q. Okay. So the origination of the note
9	A. What do you mean by "mechanism"?	9	and mortgage, which you stated, traveled to the Bank
10	Q. What vehicle?	10	
11	How did they come to obtain the rights	11	arrived in their possession, is that correct?
12	to that promissory note?	12	A. Yes.
13	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	13	Q. Explain to me, as a professional, how
14	A. They purchased the rights to it.		
15	Q. Do you have evidence of that?	15	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
16	A. No, I do not.	16	A. I don't know how Bank of New York Mellor
17	Q. Documents?	17	
18	A. I'm sure there are documents, but I have	18	
19	not seen those.	19	
20	Q. So you have no knowledge of that?	20	Q. So you don't know how they arrived at
21	A. Correct.	21	and allegedly possessing the note in the mortgage?
22	Q. Who presently has the Witty promissory	22	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
23	note and where is it kept for inspection?	23	Q. As trustee?
24	A. Our local counsel is in possession of	24	A. No, I do not.
25	it.	25	Q. You don't know who authorized the
	Page 31		Page 33
1	Q. Do you have the name of the address,	1	Page 33 conveyance, if there was one?
2	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record?	1 2	conveyance, if there was one? A. No, I do not.
_	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record?A. No, I do not.	2 3	conveyance, if there was one? A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of
2 3 4	 Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, 	2 3 4	conveyance, if there was one? A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf,
2 3 4 5	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets?	2 3 4 5	conveyance, if there was one? A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real estate asset?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did happen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real estate asset? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did happen? A. Not offhand, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real estate asset? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I don't know the answer to that. Sounds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did happen? A. Not offhand, no. Q. If you had to take an estimate, would it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real estate asset? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I don't know the answer to that. Sounds like a legal question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did happen? A. Not offhand, no. Q. If you had to take an estimate, would it be Bayview, would it be Bank of New York Mellon, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real estate asset? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I don't know the answer to that. Sounds like a legal question. Q. Okay. Who at Bank of New York Mellon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did happen? A. Not offhand, no. Q. If you had to take an estimate, would it be Bayview, would it be Bank of New York Mellon, what entity would be involved in that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real estate asset? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I don't know the answer to that. Sounds like a legal question. Q. Okay. Who at Bank of New York Mellon authorized the assignment of the note to any third	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did happen? A. Not offhand, no. Q. If you had to take an estimate, would it be Bayview, would it be Bank of New York Mellon, what entity would be involved in that? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Do you have the name of the address, just for the record? A. No, I do not. Q. Who, at Bank of New York Mellon, supervises the acquisition of real estate assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: I can rephrase unless you want him to answer. MR. BRODOWSKI: Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. You're not allowed to ask those questions. MR. WITTY: Well, I'm trying to discover evidence from a case. I'm just asking about real estate asset. Would you agree that the note and mortgage would be considered, or disagree, a real estate asset? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I don't know the answer to that. Sounds like a legal question. Q. Okay. Who at Bank of New York Mellon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No, I do not. Q. Was an insurance claim made by Bank of New York Mellon or anyone acting on its behalf, asking for the payment of proceeds arising from the default on the note alleged by Bank of New York Mellon? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. I believe it's also beyond the scope of permitted testimony. Q. Can you answer that? I can counter that. A. No, I do not. Q. So you don't know if an insurance claim was made? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know who would know, if it did happen? A. Not offhand, no. Q. If you had to take an estimate, would it be Bayview, would it be Bank of New York Mellon, what entity would be involved in that?

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

	Page 34		Page 36
1	such a claim, then they would know.	1	that aspect.
2	Q. Do you know what department that would	2	Q. Do you know how the servicer arrived at
1	be?	3	the payment deficiency claimed?
4	A. That, I do not know.	4	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
5	Q. When did Bank of New York Mellon first	5	A. Like I said before, they would review
6	decide the loan was in default?	6	their business records to see that there's been
7	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.		there hasn't been payments made.
8	A. That, offhand, I do not know. In my	8	Q. Okay. Do you know when this was
9	experience it would be one of their servicers that	9	determined by Bank of New York Mellon?
	would determine that for them.	10	A. Offhand, I do not.
11	Q. When you say "one of the servicers," how	11	Q. Do you know by whom?
12	is this typically done?	12	A. Offhand, I do not.
13	A. Sure. If a servicer is servicing a	13	Q. Do you know what specific documents
14	loan, they have a check and balance system that would	14	
15	determine that the loan is in default. Then they	15	default?
16	have a different procedures to move forward.	16	A. I don't know what the prior servicer
17	Q. Can you give me an example of a	17	would use to determine that.
18	procedure in your experience or two?	18	Q. Okay. If I hand you the Rule 26
19	A. You could review the payment history and	19	document, can you identify each document that shows
20	see that payments have not be made. We would	20	that default occurred?
21	consider that a default. You could look in their	21	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
22	systems, what we call mortgage servicing platform,	22	A. No.
23	which would show that the loan is in default.	23	Q. Do you know who could?
24	Q. Okay. So there's a that platform is	24	A. The prior servicer who handled that
25	a computer program?	25	aspect of it.
	Page 35		Page 37
1	A. Correct.	1	Q. Do you know who that is?
2	Q. A log entry? I'm not trying to put	2	A. Without knowing the date, I don't know
3	words in your mouth, just trying to understand.	3	offhand.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. Do you know who the prior servicer was,
5	Q. In this case you don't know who	5	in general?
	authorized the declaration of default or do you?	6	A. Yes. There were three other prior
7			servicers.
8	Q. Do you know if Bank of New York Mellon	8	Q. Can you name them?
	understood the requirements in New Jersey law at the	9	A. Yes. There was originally Country Wide
	time the note was declared in default?	١	
11	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	11	went to Ditec; then it went to Bayview.
12	A. That, I do not know.	12	Q. Thank you. Was there an assignment of
13	Q. Do you know how the payment deficiency		1 3
	was arrived at or calculated by Bank of New York	14	A. Yes. There was, I believe, a few
	MR PRODOWSKI. Objection to form	15	assignments. Q. Okay. How many?
16	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	16	
17	A. I'm sorry, how the payment deficiency was calculated?	17	
19		18 19	Q. Do you know how that was accomplished? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
	Q. Yeah. If there was so if Bank of New York Mellon is saying there's a payment deficiency,	20	A. No, I do not.
	do you know how they arrived at that deficiency?	21	Q. Do you know from whom the assignment was
22	Do you know their procedure or their	22	received, any of the assignments?
1 44	exact procedure?	23	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
23	CARCE HIVECUITE:	. 43	THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
	-		
24	A. Again, it would be the servicer. It wouldn't be Bank of New York Mellon that would handle	24 25	A. Offhand, no I do not. Q. Or when?

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

	Page 38		Page 40
$\frac{1}{2}$	A. Again, if there's a document that you	1	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
	would like me to review I would be happy to, but not	2	A. Yes.
	,	3	Q. Okay. Did you know who was the
4	Q. Okay. Could you know who at Bank of Nev	v 4 5	2 8
5 6	York Mellon authorized the acceptance? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	6	Mellon trust over this asset pool? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
7	A. No, I do not.	7	
8	Q. And when any of the assignments were	8	MR. WITTY: Well, are you instructing
9	perfected?		your client not to answer?
10	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	10	MR. BRODOWSKI: He can answer if he
11	A. No, I do not.		knows.
12	Q. Was there an assignment of the mortgage,	12	MR. WITTY: Okay.
	Exhibit-B?	13	A. No, I do not.
14	A. I'm sorry, is there an assignment of the	14	Q. Prior to the alleged transfer of the
	mortgage?	15	note to Bank of New York Mellon as trustee for the
16	Q. Was there an assignment of the mortgage,		trust, what was the value of the trust assets?
	which is Exhibit-B I'm sorry, Exhibit-D?	17	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
18	A. Yes.		Objection beyond the scope of permitted testimony.
19	Q. Yes, you said?	19	A. That, I don't know.
20	A. Exhibit-D, I don't have Exhibit-D.	20	Q. Did the trust, under the pooling and
21	Q. The mortgage, I'm sorry. It's right	21	servicing agreement have a deadline or cutoff, which
22	here.	22	no more assets could be deposited or transferred into
23	A. Yes, there has been assignments of the	23	the trust corpus?
24	mortgage.	24	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
25	Q. Okay. How is that accomplished?	25	A. That, I do not know.
_		_	
	Page 39		Page 41
1	Page 39 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form.	1	Q. Do you know the deadline?
1 2	Page 39 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we	1 2	The state of the s
	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form.	_	Q. Do you know the deadline?
2 3	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we	2	Q. Do you know the deadline?A. No, I do not.Q. What happens if asset was received after
2 3	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any	2 3	Q. Do you know the deadline?A. No, I do not.Q. What happens if asset was received after
2 3 4 5	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed.	2 3 4	Q. Do you know the deadline?A. No, I do not.Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline?
2 3 4 5	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Do you know the deadline?A. No, I do not.Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline?A. I do not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know. Q. Do you understand the question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who may know the answer is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know. Q. Do you understand the question? A. Honestly, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who may know the answer is to these questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know. Q. Do you understand the question? A. Honestly, no. Q. Okay. So do you agree that Bank of New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who may know the answer is to these questions? A. Someone at Bank of New York Mellon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know. Q. Do you understand the question? A. Honestly, no. Q. Okay. So do you agree that Bank of New York Mellon in this case is listed as trustee?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who may know the answer is to these questions? A. Someone at Bank of New York Mellon. Q. Okay. Do you know specifically who?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know. Q. Do you understand the question? A. Honestly, no. Q. Okay. So do you agree that Bank of New York Mellon in this case is listed as trustee? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who may know the answer is to these questions? A. Someone at Bank of New York Mellon. Q. Okay. Do you know specifically who? A. No, I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know. Q. Do you understand the question? A. Honestly, no. Q. Okay. So do you agree that Bank of New York Mellon in this case is listed as trustee? A. Yes. Q. And there was, typically, a pool of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who may know the answer is to these questions? A. Someone at Bank of New York Mellon. Q. Okay. Do you know specifically who? A. No, I do not. Q. So at Bayview, please describe how a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. A. Again, that was done prior to when we started servicing this loan, so I don't have any knowledge about how that was performed. Q. So you don't know from whom the assignment was received when A. Not without having the assignment in front of me. Q. Who is the authorized agent acting for Bank of New York Mellon trust or as trustee over the asset pool of notes within the corpus of trust? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form, objection. I believe that's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. MR. WITTY: Do you want me to rephrase or can you answer? A. I don't know. Q. Do you understand the question? A. Honestly, no. Q. Okay. So do you agree that Bank of New York Mellon in this case is listed as trustee? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Do you know the deadline? A. No, I do not. Q. What happens if asset was received after the cutoff deadline? A. I do not know. Q. What would happen to the note or mortgage received as an asset, but the deposited of corpus trust after the deadline? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. What is the provision in the pooling servicing agreement that governs the consequence of late acquired assets? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Objection. It's beyond the scope of permitted testimony. He can answer, if he knows. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who may know the answer is to these questions? A. Someone at Bank of New York Mellon. Q. Okay. Do you know specifically who? A. No, I do not.

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

	Page 42		Page 44
1	A. Sure. So payment would come in. If it	1	Who examined the defendant's note at
2	comes in over the phone, there's a representative on	2	Bank of New York Mellon to determine that it was in
3	the phone who would take the information, process the	3	default?
4	payment and make a note that a payment was received.	4	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
5	Same goes for if it came in the mail,	5	Q. I can rephrase that, unless you want to
6	goes to the payment center. They would go ahead and	6	answer?
7	look to see the check, send it to cashier and make a	7	A. That, I do not know.
8	note in the system that the payment was received.	8	Q. Can you define the notice of default as
9	Q. When they make a note, where is that	9	you understand the term?
10	entered?	10	A. Also referred to as a demand letter.
11	A. That's in the mortgage and servicing		Letter stating that there's back due payments that
12	platform that controls all the different payments		are owed. Gives them a time period to cure those, as
	whether it was escrow, insurance, taxes or just		well as the amount that is owed. And it also
14	regular payments.		provides information for legal help moving forward,
15	Q. Okay. Is that standard, Bank of New		default letters are also always sent certified and
16	York Mellon use that same methodology?		regular mail.
17	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	17	Q. You mentioned the time period.
18	A. My understanding is, I don't believe	18	Do you know the exact time period in New
	Bank of America ever served or Bank of New York		Jersey?
	Mellon ever serviced their loans, so I don't think	20	A. I don't know offhand. It's generally
1	they would ever take payments.		anywhere from 30 to 45 days.
22	Q. Was this process that you just described	22	Q. Okay. What guidelines are used to
23	followed regarding the defendant's note payments?	23	determine if a loan is in default?
24	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	24	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
25	A. Are you asking if your payments that you	25	A. I can speak to Bayview's. Again, we
1	Page 43	,	Page 45
1	made were following that procedure?	l .	have a system of checks and balances to review if
2	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just	2	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in
2 3	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described?	3	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure
2 3 4	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no	2	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they
2 3 4 5	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I	2 3 4	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that.
2 3 4 5 6	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were	2 3 4 5 6	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon
2 3 4 5 6	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would	2 3 4 5 6	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were	2 3 4 5 6 7	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of default being mailed in an envelope addressed to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a loan is in default?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of default being mailed in an envelope addressed to the plaintiff, Witty, at any time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a loan is in default? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of default being mailed in an envelope addressed to the plaintiff, Witty, at any time? A. No, I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a loan is in default? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of default being mailed in an envelope addressed to the plaintiff, Witty, at any time? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you know who would have seen this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a loan is in default? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who authorized or gave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of default being mailed in an envelope addressed to the plaintiff, Witty, at any time? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you know who would have seen this, any idea?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a loan is in default? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who authorized or gave permission for the notice of default in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of default being mailed in an envelope addressed to the plaintiff, Witty, at any time? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you know who would have seen this, any idea? A. Offhand, I don't know. Do you know when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a loan is in default? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who authorized or gave permission for the notice of default in this case? A. Offhand, no, I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	made were following that procedure? Q. Yes, the procedure that you just described? A. Well, as far as I know there were no payments made to Bayview. The other servicers, I would assume that Bank of America, since they were the first servicer, that that's how they would process your payments. Q. How do you know that? A. I have been trained on Bank of America systems they have the same type of procedure as we do, that payments are logged and dated at the time they are received. Q. It's not an assumption, you do know this? A. Yes. Q. Did you permanently witness a notice of default being mailed in an envelope addressed to the plaintiff, Witty, at any time? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you know who would have seen this, any idea? A. Offhand, I don't know. Do you know when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have a system of checks and balances to review if payments aren't made then the loan would be in default. It would be moved into the foreclosure department where they would take the steps that they would need to move forward with that. Q. And who at Bank of New York Mellon assures that this procedure is in compliance? A. That, I do not know. Q. Who at Bank of New York assures compliance with the notice of default? A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know if it was done in this case, if there was a compliance officer at Bank of New York Mellon? A. That, I do not know. Q. What steps does Bank of New York Mellon take to determine sufficient facts to establish a loan is in default? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you know who authorized or gave permission for the notice of default in this case?

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

Page 46 1 default is recorded compliantly with the terms and 1 What is an endorsement affixed to a 2 promissory note? 2 condition imposed by New Jersey law? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. Sometimes there's a blank endorsement 3 4 for an endorsement that gives the rights to the 4 No, I do not. 5 promissory note to that endorsed party. What training is required to assure that 6 employee of Bank of New York Mellon follows the 6 Can you refer to the note, which is 7 statutory requirements? 7 Exhibit-C, and show me that? 8 This is the allonge. 8 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. 9 9 I'm sorry. Here it is. That, I do not know. A. (Exhibit-E, Allonge, marked for 10 What documents relied upon for Bank of 10 11 identification.) 11 New York Mellon -- strike that. 12 So, Exhibit-E, can you read the top for 12 Do you know if Bank of New York Mellon 13 has a training program for bank compliance with state 13 me? 14 laws regarding foreclosure in New Jersey? 14 Yeah. It's the allonge to the note. A. 15 15 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Q. So I will ask again, what's the 16 endorsement fixed to a promissory note? 16 Objection, beyond the scope of permitted testimony. 17 17 This dormant is endorsed in blank. A. That, I do not know. What is it used for? 18 Who at Bank of New York Mellon would 18 19 Shows ownership of the note. 19 certify that the discovery that was answered was Α. 20 truthful and fully answered? 20 Q. In this case does it show ownership? 21 Since it's blank endorsed, it's like a 21 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. 22 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 bearer bond, whoever is holding note has the 23 MR. WITTY: I don't think it was asked 23 ownership rights. 24 Okay. What does "paid to the order of" 24 and answered. I mean, we can look back. I didn't O. 25 on a promissory note represent? 25 ask this question for Bank of New York Mellon. Page 47 That, I do not know. But, again, it's 1 1 2 the servicer's job to perform those duties, not Bank 2 The person that has the legal rights to A. 3 of New York Mellon. 3 the note. 4 Q. Do you know who did it in this case? Q. Do you see stamps on the allonge with 5 A. Again, without knowing the date I don't 5 the quotes "paid to the order of"? 6 know offhand. A. Yes. 7 Would it be in the loan file or the 7 Q. Can you read the signature, the stamp to 8 computer at Bayview records? 8 me for the record?

- A. As far as to the default letter?
- 10 Q. Uh-huh.
- 11 A.
- 12 O. And would it be on record on who
- 13 certified that discovery was truthful?
- 14 Yeah, whoever provided discovery would
- 15 certify that these are true and accurate copies.
- And that wasn't you, it was somebody Q. 16
- 17 else: correct?
- 18 A.
- 19 O. Do you understand what a discovery
- 20 verification is?
- 21 Yes, generally.
- 22 MR. WITTY: Do you mind taking a short
- 23 break? five minutes? ten? We can go off record.
- 24 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 25 Back on the record. Q.

- MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.

- A. Sure. There's "paid to the order of
- 10 Country Wide Bank, NA." There's "paid to the order
- 11 of Country Wide Home Loans without recourse," from
- 12 Country Wide blank, NA. Then there's a "paid to the
- 13 order of, blank," from Country Wide Home Loans,
- 14 signed by Michelle Sollinger (sic).
- 15 Q. Who is the other signature please?
- 16 A. Laurie Meete (sic).
- 17 And also there's a third stamp. Can you O.
- 18 describe that?
- 19 A. Sure. It looks like it's "paid to the
- 20 order of, blank" that has been voided out.
- 21 Q. Why would that be voided?
- 22 That, I do not know.
- 23 Is that out of the ordinary?
- 24 A. Not really. I have seen this before on
- 25 certain files.

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

Page 48

Page 50 Page 52 1 entities. 1 Okay. What evidence is there that Bank 2 of New York Mellon actually funded my alleged loan? 2 Q. Do you know which one? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 3 A. Not offhand. 4 Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. 4 O. What is a trustee? 5 They are -- I guess, they are the owner 5 And objection, assumes facts not in evidence. 6 of the note and the pool of loans as trustee for the That, I don't know offhand. 7 7 trust or the securitization of the loans. So if it was funded, if you don't know 8 Q. Was MERS, M-E-R-S, involved in my note 8 you wouldn't know where the funds come from or do you 9 know where the funds come from? 9 or mortgage? 10 MR. BRODOWSKI: Same objections. 10 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Yes. It looks like MERS was elected as 11 11 A. I do not know where the funds come from. 12 O. You don't know to whom the funds were 12 a nominee. 13 paid? 13 O. So as nominee, what role did they play? 14 My understanding of MERS is that they 14 MR. BRODOWSKI: Same objections. 15 are just a third party that becomes the nominee and 15 No, I do not. 16 then allows for easy transfer to other parties once 16 How did Bank of New York Mellon become 17 involved in my note? 17 the note is purchased or transferred. And you read the endorsements on the 18 My understanding is that they purchased 19 the note and then securitized the loan with a bunch 19 bottom, the stamps, are they the entities or 20 of other mortgages. Then they hire servicers to 20 employees that endorsed the note, on the allonge? 21 You read it previously. I can ask, who 21 service the loan. 22 at Country Wide authorized the dormant of the note? 22 From whom do they purchase it from? 23 23 That, offhand, I do not know. At Country Wide -- which Country Wide, A. 24 What role did the Bank of New York 24 Country Wide Home Loans or Country Wide Bank? 25 25 Mellon play in acquiring the note? Q. Whatever it says. Page 51 Page 53 1 Well, they are the owner of the note. Again, Lauren Meete assigned it from 2 Okay. What role do they play as trustee 2 Country Wide bank to Country Wide Home Loans. Then Q. 3 in acquiring that note? 3 Country Wide Home Loans endorsed it in blank. MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. You 4 4 Q. Okay. 5 can answer, if you know. MR. WITTY: First Collateral Services 6 They are the trustee for the 6 bailee letter as Exhibit-F. 7 securitization of the trust, so... (Exhibit-F, First Collateral Bailee 8 So what evidence is there that Bank of 8 Letter, marked for identification.) 9 New York Mellon as trustee owns the note? 9 This is Exhibit-F, bailee letter. 10 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 10 Can you read me the header on top? 11 They are the holder of the note. 11 "First Collateral Services, Inc. 12 Q. Do you have documents to show that? 12 Mortgage Company; Heartland Mortgage Company 13 Well, there wouldn't be any documents 13 Investor; Country Wide Home Loans, Inc. 14 that show that they are a holder of a blank endorsed 14 Next word? 15 note. They just have a blank note. It's like a 15 A. Bailee letter. 16 bearer bond, whoever has it owns the right to the 16 What is a bailee letter? 17 note. 17 It's usually a letter that's provided 18 Who is the original beneficiary? 18 when the transfer of a loan takes place, when 19 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 19 transfer of the note and mortgage. 20 Of the note? O. 20 What was -- did First Collateral 21 Well, the original lender was -- again, 21 Services, were they ever assigned the note and 22 was Heartland Home Finance. 22 mortgage in question? 23 And who is the original trustee? 23 Offhand, I do not know. 24 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 24 Was Citibank ever -- did anyone in this

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

25 letter, either Heartland or Country Wide Loans,

One of the Bank of New York trust

Page 54 Page 56 1 purchase a mortgage loan from Citibank and send funds 1 happen next? 2 to Citibank? 2 What is the next step, based on your MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 3 knowledge, of the process? 4 That, I do not know. 4 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Did Bank of New York Mellon deal with Sure. So if a borrower is approved for 6 first collateral services in reference to the 6 loan modification, they would receive the copy of the 7 mortgage in question? 7 loan modification, which they would have to sign and 8 send back. Then there's usually a trial period of That, I do not know. How was MERS involved with both Country 9 anywhere from three to six months where they would 10 Wide and First Collateral Services in the context of 10 make trial payments. Those payments would be applied 11 the bailee letter? 11 against the principal. 12 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form. 12 Then there would be the conversion 13 Q. I can rephrase or you can answer. 13 process where, you know, title would be run and 14 A. I don't know MERS's involvement. 14 everything would be confirmed that this can actually 15 be completely modified. It would be a final 15 So is it safe to say, you're not 16 familiar with any transactions in reference to the 16 agreement sent to the borrower where you would have 17 bailee letter? 17 to have it signed and notified. 18 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 18 Thank you. So let's assume for a moment 19 A. That's correct. 19 that happened in this case, would Bank of New York 20 Q. Okay. Thank you. 20 send any papers, letters, correspondence, confirming MR. BRODOWSKI: I would like to clarify 21 21 the modification offer? 22 something about the bailee letter proffered as 22 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 23 Exhibit-F. 23 A. Bank of New York Mellon would not. It's 24 Mr. Witty, if you wouldn't mind, would 24 the servicer's role to do that. They would provide 25 you please read the Bates number at the bottom of 25 copies of all the documents associated with the loan Page 55 Page 57 1 modification and it would be uploaded into imaging. 1 that document just so that it's part of the record? MR. WITTY: Absolutely. Bates No. 2 Then the records, and what we call MSB, would be 2 3 BNYMELLON-003640. It's also printed on it. 3 updated to reflect new terms and so forth. 4 So the servicing, if it was BAC or 4 MR. BRODOWSKI: Thank you. 5 Q. If a borrower wanted to modify the terms 5 Country Wide was the servicing bank, they would 6 follow the same procedure you just described? 6 of the loan or -- if a borrower wanted to modify the 7 A. 7 terms of a loan, what protocol does Bank of New York Yes. Okay. How does the acceptance of the 8 Mellon have in place to accomplish that modification? 9 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 9 borrower's approval of the offer to modify work, in 10 the same manner or different? 10 A. I would say that Bank of New York Mellon MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 11 has nothing to do with the modifications. They would 11 12 rely on their servicer to do so. 12 A. Usually, it's in my experience the 13 Define "modification." 13 acceptance of that is the first payment of the trial 14 modification. 14 So modification is taking back due 15 15 payments, adding them onto the loan, allowing the First payment. Okay. 16 borrower to get current and move forward, usually 16 So the first payment would be --17 constitute acceptance of the modification or novation 17 with a lower payment. 18 offer? 18 And define "novation," please. 19 Define what? 19 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. Α. 20 Yes, in my experience. 20 O. "Novation." The term "novation." 21 21 Novation? I don't know what that means. In your experience. Okay. 22 And for the servicing bank, what's the 22 So I define it as a loan modification, 23 usual paper trail? Would it be kept in a loan file? 23 just for this question. 24 in the computer? So if a borrower was given an approval

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

Tell me a little bit about that.

25

25 for a loan modification, or novation, what would

Page 58

- Yeah. It would be -- you would have the 2 information at MSP, which would be updated as far as
- 3 the terms, rate, things of that sort. Then you would
- 4 have imaged copies of the signed notarized final
- 5 conversion documents.
- O. So if there was a letter, there would be
- 7 an image copy that's typical protocol or typical
- 8 procedure, is that what I'm understanding?
- A. Yes.
- 10 That would be placed in the loan file as
- 11 well or would it be kept on a computer in paper file?
- Yeah. Usually, the original documents
- 13 would go into the collateral then imaged copies would
- 14 be part of the image system. So the system would be
- 15 noted, MSP would be noted there was modification done
- 16 on this date. There would also be copies of the
- 17 signed documents from the borrower, as well as in the
- 18 payment history, you would see, you know, the lower
- 19 payment made for the period of time that it was
- 20 scheduled for.
- 21 Q. That would be the same with any
- 22 correspondence if there was a verbal conversation and
- 23 follow-up correspondence, a letter or e-mail that
- 24 would be the same procedure, image copy would be made
- 25 and placed in the computer?

- Page 59
- 1 A. If there was a -- like for instance, if
- 2 you were to order verbally a modification there would
- 3 be a note in the servicer's customer relations
- 4 system.
- 5 Got it. O.
- But then there would have to be
- 7 documents sign by the borrower as well.
- So if there was a verbal confirmation
- 9 that would be shown in the notes, the computer notes,
- 10 whoever made that offer would enter that into the
- 11 system?
- 12 I'm just trying to fully understand.
- A. Yeah. If you're a customer, you call up
- 14 and you had sent in documents, we had them reviewed
- 15 by underwriting, we were able to get you approved via
- 16 loan modification, there's what's called an MLO call,
- 17 which depending on what state a licensed individual
- 18 from the company has to call the borrower, go over
- 19 the terms so they are aware. The borrower would have
- 20 to sign the document and send in the first payment to
- 21 officially start the modification trial plan.
- 22 Q. Okay. Any initial conversation and
- 23 follow-up would also be entered in image form.
- So if a letter was sent as confirmation,
- 25 yes, we discussed that, that would be an image copied

- 1 and entered into the file. Is that correct?
 - No. There wouldn't be any, like, image
 - 3 document. There would be copies of the agreement,

Page 60

Page 61

- 4 but there wouldn't be -- for instance, if there -- if
- 5 I called you up and said you were approved for a loan
- 6 modification, in two or three days you're going to
- 7 see the Fed Ex envelope, with the copy of that
- 8 agreement imaged into the system.
- Q. How do they follow-up verbal
- 10 conversation? How is that done?
 - Then the person would create a note in
- 12 there saying "spoke to such and such, you know, read
- 13 the Miranda," went over the details and they would
- 14 take notes on the conversation.
- 15 Q. If there was a follow-up letter sent by
- 16 me, how would that be recorded, just memorializing
- 17 the conversation, how is that recorded?
- 18 So with Bayview, any document sent to us
- 19 associated with your loan gets uploaded to the
- 20 imaging site and is attached to your file.
- 21 O. Okay. So that would be in the file
- 22 then.
- 23 How about, do you know, does Country
- 24 Wide or BAC or Bank of New York have the same
- 25 process?
 - A. I believe the servicer at that point was
- 2 Bank of America, which is Country Wide and BAC, they
- 3 are all associated. Yeah, they would have to have
- 4 the signed loan modification. They would have to
- 5 have, you know, the first payment received and so
- 7 Q. Okay. Any correspondence would also be
- 8 in their file?
- 9 A. Yes
- 10 Q. And associated to the loan?
- 11 Generally, yes. They have a system that
- 12 would take notes for all their conversations.
- Q. Okay. Based on your own personal
- 14 knowledge, do you understand the State of New Jersey
- 15 foreclosure requirements as a person most qualified?
- 16 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- 17 A. Are you asking me do I know all the
- 18 guidelines for New Jersey foreclosure requirements?
- 19 Know and understand it.
- 20 A. I would say no.
- 21 Q. Some laws?
- 22 I work in every state, so every state
- 23 has something different, so...
- 24 How do you acquaint yourself with the Q.
- 25 laws?

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

Page 6	Page 64
1 A. Well, again, that's not my	1 county if you can read in bold, count eight?
2 responsibility at Bayview to handle that type of	2 A. "Fraud and deceptive punitive damages."
3 compliance, I guess.	3 Q. Would you agree that Bank of New York
4 Q. Okay. Do you know who does or what	4 Mellon has been sued for fraud?
5 department?	5 A. Yes.
6 A. We have a whole compliance department	6 Q. As a person most strike that.
7 that handles those types of state-to-state specific	7 Basing on your understanding on
8 laws.	8 foreclosure procedure and protocol, used by Bank of
9 Q. Have you read the complaint filed	9 New York Mellon, Bank of New York Mellon filed each
10 against the defendant's in this action?	10 aspect of the foreclosure procedure and protocol in
11 A. Yes, I reviewed it.	11 handling this loan followed?
12 Q. You understand the content?	12 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
13 A. Yes.	13 A. Again, it would be the servicer's
14 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Just to	14 responsibility to do so.
15 clarify. Can you please clarify which complaint	15 Q. The servicer represents explain to me
16 you're talking about?	16 the relationship between servicer and Bank of New
17 Q. The complaint Witty vs. Bank of New York	17 York Mellon.
18 Mellon that was filed in federal court that we have	18 A. Sure. So Bayview is the current
19 come here to discuss today.	19 servicer for Bank of New York Mellon. We have a
20 A. Yes, I have seen that document.	20 Power of Attorney to act on their behalf, and to
21 Q. Okay. There's two cases, I'm referring	21 handle all aspects of the servicing of the loan.
22 to Witty vs. Bank of New York now. You know what,	Q. Power of Attorney to act on their
23 let's do this, let's be specific.	23 behalf.
24 (Exhibit-G, Complaint Witty versus Bank	So with Power of Attorney, did Bank of
25 of New York Mellon, marked for identification.)	25 New York Mellon follow each aspect of the foreclosure
Page 6	Page 65
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is	Page 65 protocol in the handling of this loan in question
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al.	Page 65 protocol in the handling of this loan in question today?
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court,	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that?
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action?	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that?
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it,	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content?	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that?
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al. 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract?	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly. 14 Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly. 14 Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves 15 that it was done correctly?
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty. 16 Q. But you reviewed it and you understand	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly. 14 Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves 15 that it was done correctly? 16 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty. 16 Q. But you reviewed it and you understand 17 it. Okay.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly. 14 Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves 15 that it was done correctly? 16 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 17 A. That, I do not know.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty. 16 Q. But you reviewed it and you understand 17 it. Okay. 18 If you can turn to Page 13, count five.	Page 65 I protocol in the handling of this loan in question today? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. As far as I know, yes. Q. How do you know that? A. There was nothing otherwise that would say that nothing was followed, the protocol. Q. Do you have evidence to that? A. There was no evidence of the contrary. Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but you have evidence proving that? A. There's no evidence proving that something was not done correctly. Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves that it was done correctly? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you have any documents?
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty. 16 Q. But you reviewed it and you understand 17 it. Okay. 18 If you can turn to Page 13, count five. 19 If you can just read count five, just the in bold.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly. 14 Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves 15 that it was done correctly? 16 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 17 A. That, I do not know.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty. 16 Q. But you reviewed it and you understand 17 it. Okay. 18 If you can turn to Page 13, count five. 19 If you can just read count five, just the in bold.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 2 today? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly. 14 Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves 15 that it was done correctly? 16 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 17 A. That, I do not know. 18 Q. Do you have any documents? 19 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty. 16 Q. But you reviewed it and you understand 17 it. Okay. 18 If you can turn to Page 13, count five. 19 If you can just read count five, just the in bold. 20 A. "Breach of contract, against all	Page 65 I protocol in the handling of this loan in question MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. As far as I know, yes. Q. How do you know that? A. There was nothing otherwise that would say that nothing was followed, the protocol. Q. Do you have evidence to that? A. There was no evidence of the contrary. Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but you have evidence proving that? A. There's no evidence proving that? A. There's no evidence proving that something was not done correctly. Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves that it was done correctly? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. That, I do not know. Q. Do you have any documents? MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. A. I wouldn't know what document to look at
Page 6 1 Q. So you can review Exhibit-G. This is 2 Witty vs. Bank of New York Mellon as trustee, et al 3 complaint, filed with the U.S. District Court, 4 December 7, 2015. So I will ask the same question 5 again with the document in front of you. 6 Have you read the operative complaint 7 filed against the defendant's in this action? 8 A. Yes, I reviewed it. 9 Q. You reviewed and do you understand it, 10 the content? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you agree that Bank of New York 13 Mellon has been sued for fraud and breach of 14 contract? 15 A. That I don't know with any certainty. 16 Q. But you reviewed it and you understand 17 it. Okay. 18 If you can turn to Page 13, count five. 19 If you can just read count five, just the in bold. 20 A. "Breach of contract, against all 21 defenses," or "defendants," excuse me.	Page 65 1 protocol in the handling of this loan in question 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 4 A. As far as I know, yes. 5 Q. How do you know that? 6 A. There was nothing otherwise that would 7 say that nothing was followed, the protocol. 8 Q. Do you have evidence to that? 9 A. There was no evidence of the contrary. 10 Q. There's no evidence disproving that, but 11 you have evidence proving that? 12 A. There's no evidence proving that 13 something was not done correctly. 14 Q. Okay. Is there any evidence that proves 15 that it was done correctly? 16 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 17 A. That, I do not know. 18 Q. Do you have any documents? 19 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 20 A. I wouldn't know what document to look at 21 to prove that this was done correctly. It's a legal

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

Did you personally observe the notice of

24

25

A.

Q.

Correct.

A.

Q.

Okay. If you can turn to Page 15,

24

Page 66 Page 68 1 default being prepared? 1 that person? 2 2 No. A. No, I did not. 3 Q. Or being mailed? Q. Do you know if any of the loan filed No. 4 documents were withheld from the plaintiffs until 5 Recorded? 5 after the court signed a protective order? That, I do not know. 7 7 Q. Do you know who it was mailed to that it Q. So you went over what a modification of 8 was in default? 8 a promissory note made by Bank of New York Mellon or 9 their servicing bank is agreed, you already reviewed Bayview's procedure is to supply the 10 notice to the borrower on record and all addresses 10 the documents you would expect to see in the loan 11 that we have on file. 11 file that support that modification? 12 O. Did the servicing bank or Bank of New 12 Correct. I didn't see any documents to 13 York Mellon follow that procedure, do you know who it 13 support a loan modification. 14 was mailed to in my case, the notice of default, if So there's no documents, and do you know Q. 15 it was mailed to me or who it was mailed to? 15 why not? A. I don't know when the exact date of the 16 A. There wasn't a loan modification or else 17 default was sent, so I can't. 17 there would be proof of those documents. Q. Do you know who at Bank of New York 18 How do you know that for sure? 18 19 Mellon prepared the notice of default? 19 I reviewed the business records and 20 Again, my understanding is the servicer 20 there's no copies of any type of agreements that were 21 prepares that on behalf of Bank of New York Mellon. 21 signed. 22 Do you know which servicer? 22 Q. There's no images or copies of 23 23 correspondence as well in regards to any loan Again, without knowing the date of the 24 default letter I don't know offhand. 24 modification? 25 Okay. Do you know any facts concerning 25 Α No, not that I'm aware of. Page 67 Page 69 1 the loan modification offered plaintiffs by Bank of Nothing in the file, nothing in the 1 Q. 2 New York on or about December 15, 2009? 2 computer records? 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 3 Correct. As far as loan modification 4 Objection, assumes facts not in evidence. 4 being offered, correct. A. I'm not aware of any loan modification If Bank of New York did agree to modify 6 a loan term or condition of a promissory note, who 6 based on the records. 7 7 would be authorized to do so? Do you know if all the documents 8 contained in the loan file was provided at the Rule MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 9 26 disclosure by Bank of New York Mellon to the Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony. 10 plaintiffs? 10 MR. WITTY: I can rephrase or you can 11 A. My understanding is that everything that 11 answer. 12 we have was provided. 12 There's a whole process of loan 13 You understand or you know that 13 modification. You have to get approved, so it goes 14 everything was provided? 14 through underwriting. Then it gets reviewed by That's my understanding. I didn't again 15 management. Then they would sign off on the 15 16 provide the production. So if there's something that 16 approval. Then the asset manager would provide the

19 Q. Okay. Who would know if you don't know 20 today?

17 you asked for and we have it, we are obligated to

- 21 A. Whoever answered the production requests
- 22 on Bayview's side, we generally just provide
- 22 on Bay view s side, we generally just

18 provide it and would provide it.

- 23 everything to the attorney.
- Q. Just to summarize, this was asked and answered, but just summarize, you didn't speak to

19 name or contact information that you know of?
20 A. No, I do not.
21 Q. If there was a modification, how would
22 it be noted in the loan file?
23 Was there a specific way to notate that?

Is there anyone specific in this case by

17 copy of the documents to the borrower.

Was there a specific way to notate that?

MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Asked and answered.

Page 70

1 Q. An image?

- 2 A. The payment history would show
- 3 modification. It would show the new terms of the
- 4 loan, new interest rate, the system would show it.
- 5 There would be copies of the signed notarized copies
- 6 of the actual conversion documents.
- 7 Q. Okay. You're saying the loan records do
- 8 not show modification was entered between plaintiff
- 9 and Bank of New York Mellon or Bank of New York in
- 10 this case?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Does Bank of New York Mellon or their
- 13 servicing bank at the time, do you know if there was
- 14 procedures in place to assure that nothing was lost
- 15 or misplaced?
- 16 A. Yes. I mean, that's the business we're
- 17 in of record keeping. So, again, anything that comes
- 18 in from outside is automatically imaged and loaded
- 19 into the system, as well as any documents that are
- 20 sent out.
- 21 Q. Okay. Has anything ever got lost, to
- 22 your recollection?
- 23 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- Q. It's relevant.

8 modification in place.

13 from time to time.

15 happens from time to time?

25 A. Yeah, mail that has been sent to us has

1 been lost and we never received it, so mistakes do

3 be something that wouldn't be lost because there's a

4 process, there's a notary that has to take place,

5 certain states have even filed it with the local

7 believe there was ever any type of official loan

12 have been lost in the mail, yes. That does happen

Q. What's the typical procedure when that

A. I guess it depends on the document. If

How about a verification of a verbal

23 conversation of a loan modification, how would that

24 be handled if it was never received and lost by the

17 we sent you out a loan modification and you said you

18 signed it and sent back with a check, we would be

19 constantly in contact with you. We would say we

20 didn't receive it, can you please send us another

6 county. So I didn't see anything like that to

10 letter correspondence has been lost?

2 happen. But documents for a loan modification would

Okay. But at times, in your experience,

Would have never made it to us. Could

- 1 A. I'm not as far as I know, are you
 - 2 talking about a verbal conversation coming from the

Page 72

Page 73

- 3 borrower to the bank or the bank to the borrower?
- 4 Q. The servicing bank in conversation with
- 5 the borrower, then a follow-up letter memorializing
- 6 that conversation sent to the bank or servicing bank
- 7 as Power of Attorney for the bank.
- 8 A. That's hard to say if we never received
- 9 it or the servicer never received it.
- 10 Q. What happens if -- what procedures are
- 11 in place to alleviate that, what would happen in that
- 12 case, a lost letter, lost document?
- 13 A. If we didn't receive it, then we didn't
- 14 receive it, so there's no recourse. If you sent a
- 15 letter and it didn't make it to us, I don't know what
- 16 we could do.
- 17 Q. But it's possible that could happen in
- 18 your experience and you have seen that happen in your
- 19 experience?
- 20 A. I have seen, like, for instance, letters
- 21 come -- letters that borrowers said they sent in and
- 22 we never received them.
- Q. Do you know if the original promissory
- 24 note is signed with the original signature by one or
- 25 more of the plaintiffs?

Page 71

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Do you know if either plaintiff
 - 3 personally signed an original promissory note or
 - 4 mortgage?
 - 5 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
 - 6 A. Do I have personal knowledge of that?
 - 7 Q. Yeah.
 - 8 A. No, I do not. I was not there.
 - 9 Q. Okay. Who has possession of the
 - 10 original promissory note, if one exists?
 - 11 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Asked and
 - 12 answered.
 - 13 A. Counsel.
 - 14 Q. Who was possession of the mortgage
 - 15 relating to this note and real property?
 - 16 A. The mortgage, again, is going to be with
 - 17 counsel as well.
 - 18 Q. Is there a practice and protocol at Bank
 - 19 of New York Mellon to intentionally withheld
 - 20 documents in litigated cases?
 - 21 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
 - MR. WITTY: In formal discovery.
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
 - 24 Q. Is someone designated to verify any
 - 25 discovery response for Bank of New York Mellon join

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

25 servicing bank?

21 copy?

Page 74

- 1 discovery in litigated cases where Bank of New York
- 2 Mellon is an adverse party?
- A. For Bank of New York Mellon that I do
- 4 not know.
- 5 Q. Do you know who would know?
- 6 A. Maybe Bank of New York Mellon would know
- 7 if they reviewed those documents.
- 8 Q. Do you know the requirements and New
- 9 Jersey statutes as they relate to duties on a trustee
- 10 under mortgages?
- 11 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- 12 A. No, I do not.
- 13 Q. So Bank of New York Mellon chose you as
- 14 the witness to testify here today, correct?
- MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- 16 A. I don't believe so. I think my company
- 17 designated me to testify.
- 18 Q. On behalf of Bank of New York Mellon?
- 19 A. We're the servicer, yes, for the
- 20 plaintiff.

1

- 21 Q. Aside from the items we already
- 22 discussed, what else did you do to ensure you would
- 23 be accurately prepared today to discuss this case?
- 24 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- 25 Objection. Privilege.

Page 75

24

- Q. Besides talking to your attorney.
- 2 A. I reviewed the business records.
- 3 Q. Can you identify all parties that
- 4 transfer the promissory note, including the allonge?
- 5 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- 6 A. Can I identify all parties who
- 7 transferred the promissory note?
- 8 Q. I can rephrase, if you want.
- 9 A. Sure.
- Q. The promissory note has a chain of
- 11 transference.
- Can you identify all the parties,
- 13 alleged parties that were included in that chain of
- 14 transference?
- 15 A. Not offhand.
- Q. Can you identify or name the documents
- 17 that show the identity of any person given the
- 18 authority to declare a loan to be in default or the
- 19 note to be in default?
- A. Again, not offhand without that document
- 21 in front of me.
- Q. Can you provide me with the facts that
- 23 show what you believe to be the past due scheduled
- 24 principal payments?
- A. Not without anything in front of me.

1 Q. Where would you find that information?

- 2 A. As far as past due payments, that would
- 2.1. As far as past due payments, that wou
- 3 be in the payment history.
 - Q. Did you review the payment history?
- 5 A. Yes, I have looked at the payment
- 6 history.
- Q. So you're saying that's where all the
- 8 facts that would prove past due scheduled principal,
- 9 interest, taxes, insurance?
- 10 A. Correct, that would show the
- 11 disbursements made. There's what we would call the
- 12 payoff screen, which would show all the amounts due
- 13 and owing that pulls from the payment history.
- Q. Is there a ledger that shows date and
- 15 entry and the exchange of all funds from any party in
- 16 connection with this mortgage loan or record of that?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 18 Q. Can you describe who provided the
- 19 funding for the loan in question?
- 20 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Asked and
- 21 answered. Objection. Beyond the scope of testimony.
- 22 Objection to form.
- A. That, I don't know.
 - O. Who authorized MERS transfer the
- 25 mortgage related to this loan from the original

Page 77

Page 76

- 1 trustee to the subsequent trustee or holder of the
 - 2 rights under this instrument?
 - 3 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
 - 4 Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.
 - 5 A. Offhand, I don't know the answer to
 - 6 that.
 - 7 Q. Can you just give me a little bit on
 - 8 this?

- 9 Just describe the methods used to
- 10 prepare documents that have become part of the loan
- 11 file. You touched on it with images.
- 12 Is there anything else that you left out
- 13 or just to be a little bit more complete? I'm just
- 14 trying to understand how they are prepared.
- 14 trying to understand now they are prepared
- 15 A. Sure.
 - MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.
- 17 A. If there's specific document I would be
- 18 happy to go into those details. Anything that we
- 19 generate, when it's generated, a copy is
- 20 automatically sent to imaging where it's stored.
- 21 Loan modification documents are generated, a copy
- 22 would be in imaging and then there's a review by
- 23 someone in senior management, as well as in MVD
- 24 (sic). All the different stages of loan mod. They 25 would review it, sign off on it.

Page 78 1 Same with default notice, there's a	Page 80 1 A. Notice of acceleration usually means you
Same with default notice, there's a process that goes through where they are reviewed,	2 owe us this full amount, please pay us this or we're
3 signed off on by upper management and then provided	3 going to foreclose.
4 mailed to the customer based on the policy and	4 Q. There's a deadline on that usually?
5 procedures in place.	5 A. Yeah, just like the default letter.
6 MR. WITTY: Off the record.	6 Q. Was that ever submitted by Bank of New
7 (Discussion held off the record.)	7 York Mellon to Andrew Witty?
8 (Exhibit-H, RCS Notice of Default and	8 A. The notice of default?
9 Intention to Foreclosure, marked for identification.)	9 Q. No, an acceleration?
10 Q. So if you would, that's Exhibit-H. Read	10 A. Not that I'm aware of. I didn't see
11 the in bold for me.	11 anything in the files. But this would this is
12 A. "Notice of default and intention to	12 what the actual demand or default letter is, this
13 foreclose."	13 would constitute demand or default letter.
14 Q. That's by RCS on July 22, 2013.	14 Q. Okay. Few more questions.
As in your profession, explain to me the	Do you understand every step in the
16 verbiage or within the context of the note of	16 banking and loan business that is required to fund a
17 default, "you have the right to cure this default by	17 loan?
18 payment in the summary of \$462,199.76, plus other	18 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Form.
19 charges due and payable for 8/24/2013."	19 Objection. Beyond the scope of permitted testimony.
20 Do you see that?	20 He's not going to answer that.
21 A. Yes.	21 Q. From what source of the funds originate
22 Q. Okay. Is that referred to as an	22 that were used to fund the loan in question in this
23 acceleration?	23 lawsuit?
24 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection. Asked and
25 Q. What's the proper	25 answered. Objection, form. Objection, beyond the
Page 79	Page 81
1 A. That's the default amount.	1 scope of permitted testimony.
2 Q. Default amount. Does that say what	2 MR. WITTY: It was never asked and
3 does that mean?	3 answered.
4 A. That if that amount is not paid on or	4 MR. BRODOWSKI: Asked and answered and
5 before 8/24/2013 then they are going to move forward	5 objected to. He doesn't have to answer. That's
6 with the foreclosure. 7 Q. Okay. So notice of default, what is RCS	6 beyond the scope. 7 MR. WITTY: You mentioned a court order
8 trying to communicate?	7 MR. WITTY: You mentioned a court order 8 before, on beyond scope.
9 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection form.	9 Do you understand every step of the
10 A. That the borrower is behind on their	10 banking loan business required to fund a loan?
11 payments and there's an amount that needs to be	11 MR. BRODOWSKI: Are you asking me?
12 cured.	12 MR. WITTY: Yes. You're saying "beyond
13 Q. That's the stated amount?	13 the scope." This is
14 A. Correct.	MR. BRODOWSKI: Earlier I referenced
15 Q. Was there ever a notice that accelerated	15 Judge Hammer's order limiting scope of discovery. I
16 the payment and demanded the mortgage and the note	16 think I suggested that you review it, if you wanted
17 be paid in full?	17 to ask those questions.
18 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	18 MR. WITTY: Is it the same for the
19 A. Not that I'm aware of.	19 source of the funds originating, that question as
20 Q. If there was, what does that mean	20 well, was that in the court order as well?
21 exactly?	21 MR. BRODOWSKI: I don't know if those
	22 exact words were, but I believe those are within the
21 exactly? 22 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form. 23 A. I'm not sure what you mean. There was	22 exact words were, but I believe those are within the 23 scope of testimony that was not allowed for various
21 exactly? 22 MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection to form.	22 exact words were, but I believe those are within the

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

```
Page 82
 1
            (Discussion held off the record.)
 2
            MR. BRODOWSKI: Back on the record.
 3
       Q.
             Let me ask the question. Back on
 4 record.
 5
            Do you understand every step in the
 6 banking loan business that is required to fund a
 7 loan?
 8
            MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection for the
 9 reasons stated before; however, he can answer if he
10 knows.
11
       A.
             No, I do not know every step.
12
             From what source of the funds originate
13 that were used to fund this loan, the loan in
14 question in this lawsuit?
15
            MR. BRODOWSKI: Objection for the same
16 reason before. He can answer.
17
     A. I do not know.
            MR. WITTY: Okay. I believe that
19 concludes our deposition.
20
            (Concluded at 1:00 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
                                                      Page 83
              CERTIFICATE
 1
2
 3
      I, PATRICIA SMITH, a Certified Shorthand
 4 Reporter of the State of New Jersey, License No.
 5 30X100103000, do hereby certify that prior to the
 6 commencement of the examination JAMES D'ORLANDO was
 7 duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole
 8 truth and nothing but the truth.
      I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a
10 true and accurate transcript of the testimony as
11 taken stenographically by and before me at the time,
12 place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.
      I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
14 relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any
15 of the parties to this action, and that I am neither
16 a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,
17 and that I am not financially interested in the
18 action.
19
20
21
22 Patricia Smith, CCR.
23 Dated: July 11, 2019
24
25
```

22 (Pages 82 - 83)

[003640 - anybody]

	45 44 21	50.05	- No. 1-4 70 11
0	45 44:21	acquiring 50:25	alleviate 72:11
003640 55:3	462,199.76 78:18	51:3	allonge 3:13 48:8
07078 2:5	48 3:13	acquisition 31:5	48:10,14 49:4
1	5	act 64:20,22	52:20 75:4
10:50 1:19	51 2:4	acting 10:9 23:15	allow 4:14 20:14
11 83:23	53 3:14	23:23 33:4 39:9	allowed 21:1
13 63:18	6	40:4	31:11 81:23
130 2:9	6 12:11	action 1:2,3 4:5	allowing 55:15
		28:25 62:10 63:7	allows 52:16
15 63:25 67:2	62 3:15	83:15,18	altering 6:24
15-00500 1:3	7	actual 70:6 80:12	amend 6:17
16 15:15	7 63:4	adding 55:15	america 37:10
18th 2:9	78 3:17	address 12:7,10	42:19 43:6,10
19067 12:11	8	19:6 31:1	61:2
19103 2:9		addressed 43:18	amount 44:13
1999 12:18,23	8/24/2013 78:19	addresses 66:10	79:1,2,4,11,13
1:00 82:20	79:5	admission 4:9	80:2
1st 2:4	9	17:17	amounts 76:12
2	9 3:9,10	admissions 18:2	andrew 1:4 2:3
2003 12:23	9276 83:21	adverse 74:2	4:4 17:3 80:7
2008 13:19	a	advised 11:25	answer 4:24 5:17
2009 13:19 67:2	a.m. 1:19	affixed 48:1	6:13,16 10:15,15
2013 78:14	a.m. 1.19 able 59:15	agent 7:25 10:9,12	10:19 14:3 17:4
2015 63:4		16:15 23:15,23	20:4,6,15 21:13,19
2019 1:19 83:23	absolutely 55:2 accelerated 79:15	39:9 40:4	21:24 24:9,14
215-317-0605		agree 31:16 39:20	25:5 31:9,20
12:12	acceleration 78:23	63:12,22 64:3	33:11 39:16 40:9
22 78:14	79:25 80:1,9	69:5	40:10 41:16,18
26 3:11 36:18 67:9	acceptance 38:5	agreed 68:9	44:6 51:5 54:13
27 1:19 3:12	57:8,13,17	agreement 40:21	69:11 77:5 80:20
27th 10:4	accomplish 55:8	41:12 56:16 60:3	81:5 82:9,16
290 1:17	accomplished	60:8	answered 19:19
3	37:18 38:25	agreements 68:20	24:1,4 26:8 33:24
	account 21:16	ahead 20:14 42:6	46:19,20,22,24
30 44:21	accurate 47:15	al 1:8 63:2	67:21,25 69:25
30x100103000	83:10	alcohol 6:7	73:12 76:21 80:25
83:5	accurately 74:23	alleged 33:6 40:14	81:3,4
35 7:21	acknowledgement	50:2 75:13	answers 18:10
4	14:25	allegedly 22:25	25:9,16,21
4 3:3	acquaint 61:24	32:21	anybody 17:11
1	acquired 41:13		

[apparently - believe]

apparently 36:14	assignee 27:11	avenue 1:18	47:2 49:10 50:1
applied 56:10	31:24	aware 5:12 19:14	50:16,24 51:8,25
approval 55:24	assignment 27:14	59:19 67:5 68:25	52:24 53:2 54:5
57:9 69:16	31:23 37:12,21	73:23 76:17 79:19	55:7,10 56:19,23
approved 56:5	38:12,14,16 39:6,7	80:10	57:5,22 60:24
59:15 60:5 69:13	assignments 37:15	b	61:2 62:17,22,24
approximate	37:22 38:8,23		63:2,12,22 64:3,8
14:14	associated 22:12	b 3:7,10 9:14 10:3	64:9,16,19,24
approximately	28:7,9,23 29:5	-	
7:19	56:25 60:19 61:3		67:1,9 68:8,9 69:5
arising 33:5	61:10		70:9,9,12,13 71:25
arrived 32:11,20	assume 43:6 56:18	·	72:3,3,4,6,6,7
35:14,21 36:2	assumes 50:5 67:4	· ·	73:18,25 74:1,3,6
arrives 32:17	77:4		74:13,18 80:6
articulate 7:3	assuming 23:19		bank's 11:21
aside 74:21	24:1		banking 19:24
asked 4:19 5:23	assumption 43:14	/	20:8 80:16 81:10
11:24 17:2,6 22:6	assure 45:25 46:5	· ·	82:6
24:1,4,4 26:7	70:14		based 56:2 61:13
33:24 46:22,23	assures 45:7,9	· ·	67:6 78:4
67:17,24 69:24	attached 60:20		basing 64:7
			bates 54:25 55:2
<u>'</u>			
S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			· ·
_		·	
· ·	_	l ' ' '	
_		21:22,25 25:18,21	
	·	25:25 26:17 27:1	
		27:7 29:10,10,17	
· ·		29:18,24 30:6	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	31:4,22 32:9,16	
	<u> </u>	33:3,6,21,25 34:5	
		35:8,14,19,25 36:9	
		37:10 38:4 39:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		39:20 40:4,15	·
		41:20 42:15,19,19	
		43:6,10 44:2 45:6	
33:21		45:9,13,16,25 46:6	
	/0:16 / /:20	46:10,12,13,18,25	33:9 37:14 39:13
approximately 7:19 arising 33:5 arrived 32:11,20 35:14,21 36:2 arrives 32:17 articulate 7:3 aside 74:21 asked 4:19 5:23 11:24 17:2,6 22:6 24:1,4,4 26:7 33:24 46:22,23	28:7,9,23 29:5 56:25 60:19 61:3 61:10 assume 43:6 56:18 assumes 50:5 67:4 77:4 assuming 23:19 24:1 assumption 43:14 assure 45:25 46:5 70:14 assures 45:7,9	38:13,17 bac 57:4 60:24 61:2 bachelor's 13:8,11 back 11:17 21:3,5 21:5 24:17 43:25 44:11 46:24 47:25 55:14 56:8 71:18 82:2,3 bailee 3:14 53:6,7 53:9,15,16 54:11 54:17,22 balance 34:14 balances 45:1 bank 1:7 3:15 4:8 7:9,11,11,11,13,13 7:13 8:1,11,12,21 9:2,9,17 10:9,12 10:16,19 11:9 16:15,23 17:3,8,23 18:11 19:5,15 21:22,25 25:18,21 25:25 26:17 27:1 27:7 29:10,10,17 29:18,24 30:6 31:4,22 32:9,16 33:3,6,21,25 34:5 35:8,14,19,25 36:9 37:10 38:4 39:10 39:20 40:4,15 41:20 42:15,19,19 43:6,10 44:2 45:6 45:9,13,16,25 46:6	66:12,12,18,21 67:1,9 68:8,9 69:5 70:9,9,12,13 71:25 72:3,3,4,6,6,7 73:18,25 74:1,3,6 74:13,18 80:6 bank's 11:21 banking 19:24 20:8 80:16 81:10 82:6 based 56:2 61:13 67:6 78:4 basing 64:7

[believe - completion]

Page 3

42:18 61:1 71:7	15:14 16:16,25	c	checks 45:1
74:16 75:23 81:22	17:5,25 18:12	c 2:1 3:11 26:22	chester 12:22
82:18	19:17 20:1,5,10,19	48:7	chose 74:13
beneficiary 28:3	20:24 21:9,12,18	calculated 35:14	citibank 53:24
51:18	21:23 22:20 23:1	35:18	54:1,2
best 4:22 5:20 6:8	23:18,25 24:7,22	call 34:22 57:2	civil 1:2,3 19:15
beyond 20:2,11	25:2,10 26:7,20	59:13,16,18 76:11	claim 33:3,14 34:1
31:7,10 33:9	27:4,13 28:11	called 59:16 60:5	claimed 36:3
39:13 40:7,18	29:2,13 30:2,8,13	capacity 8:3	clarify 54:21
41:15 46:16 50:4	31:6,10,19,25 32:6	car 5:22,24	62:15,15
69:9 76:21 80:19	32:15,22 33:8,23	carefully 4:13	clearly 7:4
80:25 81:6,8,12	34:7 35:11,16	case 12:1 18:25	client 20:15 40:9
bit 57:25 77:7,13	36:4,21 37:19,23	20:22 23:22 24:24	code 6:22
blank 2:7 9:11	38:6,10 39:1,12	25:14,16 26:4	collateral 3:14
10:3 29:15 48:3	40:1,6,10,17,24	31:14 35:5 39:21	53:5,7,11,20 54:6
48:17,21 49:12,13	41:9,14 42:17,24	45:12,22 47:4	54:10 58:13
49:20 51:14,15	44:4,24 45:19	48:20 56:19 66:14	college 12:19,20
53:3	46:3,8,15,21 49:1	69:18 70:10 72:12	12:25 13:7 16:20
blankrome.com	50:3,10,14 51:4,10	74:23	come 21:5 24:17
2:10	51:19,24 52:10	cases 7:20,23	30:11 42:1 43:25
bnymellon 55:3	54:3,12,18,21 55:4	62:21 73:20 74:1	50:8,9,11 62:19
bold 63:19 64:1	55:9 56:4,22	cashier 42:7	72:21
78:11	57:11,19 61:16	caught 5:5 12:1	comes 42:2 70:17
bond 48:22 51:16	62:14 64:12 65:3	ccr 83:22	coming 72:2
bony 29:17	65:16,19 67:3	center 42:6	commencement
booklet 6:23	69:8,24 70:23	central 12:11	83:6
borrower 55:5,6	73:5,11,21 74:11	certain 9:6,18	commencing 1:19
55:16,24 56:5,16	74:15,24 75:5	49:25 71:5	commercial 23:6,9
58:17 59:7,18,19	76:20 77:3,16	certainty 63:15	communicate 79:8
66:10 69:17 72:3	78:24 79:9,18,22	certificate 14:24	company 8:24
72:3,5 79:10	80:18,24 81:4,11	83:1	11:6 14:6,8 15:15
borrower's 57:9	81:14,21 82:2,8,15	certified 1:15	15:17 53:12,12
borrowers 72:21	buchall 23:13	44:15 47:13 83:3	59:18 74:16
bottom 52:19	bunch 50:19	certify 46:19	complaint 3:15
54:25	business 12:8 13:1	47:15 83:5,9,13	62:9,15,17,24 63:3
breach 63:13,20	15:16 19:24 20:9	chain 75:10,13	63:6
63:23	36:6 68:19 70:16	change 18:10	complete 6:14
break 5:8,9 47:23	75:2 80:16 81:10	charges 78:19	77:13
brodowski 2:8	82:6	check 34:14 42:7	completely 56:15
8:14,16 10:3,11,14		71:18	completion 14:24
11:3 12:3,3 14:2		1110	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[compliance - define]

1. 45.7	4.	4 22 11	24 16 17 19 27 2
compliance 45:7	conversations	counter 33:11	24:16,17,18 37:2
45:10,13 46:13	61:12	country 37:9	47:5 58:16 66:16
62:3,6	conversion 56:12	49:10,11,12,13	66:23 76:14 83:12
compliantly 46:1	58:5 70:6	52:22,23,23,24,24	dated 43:12 83:23
computer 15:9	convey 27:10	53:2,2,3,13,25	dates 12:20 14:15
34:25 47:8 57:24	conveyance 33:1	54:9 57:5 60:23	32:2
58:11,25 59:9	conveyed 30:6	61:2	days 44:21 60:6
69:2	convicted 19:8,11	county 64:1 71:6	deadline 40:21
concerning 66:25	convictions 19:15	course 13:25 15:3	41:1,4,8 80:4
concluded 82:20	coordinator 18:16	27:17	deal 54:5
concludes 82:19	18:20,24 19:3	courses 14:15,17	december 63:4
condition 6:7 46:2	26:11	14:21,23,23	67:2
69:6	copied 59:25	court 1:1 4:15	deceptive 64:2
conducted 14:21	copies 47:15 56:25	21:11 62:18 63:3	decide 34:6
confirmation 15:6	58:4,13,16 60:3	68:5 81:7,20	declaration 35:6
59:8,24	68:20,22 70:5,5	cover 9:10	declare 75:18
confirmed 56:14	сору 11:18 26:25	craft 16:12	declared 12:24
confirming 56:20	28:1,14 56:6 58:7	create 60:11	35:10
connection 76:16	58:24 60:7 69:17	credibility 6:19	default 3:17 21:21
consequence	71:21 77:19,21	credit 21:16	22:16,24 23:16,20
41:12	corporate 16:14	credited 41:25	24:5,12 33:6 34:6
consider 34:21	corpus 39:11	crime 19:12	34:15,21,23 35:6
considered 31:17	40:23 41:8	criminal 19:14	35:10 36:15,20
constantly 71:19	correct 10:6 11:1	cure 44:12 78:17	43:18,24 44:3,8,15
constitute 57:17	11:15 18:21 19:4	cured 79:12	44:23 45:3,10,18
80:13	30:21 32:11 35:1	current 12:7,8,10	45:22 46:1 47:9
contact 16:9,12	47:17,18 54:19	15:19,21 16:23	66:1,8,14,17,19,24
69:19 71:19	60:1 65:24 68:12	30:4 55:16 64:18	75:18,19 78:1,8,12
contained 67:8	69:3,4 70:11	customer 22:10	78:17,17 79:1,2,7
content 14:17	74:14 76:10 79:14	59:3,13 78:4	80:5,8,12,13
17:22 62:12 63:10	correctly 65:13,15	cutoff 40:21 41:4	defendant 1:9
context 19:22	65:21	d	2:10 4:7 7:9,11
54:10 78:16	correspondence	-	10:9 23:22
contract 63:14,20	56:20 58:22,23	d 3:1,12 27:23	defendant's 42:23
63:23	61:7 68:23 71:10	38:17,20,20	44:1 62:10 63:7
contrary 65:9	counsel 4:10 7:1	d'orlando 1:7 3:2 4:1 12:10 83:6	defendants 63:21
controls 42:12	11:25 12:2 16:1,3		defenses 63:21
conversation 19:2	30:24 73:13,17	damages 64:2 dame 12:15	deficiency 35:13
58:22 59:22 60:10	83:14,16		35:17,20,21 36:3
60:14,17 71:23	count 63:18,19	date 3:10 9:11,14	define 44:8 55:13
72:2,4,6	64:1	10:4 13:4,17	55:18,19,22
. ,		22:15,18,23 24:15	, ,

[definition - example]

definition 4:19	different 11:6	29:10 30:17,18	52:20 53:3
degree 13:6,8,11	27:18 34:16 42:12	36:13 46:10 51:12	endorsement 48:1
13:14	57:10 61:23 77:24	51:13 56:25 58:5	48:3,4,16
degrees 13:9,12	direct 2:3 4:3	58:12,17 59:7,14	endorsements
demand 44:10	director 16:14	65:18 67:7 68:4	52:18
80:12,13	disagree 31:17	68:10,12,14,17	enforce 32:5
demanded 79:16	disbursements	69:17 70:6,19	ensure 74:22
department 26:13	76:11	71:2 73:20 74:7	entailed 14:7
34:2 45:4 62:5,6	disciplined 16:21	75:16 77:10,21	enter 59:10
depending 59:17	disclose 8:16	doing 6:18	entered 42:10
depends 71:16	disclosure 67:9	dormant 48:17	59:23 60:1 70:8
deposed 7:17	discover 31:13	52:22	entities 27:18 52:1
deposited 40:22	discovery 17:2,7	drive 12:11	52:19
41:7	17:12 18:10 25:19	due 44:11 55:14	entitled 1:14 6:12
deposition 1:6 3:9	25:25 46:19 47:13	75:23 76:2,8,12	entity 7:14 33:22
3:10 6:18,21,23	47:14,19 73:22,25	78:19	entry 35:2 76:15
9:7,12,13,14,19,20	74:1 81:15	duly 4:1 83:7	envelope 43:18
9:25 10:23 11:2	discuss 62:19	duplicate 4:9	60:7
11:12 20:3,11	74:23	duties 15:24 47:2	es 1:2,3
22:4,6 25:1 82:19	discussed 24:20	74:9	escrow 42:13
depositions 11:5	25:14 59:25 74:22	duty 5:2	esq 2:8
16:1	discussion 47:24	e	establish 45:17
describe 15:24	78:7 82:1	e 2:1,1 3:1,7,13	estate 31:5,15,18
41:23 49:18 76:18	disproving 65:10	15:6 48:10,12	estimate 5:20,20
77:9	district 1:1,1 63:3	52:8 58:23	5:23 6:4 33:20
described 42:22	ditec 37:11	earlier 22:6 81:14	et 1:8 63:2
43:3 57:6	division 23:6,7,8,9	easy 52:16	evidence 23:19
description 3:8	23:10	eight 64:1	24:2 30:15 31:14
design 13:13	document 9:21,22	either 23:5,8 53:25	50:1,5 51:8 65:8,9
designated 8:11	11:14 26:24 27:5	73:2	65:10,11,12,14
8:21,23 73:24	27:25 36:19,19	elected 52:11	67:4 77:4
74:17	38:1 55:1 59:20	employed 22:15	ex 60:7
details 21:1 60:13	60:3,18 62:20	22:18,23 23:2	exact 14:14 24:16
77:18	63:5 65:20,22	24:11	35:23 44:18 66:16
determine 34:10	71:16 72:12 75:20	employee 8:5 46:6	81:22
34:15 36:14,17	77:17	83:14,16	exactly 23:7 79:21
44:2,23 45:17	documentation	employees 52:20	examination 3:3
determined 21:21	16:3	employees 52.20 employer 15:19,22	4:3 83:6
		CIIIDIOVCI 1 1. 1 7. / . / .	
36:9	documents 9:16		examined 44:1
	documents 9:16 17:20 18:5,6 22:2	16:18,22,23 23:3	example 5:21
36:9	documents 9:16		

[exchange - guidelines]

exchange 76:15	66:11 67:8 68:11	18:12 19:17 20:1	81:10 82:6,13
excuse 28:17	69:1,22 77:11	21:18,23 22:20	funded 50:2,7
63:21	filed 62:9,18 63:3	23:1,18,25 24:5,22	funding 76:19
exhibit 3:9,10,11	63:7 64:9 68:3	25:2,10 26:20	funds 21:6,16 50:8
3:12,13,14,15,17	71:5	27:4,13 28:11	50:9,11,12 54:1
9:13,14 10:3	files 49:25 80:11	29:2,13 30:2,8,13	76:15 80:21 81:19
11:12 26:22 27:23	final 56:15 58:4	31:6,19,25 32:6,15	82:12
38:13,17,17,20,20	finance 27:22 28:5	32:22 33:8,23	further 83:9,13
48:7,10,12 53:6,7	29:3 51:22	34:7 35:11,16	g
53:9 54:23 62:24	financially 83:17	36:4,21 37:19,23	g 3:15 62:24 63:1
63:1 78:8,10	find 76:1	38:6,10 39:1,12	general 4:11 37:5
exists 73:10	finish 4:14	40:1,6,17,24 41:9	general 4.11 37.3 generally 44:20
expect 68:10	first 3:14 24:13	41:14 42:17,24	47:21 61:11 67:22
expected 24:21	34:5 43:7 53:5,7	44:4,24 45:19	generate 77:19
experience 11:7	53:11,20 54:6,10	46:3,8,15,21 49:1	generated 77:19
34:9,18 57:12,20	57:13,15,16 59:20	50:3 51:4,10,19,24	77:21
57:21 71:9 72:18	61:5	52:10 54:3,12,18	give 4:22 6:4 11:17
72:19	five 16:19 47:23	55:9 56:4,22	11:18 12:8 15:2
experienced 16:5	63:18,19	57:11,19 59:23	25:13 34:17 77:7
expertise 20:23	fixed 48:16	61:16 64:12 65:3	given 55:24 75:17
explain 32:13	floor 2:4	65:16,19 67:3	gives 44:12 48:4
64:15 78:15	follow 57:6 58:23	69:8 70:23 73:5	giving 6:8
f	59:23 60:9,15	73:21 74:11,15,24	go 6:22 20:14 21:3
f 3:14 53:6,7,9	64:25 66:13 72:5	75:5 76:22 77:3	21:5 42:6 47:23
54:23	followed 27:9	77:16 78:24 79:9	58:13 59:18 77:18
facts 5:21 23:19	42:23 64:11 65:7	79:18,22 80:18,25	81:25
24:2 45:17 50:5	following 43:1	formal 73:22	goes 42:5,6 69:13
66:25 67:4 75:22	follows 4:2 46:6	forth 57:3 61:6	78:2
76:8 77:4	foreclose 78:13	81:24 83:12	going 20:14 21:4
familiar 9:21	80:3	forward 34:16	60:6 73:16 79:5
11:14 15:16 17:7	foreclosure 3:17	44:14 45:5 55:16	80:3,20
54:16	7:24 8:4 18:16,19 18:24 19:3 22:11	79:5	good 4:4 12:1
far 43:4 47:9 58:2	32:5 45:3 46:14	fraud 63:13 64:2,4 front 39:8 63:5	governs 41:12
65:4 69:3 72:1	61:15,18 64:8,10	75:21,25	graduate 12:16
76:2	64:25 78:9 79:6	full 12:6 79:17	13:2,4
fed 60:7	foregoing 83:9	80:2	ground 4:11
federal 62:18	form 8:14,15	fully 4:24 5:16	guess 22:11 52:5
felony 19:9	10:11,14 11:3	46:20 59:12	62:3 71:16
file 22:9 47:7	14:2 15:14 16:16	fund 19:25 20:9	guidelines 44:22
57:23 58:10,11	16:25 17:5,25	21:7 80:16,22	61:18
60:1,20,21 61:8	10.20 17.0,20	21.7 00.10,22	

[h - know] Page 7

h	holder 29:15 30:4	included 75:13	involvement 54:14
	51:11,14 77:1	including 16:22	involving 19:12
h 3:7,17 78:8,10	holding 48:22	19:6 75:4	items 74:21
hammer's 20:12	holds 22:8	incorporation	j
81:15	home 27:21 28:5	19:6	
hand 20:22 27:6	29:3 49:11,13	individual 1:4	j 1:4
36:18	51:22 52:24 53:2	59:17	james 1:7 3:2 4:1
handle 26:14	53:3,13	influence 6:6	12:10 83:6
35:25 62:2 64:21	honest 5:3	information 5:15	jennifer 16:11
handled 29:1	honestly 39:19	5:19 6:3 10:25	jersey 1:1,17,18
36:24 71:24	hood 5:22	16:9,12 25:8,15	2:5 8:17 35:9
handles 26:13 62:7	huh 47:10	42:3 44:14 58:2	44:19 46:2,14
	i	69:19 76:1	61:14,18 74:9
handling 6:22 64:11 65:1	_	initial 59:22	83:4
	idea 43:22	inspection 30:23	jfk 2:4
happen 33:18 41:6 56:1 71:2,12	identification 9:15	instance 59:1 60:4	job 8:8 15:21,24
72:11,17,18	26:23 27:24 48:11	72:20	26:2,9,13 47:2
happened 30:5	53:8 62:25 78:9 identify 6:17	institution 13:10	join 73:25
32:14 56:19	12:13 13:20,25	instructing 20:5	judge 20:12 81:15 july 78:14 83:23
happens 41:3	14:20 16:8,18	40:8	-
71:15 72:10	22:2 24:19 36:19	instrument 77:2	june 1:19 10:4
happy 38:2 77:18	75:3,6,12,16	insurance 33:3,14	k
hard 72:8	identity 75:17	42:13 76:9	keep 11:18
header 53:10	image 58:7,14,24	intent 22:7	keeping 70:17
hear 7:4	59:23,25 60:2	intention 3:17	kept 30:23 57:23
hearing 6:19	70:1	78:9,12	58:11
heartland 27:21	imaged 58:4,13	intentionally 25:8	kind 16:2
28:4 29:3 51:22	60:8 70:18	25:15 73:19	kitchen 13:13
53:12,25	images 68:22	interest 70:4 76:9	know 4:10 5:16,16
held 1:17 47:24	77:11	interested 83:17	5:22 10:15 13:5
78:7 82:1	imaging 22:8 57:1	interior 14:19	16:12 18:15,17
help 16:2 44:14	60:20 77:20,22	interrogatories	19:1,7,19 21:13,14
hereinbefore	imaxdirect.com	17:23 25:20 26:3	21:19,20,24,25
83:12	2:5	26:14	23:7 24:15,16
high 12:13,15,16	immediate 16:8,11	interrogatory	26:15,21 27:1,16
highest 13:6,9	23:11	11:21 17:14 25:21	28:20 29:9,21,22
hills 2:5	impeach 6:18	investor 53:13	29:24 30:5 31:20
hire 50:20	imposed 46:2	involved 18:14	32:1,2,4,16,20,25
history 22:8 34:19	impression 25:7	33:22 50:17 52:8	33:14,17,17 34:1,2
		T 1 0	34:4,8 35:5,8,12
_	_	54:9	
58:18 70:2 76:3,4 76:6,13	25:14	54:9	35:13,21,22 36:2,8

[know - mellon] Page 8

			_
36:11,13,16,23	lawsuit 15:13 21:8	loan 8:7,24 11:8	lower 55:17 58:18
37:1,2,4,17,18,21	80:23 82:14	15:20 19:24,25	lunch 5:9
38:4 39:5,17 40:3	ledger 76:14	20:8,9 21:7,15	lying 5:5
40:19,25 41:1,5,10	left 77:12	22:12 23:7,9	m
41:17,18,18,21	legal 15:18 19:6	27:11,19 28:2	m 2:8 52:8
43:4,9,14,21,23,23	27:17 31:21 44:14	34:6,14,15,23	mah 1:2,3
44:7,18,20 45:8,11	49:2 65:21	36:14 39:3 44:23	mail 15:6 42:5
45:12,15,20,21,24	lehigh 13:16	45:2,18 47:7 50:2	44:16 58:23 70:25
46:9,12,17 47:1,4	lender 28:4 51:21	50:19,21 53:18	71:12
47:6 49:22 50:6,7	length 5:22,24	54:1 55:6,7,15,22	mailed 43:18 66:3
50:8,9,11,12,23	letter 3:14 9:10	55:25 56:6,7,25	66:7,14,15,15 78:4
51:5 52:2 53:23	10:2 44:10,11	57:23 58:10 59:16	major 12:24
54:4,8,14 55:21	47:9 53:6,8,9,15	60:5,19 61:4,10	management
56:13 58:18 60:12	53:16,17,25 54:11	64:11,21 65:1	69:15 77:23 78:3
60:23 61:5,17,19	54:17,22 58:6,23	67:1,5,8 68:3,10	manager 8:9
62:4,22 63:15	59:24 60:15 66:24	68:13,16,23 69:3,6	15:23 19:21,23
65:4,5,17,20,23	71:10 72:5,12,15	69:12,22 70:4,7	23:10 69:16
66:7,13,16,18,22	80:5,12,13	71:2,7,17,23 75:18	managing 16:15
66:24,25 67:7,13	letters 44:15 56:20	76:16,19,25 77:10	manner 57:10
67:19,19 68:3,6,14	72:20,21	77:21,24 80:16,17	marked 9:15
68:18 69:19 70:13	liaison 15:25	80:22 81:10,10	26:22 27:23 48:10
72:1,15,23 73:2	license 83:4	82:6,7,13,13	53:8 62:25 78:9
74:4,5,5,6,8 76:23	licensed 59:17	loans 11:6 27:17	marketing 13:1
77:5 81:21 82:11	lie 4:24	42:20 49:11,13	matter 1:14 6:4
82:17	limiting 81:15	52:6,7,24 53:2,3	26:19
knowing 37:2 47:5	list 20:12	53:13,25	matters 9:6,18
66:23	listed 39:21	local 15:25 16:2	16:6
knowledge 4:12	listen 4:13	29:11 30:24 71:5	max 2:3
5:21 20:22 25:24	litigated 73:20	log 35:2	mean 28:20 30:9
30:20 39:4 56:3	74:1	logan 2:8	46:24 70:16 79:3
61:14 73:6	litigation 8:9	logged 43:12	79:20,23
knows 40:11 41:16	15:23 16:4,5	long 14:11	meaning 4:23
82:10	19:21,23 22:11	look 11:11 34:21	means 55:21 80:1
l	25:19,25 26:10,13	42:7 46:24 65:20	mechanism 30:6,9
late 41:13	little 7:12 15:2	looked 76:5	mediations 16:2
lauren 53:1	57:25 77:7,13	looking 28:12 looks 49:19 52:11	medication 6:7
laurie 49:16	livingston 1:18 llc 15:20		meete 49:16 53:1
law 35:9 46:2	llp 2:7	lost 70:14,21 71:1 71:3,10,12,24	meetings 11:5
laws 14:19 46:14	loaded 70:18	71:3,10,12,24	mellon 1:7 3:16
61:21,25 62:8	10aucu /0.10	12.12,12	4:8 7:10,11,13,14
			8:1,11,13,21 9:2

[mellon - noted] Page 9

		T	
10:13,17,20 11:9	mistakes 71:1	msb 57:2	55:10 56:19,23
16:15,23 17:4,8,24	mlo 59:16	msp 58:2,15	57:3 60:24 61:14
18:11 19:16 21:22	mod 77:24	mt 1:18	61:18 62:17,22,25
21:25 25:18 29:11	modification 55:8	mvd 77:23	63:2,12,22 64:3,9
29:17,18,25 30:7	55:13,14,22,25	n	64:9,16,19,25
31:4,22 32:10,16	56:6,7,21 57:1,14	n 2:1 3:1	66:12,18,21 67:2,9
33:4,7,21,25 34:5	57:17 58:15 59:2	name 4:4 12:6	68:8 69:5 70:3,4,9
35:8,15,20,25 36:9	59:16,21 60:6	15:18 18:17 31:1	70:9,12 73:19,25
38:5 39:10,21	61:4 67:1,5 68:7	37:8 69:19 75:16	74:1,3,6,8,13,18
40:5,15 41:20	68:11,13,16,24	nature 7:23	80:6 83:4
42:16,20 44:2	69:3,13,21 70:3,8	need 4:10 5:8 8:16	no.15-8486 1:2
45:6,14,16,25 46:6	71:2,8,17,23 77:21	16:3 17:9 24:17	nominee 52:12,13
46:11,12,18,25	modifications	45:5	52:15
47:3 50:2,16,25	55:11	needed 5:9	normal 14:5
51:9 54:5 55:8,10	modified 56:15	needs 79:11	north 2:9
56:23 62:18,25	modify 6:16 55:5,6	neither 83:13,15	notarized 58:4
63:2,13,23 64:4,9	57:9 69:5	never 19:2 24:4	70:5
64:9,17,19,25	modules 14:12	71:1,11,24 72:8,9	notary 1:16 71:4
66:13,19,21 67:9	moment 56:18	72:22 81:2	notate 69:23
68:8 70:9,12	months 56:9	new 1:1,7,17,18	note 3:11 21:17,21
73:19,25 74:2,3,6	moral 19:12	2:5 3:16 4:8 7:9	26:18,22,25 27:3
74:13,18 80:7	morning 4:4	7:11,13,13 8:1,11	27:11,12,20 28:8
mellon's 19:5	mortgage 3:12	8:13,17,21 9:2,9	28:10,14,16,17,23
memorializing	22:7 26:18 27:23	9:17 10:12,16,19	29:5,12 30:1,4,6
60:16 72:5	28:1,3,7,9,14,15	11:9 16:15,23	30:12,23 31:16,23
memory 38:3	28:17,23 29:4,7	17:3,8,23 18:11	32:8,21 33:6
mentioned 11:12	31:17 32:9,21	19:5,15 21:22,25	35:10 37:13 40:15
44:17 81:7	34:22 38:12,15,16	25:18,21,25 26:17	41:6,24 42:4,8,9
mers 52:8,11,14	38:21,24 41:7,24	27:2,7 29:10,17,18	42:23 44:1 48:2,5
54:9 76:24	42:11 52:9 53:12	29:25 30:7 31:4	48:6,14,16,19,22
mers's 54:14	53:12,19,22 54:1,7	31:22 32:10,16	48:25 49:3 50:17
met 18:24	73:4,14,16 76:16	33:4,6,21,25 34:5	50:19,25 51:1,3,9
methodology	76:25 79:16	35:8,9,14,19,25	51:11,15,15,17,20
42:16	mortgages 32:17	36:9 38:4 39:10	52:6,8,17,20,22
methods 77:9	50:20 74:10	39:20 40:4,15	53:19,21 59:3
michelle 49:14	mouth 35:3	41:20 42:15,19	60:11 68:8 69:6
mind 47:22 54:24	move 24:18 34:16	44:2,18 45:6,9,13	72:24 73:3,10,15
minutes 47:23	45:5 55:16 79:5	45:16,25 46:2,6,11	75:4,7,10,19 78:16
miranda 60:13	moved 45:3	46:12,14,18,25	79:16
misplaced 70:15	moving 44:14	47:3 50:2,16,24	noted 58:15,15
		51:9,25 54:5 55:7	69:22
		31.7,23 37.3 33.1	

[notes - parties] Page 10

notes 1:13 22:10	40:1,6,17,18,24	53:23 66:24 75:15	ordinarily 25:19
29:15 32:17 39:11	41:9,14,15 42:17	75:20 77:5	ordinary 49:23
39:24 59:9,9	42:24 44:4,24	office 1:17	original 28:3
60:14 61:12	45:19 46:3,8,15,16	officer 16:14	51:18,21,23 58:12
notice 3:9,10,17	46:21,22 49:1	45:13	72:23,24 73:3,10
9:7,11,13,14,19,20	50:3,4,5 51:4,10	official 18:22 71:7	76:25
11:11 22:7,16,24	51:19.24 52:10	officially 59:21	originally 37:9
23:16,20 24:5,12	54:3,12,18 55:9	okay 4:12,17,21	originate 21:6
43:17,24 44:8	56:4,22 57:11,19	5:1,10,14,18 6:5	80:21 82:12
45:10,22,25 65:25	61:16 62:14 64:12	6:15,20 7:6,16,25	originating 81:19
66:10,14,19 78:1,8	65:3,16,19 67:3,4	8:5,19 11:7,17	origination 32:8
78:12 79:7,15,25	69:8,9,24 70:23	12:5 15:10,18	originator 27:11
80:1,8	73:5,11,21 74:11	20:7 21:3 23:3,11	27:19,21 28:2
notified 56:17	74:15,24,25 75:5	25:13,18 27:9,19	outside 14:5 70:18
notre 12:15	76:20,21,22 77:3,4	28:6 29:4,8 31:22	owe 80:2
novation 55:18,20	77:16 78:24 79:9	32:8 34:24 36:8	owed 44:12,13
55:20,21,25 57:17	79:18,22 80:18,19	36:18 37:16 38:4	owing 76:13
number 4:13 12:8	80:24,25,25 82:8	38:25 39:20 40:3	owned 23:21
12:9 54:25	82:15	40:12 41:21 42:15	owner 29:15,16
0	objections 8:18	44:22 48:24 50:1	30:4 51:1 52:5
oath 25:19 26:1	50:10,14	51:2 53:4 54:20	ownership 27:10
0ain 25:19 26:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
	obligated 67:17	57:8,15,21 59:22	48:19,20,23
object 10:14 14:2	obligated 67:17 obligation 10:18	57:8,15,21 59:22 60:21 61:7,13	48:19,20,23 owns 29:11 51:9
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25	obligated 67:17 obligation 10:18 observe 65:25	<i>'''</i>	, ,
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23	obligation 10:18	60:21 61:7,13	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10 26:7,20 27:4,13	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10 offered 67:1 69:4 offhand 13:5 14:22 16:13 19:1	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6 opposing 7:1,1	owns 29:11 51:9 51:16 p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11 papers 56:20
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10 26:7,20 27:4,13 28:11 29:2,13	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10 offered 67:1 69:4 offhand 13:5 14:22 16:13 19:1 19:18 24:15 26:16	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6 opposing 7:1,1 order 20:12,17,25	p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11 papers 56:20 parkway 2:4
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10 26:7,20 27:4,13 28:11 29:2,13 30:2,8,13 31:6,7	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10 offered 67:1 69:4 offhand 13:5 14:22 16:13 19:1 19:18 24:15 26:16 29:9,23 33:19	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6 opposing 7:1,1 order 20:12,17,25 21:4,11 28:24	p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11 papers 56:20
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10 26:7,20 27:4,13 28:11 29:2,13 30:2,8,13 31:6,7 31:19,25 32:6,15	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10 offered 67:1 69:4 offhand 13:5 14:22 16:13 19:1 19:18 24:15 26:16 29:9,23 33:19 34:8 35:7 36:10	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6 opposing 7:1,1 order 20:12,17,25 21:4,11 28:24 48:24 49:5,9,10,13	p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11 papers 56:20 parkway 2:4 part 24:13 55:1 58:14 77:10
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10 26:7,20 27:4,13 28:11 29:2,13 30:2,8,13 31:6,7 31:19,25 32:6,15 32:22 33:8,9,23,24	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10 offered 67:1 69:4 offhand 13:5 14:22 16:13 19:1 19:18 24:15 26:16 29:9,23 33:19 34:8 35:7 36:10 36:12 37:3,17,24	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6 opposing 7:1,1 order 20:12,17,25 21:4,11 28:24 48:24 49:5,9,10,13 49:20 59:2 68:5	p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11 papers 56:20 parkway 2:4 part 24:13 55:1 58:14 77:10 particularly 24:23
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10 26:7,20 27:4,13 28:11 29:2,13 30:2,8,13 31:6,7 31:19,25 32:6,15	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10 offered 67:1 69:4 offhand 13:5 14:22 16:13 19:1 19:18 24:15 26:16 29:9,23 33:19 34:8 35:7 36:10 36:12 37:3,17,24 43:23 44:20 45:23	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6 opposing 7:1,1 order 20:12,17,25 21:4,11 28:24 48:24 49:5,9,10,13	p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11 papers 56:20 parkway 2:4 part 24:13 55:1 58:14 77:10 particularly 24:23 parties 52:16 75:3
object 10:14 14:2 16:16,25 17:5,25 19:17 21:18,23 22:20 23:1,25 objected 81:5 objecting 20:21 24:5 objection 8:14 10:11 11:3 15:14 18:12 20:1,2,10 21:9 23:18,19 24:1,1,22 25:2,10 26:7,20 27:4,13 28:11 29:2,13 30:2,8,13 31:6,7 31:19,25 32:6,15 32:22 33:8,9,23,24 34:7 35:11,16	obligation 10:18 observe 65:25 obtain 16:3 26:17 29:18 30:11 obtained 27:2 29:20,25 obviously 30:3 occurred 36:20 offer 14:24 56:21 57:9,18 59:10 offered 67:1 69:4 offhand 13:5 14:22 16:13 19:1 19:18 24:15 26:16 29:9,23 33:19 34:8 35:7 36:10 36:12 37:3,17,24	60:21 61:7,13 62:4,21 63:17,22 63:25 65:14 66:25 67:19 70:7,21 71:9 73:9 78:22 79:7 80:14 81:25 82:18 omit 25:8,15 once 52:16 online 14:22 15:3 operates 15:17 operative 63:6 opposing 7:1,1 order 20:12,17,25 21:4,11 28:24 48:24 49:5,9,10,13 49:20 59:2 68:5	p p 2:1,1 p.m. 82:20 page 3:2,8 63:18 63:25 paid 48:24 49:5,9 49:10,12,19 50:13 79:4,17 paper 57:23 58:11 papers 56:20 parkway 2:4 part 24:13 55:1 58:14 77:10 particularly 24:23

[party - prosecuted]

4 21.24 49.5	50.4 (0.0 00.10	1	27.0
party 31:24 48:5	50:4 69:9 80:19	plus 78:18	procedure 27:9
52:15 74:2 76:15	81:1	point 23:8 32:10	34:18 35:22,23
pass 15:5	person 4:7 7:8	61:1	43:1,2,11 45:7
passed 15:7	11:1,8 14:21,23	policy 78:4	57:6 58:8,24 64:8
patricia 1:15 83:3	21:15 23:16,23	pool 39:11,23,24	64:10 66:9,13
83:22	32:4 49:2 60:11	40:5 52:6	71:14
pay 80:2	61:15 64:6 68:1	pooling 40:20	procedures 14:19
payable 78:19	75:17	41:11	34:16 45:24 70:14
payment 22:7 33:5	personal 61:13	position 23:4	72:10 78:5
34:19 35:13,17,20	73:6	29:14	proceed 16:3
36:3 41:24 42:1,4	personally 65:25	possess 10:25	proceeding 7:15
42:4,6,8 55:17	73:3	possessing 32:21	proceedings 1:14
57:13,15,16 58:18	persons 24:19	possession 30:24	8:4
58:19 59:20 61:5	philadelphia 2:9	32:11 73:9,14	proceeds 33:5
70:2 76:3,4,5,13	phone 12:8,8 42:2	possible 72:17	process 6:25 32:14
78:18 79:16	42:3	power 64:20,22,24	42:3,22 43:8 56:3
payments 34:20	place 12:1 45:24	72:7	56:13 60:25 69:12
36:7 42:12,14,21	53:18 55:8 70:14	practice 73:18	71:4 78:2
42:23,25 43:5,8,12	71:4,8 72:11 78:5	preparation 22:3	processed 41:24
44:11 45:2 55:15	83:12	prepare 77:10	produced 18:6
56:10,10 75:24	placed 58:10,25	prepared 10:22	production 17:19
76:2 79:11	plaintiff 1:5 2:6	66:1,19 74:23	18:5 67:16,21
payoff 76:12	4:5 8:25 43:19	77:14	profession 78:15
penalties 19:15	70:8 73:2 74:20	prepares 66:21	professional 14:1
penalty 4:23	plaintiff's 30:1	presently 30:22	32:13
pennsylvania 2:9	plaintiffs 67:1,10	pretty 22:14	proffered 54:22
12:11	68:4 72:25	prevent 6:8	program 34:25
perfected 38:9	plan 59:21	previously 7:17	46:13
perform 47:2	platform 34:22,24	9:23 52:21	programs 14:1
performed 39:4	42:12	principal 56:11	promissory 3:11
period 44:12,17,18	play 50:25 51:2	75:24 76:8	26:18,22 27:3
56:8 58:19	52:13	printed 55:3	30:1,12,22 37:13
perjury 4:23 5:6	pleasant 1:18	prior 6:16 36:16	48:2,5,16,25 68:8
permanently	please 7:3 11:11	36:24 37:4,6 39:2	69:6 72:23 73:3
43:17	12:6,13 13:20,25	40:14 83:5	73:10 75:4,7,10
permissible 20:13	15:11,24 16:8,18	privilege 25:3	proof 68:17
permission 45:22	19:5 23:22 28:12	74:25	proper 4:25 78:25
permitted 20:2,11	41:23 49:15 54:25	pro 2:6	property 22:16,24
20:16 31:7,11	55:18 62:15 71:20	probably 13:18	23:21 73:15
33:10 39:14 40:7	80:2	problem 21:4	prosecuted 5:6
40:18 41:15 46:16		•	•

[protective - review]

protective 68:5	questions 20:15	recordation 28:19	remember 23:11
protocol 55:7 58:7	31:12 41:19 80:14	recorded 5:13	remind 19:19
64:8,10 65:1,7	81:17	22:19,21,25 23:17	rephrase 20:7
73:18	quicker 7:12	23:20 24:12 28:16	22:22 25:4 31:8
prove 29:11 65:21	quotes 49:5	28:18 29:6 46:1	39:15 44:5 54:13
76:8	r	60:16,17 66:5	69:10 75:8
proves 65:14		recording 28:23	reporter 1:16 4:15
provide 6:13	r 2:1 52:8	records 15:16 36:6	7:4 11:18 83:4
56:24 67:16,18,18	rate 58:3 70:4	47:8 57:2 67:6	represent 48:25
67:22 69:16 75:22	rcs 3:17 37:10	68:19 69:2 70:7	representative
provided 47:14	78:8,14 79:7	75:2	42:2
53:17 67:8,12,14	reach 12:7	recourse 49:11	represented 26:18
76:18 78:3	read 4:8 11:21	72:14	27:2
provides 44:14	22:3 48:12 49:7	refer 7:10 20:12	represents 64:15
proving 65:11,12	52:18,21 53:10	20:25 21:4 48:6	reprimanded
provision 41:11	54:25 60:12 62:9	reference 28:22	16:22
public 1:16	63:6,19 64:1	54:6,16	request 4:19 17:16
pull 20:17	78:10	referenced 9:17	18:2
pulls 76:13	reading 6:22	81:14	requests 67:21
punitive 64:2	real 31:5,14,17	referred 44:10	required 4:22
purchase 50:22	73:15	78:22	19:25 20:9 21:16
54:1	really 49:24	referring 14:8	46:5 80:16 81:10
purchased 30:14	reason 82:16	21:10 62:21	82:6
50:18 52:17	reasons 81:24 82:9	reflect 57:3	requirements 35:9
purchases 32:17	recall 7:19 14:20	refuse 4:24	46:7 61:15,18
put 28:24 35:2	receive 14:6 56:6	regarding 20:15	74:8
	71:20 72:13,14	25:16 42:23 46:14	respond 4:24 5:20
q	received 13:6,10	regards 68:23	6:11
qualified 4:7	21:16 37:22 39:6	regular 42:14	responding 4:15
15:12 61:15	41:3,7 42:4,8	44:16	response 73:25
qualifies 11:1	43:13 61:5 71:1	regulations 14:19	responses 11:22
question 4:14,14	71:24 72:8,9,22	relate 74:9	25:20 26:1
4:18,19,25 6:11,12	recognize 8:17	related 76:25	responsibility
21:7 24:8 25:12	26:24 27:25	relating 73:15	62:2 64:14
31:21 39:18 46:25	recollection 70:22	relations 59:3	restroom 5:8
53:22 54:7 55:23	record 4:16 5:11	relationship 64:16	retract 18:10
63:4 65:1 76:19	6:17,25 29:16	relative 83:14,16	review 6:22 18:3,6
80:22 81:19 82:3	31:2 47:12,23,24	relevant 70:24	20:13 26:11 34:19
82:14	47:25 49:8 55:1	relied 36:14 46:10	36:5 38:2 45:1
questioning 4:6	66:10 70:17 76:16	rely 55:12	63:1 76:4 77:22
7:8	78:6,7 81:25 82:1	V	77:25 81:16
	82:2,4		

[reviewed - statutes] Page 13

reviewed 9:23	screen 76:12	services 53:5,11	somebody 47:16
11:13,23 18:1	se 2:6	53:21 54:6,10	sorry 12:4 17:6
22:7,10 59:14	securitization 51:7	servicing 8:7,24	25:11 28:20 35:17
62:11 63:8,9,16	52:7	11:8 15:20 23:7,9	38:14,17,21 48:9
68:9,19 69:14	securitized 50:19	34:13,22 39:3	sort 58:3
74:7 75:2 78:2	see 22:5 23:5	40:21 41:12 42:11	sounds 31:20
reviewing 28:15	34:20 36:6 42:7	57:4,5,22 64:21	source 21:6 80:21
29:5	49:4 58:18 60:7	66:12 68:9 70:13	81:19 82:12
revising 3:10 9:11	68:10,12 71:6	71:25 72:4,6	speak 7:3 24:23
9:14 10:4	78:20 80:10	set 81:24 83:12	32:18 44:25 67:25
right 7:7 11:8,20	seen 5:24 9:22	short 2:5 47:22	speaking 8:17
28:13,16 32:5	30:19 43:21 49:24	shorthand 1:16	20:22
38:21 51:16 78:17	62:20 72:18,20	83:3	special 13:20
rights 27:10,15	seminar 13:25	show 9:16 34:23	specific 17:8 36:13
29:11,19,20,25	send 42:7 54:1	48:7,20 51:12,14	62:7,23 69:18,23
30:11,14 48:4,23	56:8,20 59:20	70:2,3,4,8 75:17	77:17
49:2 77:2	71:20	75:23 76:10,12	specifically 6:25
role 4:6 7:8 11:4	senior 77:23	showing 9:19 10:2	21:1 25:20 41:21
18:13,15 50:24	sense 6:1 24:8,9	shown 59:9	specified 32:4
51:2 52:13 56:24	sent 17:3,8,23	shows 36:19 48:19	spoke 60:12
rome 2:7 9:11 10:3	43:24 44:15 56:16	76:14	square 2:8
rule 36:18 67:8	59:14,24 60:15,18	sic 23:14 49:14,16	stack 11:20
rules 4:11	66:17 70:20,25	77:24	stacy 23:13
run 56:13	71:17,18 72:6,14	side 67:22	stages 77:24
	72:21 77:20	sign 17:11,14,16	stamp 49:7,17
S	served 42:19	17:19 56:7 59:7	stamps 49:4 52:19
s 2:1 3:7 52:8	service 11:6 22:10	59:20 69:15 77:25	standard 42:15
safe 54:15	50:21	signature 49:7,15	start 59:21
saying 25:24 27:1	serviced 42:20	72:24 83:21	started 39:3
29:24 35:20 60:12	servicer 10:16	signed 28:16,18	state 1:16 12:6
70:7 76:7 81:12	34:13 35:24 36:2	29:6 49:14 56:17	19:5,6 23:22
says 52:25	36:16,24 37:4	58:4,17 61:4 68:5	46:13 59:17 61:14
scheduled 58:20	43:7 55:12 61:1	68:21 70:5 71:18	61:22,22 62:7,7
75:23 76:8	64:15,16,19 66:20	72:24 73:3 78:3	83:4
school 12:13,15,17	66:22 72:9 74:19	simply 5:8	stated 6:25 9:6,18
13:21,23	servicer's 26:2	site 60:20	13:21 32:9 79:13
scope 20:2,11 31:7	47:2 56:24 59:3	six 7:21 56:9	82:9
31:10 33:9 39:13	64:13	slowly 7:3	states 1:1 71:5
40:7,18 41:15	servicers 34:9,11	smith 1:15 83:3,22	stating 44:11
46:16 50:4 69:9	37:7 43:5 50:20	sollinger 49:14	statutes 74:9
76:21 80:19 81:1		5	
81:6,8,13,15,23			
	T7 * T	ral Solutions	1

[statutory - types] Page 14

statutory 46:7	systems 34:22	55:4 56:18	transactions 54:16
stenographic 1:13	43:11	things 58:3	transcript 1:13
stenographically	t	think 22:14 23:5	83:10
83:11		24:3 42:20 46:23	transfer 27:10
step 19:24 56:2	t 3:7	74:16 81:16	40:14 52:16 53:18
80:15 81:9 82:5	taillights 5:23	third 31:23 49:17	53:19 75:4 76:24
82:11	take 5:9 14:13	52:15	transference
steps 45:4,16	15:3,4 33:20 42:3	thomas 2:8 10:3	75:11,14
stipulations 6:24	42:21 45:4,17	12:3	transferred 40:22
6:24	60:14 61:12 71:4	three 37:6 56:9	52:17 75:7
stored 77:20	taken 1:15 83:11	60:6	transfers 27:15
street 2:9	takes 53:18	thursday 1:19	transitioning 23:6
strike 46:11 64:6	talking 62:16 72:2	time 8:6,8 23:4,12	traveled 32:9
study 12:25	75:1	23:16,23 35:10	trial 6:19 56:8,10
study 12.23 subject 9:6,18	taxes 42:13 76:9	43:12,19 44:12,17	57:13 59:21
22:16,24 23:21	tbrodowski 2:10	44:18 58:19 70:13	trials 11:5 16:2
27:11	tell 5:23 10:25	71:13,13,15,15	true 47:15 83:10
submitted 80:6	15:11 57:25	83:11	trust 39:10,11,24
subsequent 77:1	ten 47:23	times 7:5,10 71:9	40:5,16,16,20,23
sued 63:13,23 64:4	term 44:9 55:20	title 8:8 15:21	41:8 51:7,25 52:7
sufficient 45:17	69:6	18:19,22 19:20	trustee 1:8 4:8
sufficient 43.17 suggest 20:19	terminated 16:22	56:13	7:10,14 8:1,13 9:2
suggested 81:16	terms 21:17 46:1	today 4:23 6:9	9:18 32:5,10,23
suggested 81.10 summarize 67:24	55:5,7 57:3 58:3	8:12,22,25 9:1,25	39:10,21,25 40:15
67:25	59:19 70:3	10:4,10 11:2,8	51:2,6,9,23 52:4,6
	testifies 4:1	16:10 22:4 24:21	63:2 74:9 77:1,1
summary 78:18	testify 4:7 7:25 8:3	62:19 65:2 67:20	truth 83:7,8,8
supervises 31:5	8:12,21,25 9:3,5		′ ′
supervisor 16:9,11	11:1,9 15:12 25:1	74:14,23	truthful 5:3 6:13
23:12	74:14,17 83:7	today's 10:22 told 24:25	46:20 47:13
supply 66:9	testifying 7:9 9:17		truthfully 10:19
support 68:11,13 sure 30:18 34:13	10:8,9	top 24:6 48:12 53:10	trying 31:13 35:2 35:3 59:12 77:14
	testimony 4:23		79:8
42:1 49:9,19 56:5	5:12 6:9,17 20:3	topics 20:13,15	
64:18 68:18 75:9	20:11 24:21 31:7	21:1	turn 63:18,25
77:15 79:23	31:11 33:10 39:14	touched 77:11	turpitude 19:12
sworn 4:1 83:7	40:7,18 41:16	trade 13:23	two 9:16 34:18
system 22:8 32:19	46:16 50:4 69:9	trail 57:23	60:6 62:21
34:14 42:8 45:1	76:21 80:19 81:1	trained 43:10	type 43:11 62:2
58:14,14 59:4,11	81:23 83:10	training 13:20	68:20 71:7
60:8 61:11 70:4	thank 8:10 15:10	14:5,7,11,12,18,23	types 16:6 62:7
70:19	28:6 37:12 54:20	15:11 46:5,13	

[typical - york] Page 15

	I		
typical 58:7,7	v	withheld 68:4	
71:14	value 40:16	73:19	
typically 34:12	variety 14:18	withhold 5:17	
39:23	various 81:23	witness 43:17	
u	vehicle 30:10	74:14	
u.s. 63:3	verbal 58:22 59:8	witty 1:4 2:3,5 3:3	
uh 47:10	60:9 71:22 72:2	3:15 4:3,4 8:15,19	
understand 4:18	verbally 59:2	9:10 17:3 20:4,7	
5:2 7:4 8:10 9:1,5	verbiage 78:16	20:17,21 21:3,10	
10:18 17:22 18:3	verification 47:20	21:13 23:21 24:3	
18:6 19:23 20:8	71:22	30:22 31:8,13	
25:11 35:3 39:18	verify 17:2,11	39:15 40:8,12	
44:9 47:19 59:12	25:19,21,25 26:3	43:19 46:23 47:22	
61:14,19 62:12	73:24	53:5 54:24 55:2	
*	verifying 27:5	62:17,22,24 63:2	
63:9,16 67:13	veritext 1:17	69:10 73:22 78:6	
77:14 80:15 81:9	versus 3:15 62:24	80:7 81:2,7,12,18	
82:5		81:25 82:18	
understanding	vocational 13:12	word 53:14	
8:20,23 42:18	voided 49:20,21	words 4:20 7:4,12	
50:18 52:14 58:8	vs 1:6 62:17,22	35:3 81:22	
64:7 66:20 67:11	63:2	work 15:25 16:2	
67:15	W	27:7 32:18 57:9	
understood 4:12	w 1:17	61:22	
4:20 6:12 35:9	want 6:16 11:18	worked 16:19	
underwriting	25:4 31:9 39:15	works 32:19	
59:15 69:14	44:5 75:8	writings 22:3	
united 1:1	wanted 55:5,6		
university 12:19	81:16	X	
12:21,22	way 69:23	x 3:1,7	
updated 57:3 58:2	went 37:10,11,11	y	
uploaded 57:1	60:13 68:7	yardley 12:11	
60:19	west 2:4 12:22	yeah 15:8 35:19	
upper 78:3	wide 14:18 37:9	47:14 48:14 58:1	
use 7:12 36:17	49:10,11,12,13	58:12 59:13 61:3	
42:16	52:22,23,23,24,24	70:25 73:7 80:5	
usual 6:24 57:23	53:2,2,3,13,25	year 14:12	
usually 53:17	54:10 57:5 60:24	years 7:22 15:15	
55:16 56:8 57:12	61:2	16:19	
58:12 80:1,4	willfully 5:5	york 1:7 3:16 4:8	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7:9,11,13,13 8:1	
		7.2,11,13,13 0.1	
	Varitant I ac		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been. served upon the following counsel and the State or Federal District Court of Record via [Personal Delivery] or [facsimile] or by U.S. Mail or/and by email or ECF, in accordance with the State or USDC Rules of Civil Procedure on the date of 10/16/2023

Current Attorneys or Parties served:

J. Eric Kishbaugh, Esq. MCCRINK KEHLER & MCCRINK 475 ROUTE 73 NORTH WEST BERLIN, NJ 08091 Attorneys for Plaintiff

andrew J Witty

Andrew J Witty, Affiant